THE ALBERTA TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION

REPORT OF THE HEARING COMMITTEE OF THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF CHARGES OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AGAINST MARK PATRICK BUCKLEY

The hearing committee of the Professional Conduct Committee of the Alberta Teachers' Association reports that charges of unprofessional conduct laid against Mark Patrick Buckley of Peterborough, Ontario, (formerly Calgary, Alberta) were duly investigated in accordance with the *Teaching Profession Act*. The hearing was held in Barnett House, 11010 142 Street NW, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada on Monday, November 6, 2017, commencing at 0900.

Professional Conduct Committee members present as the hearing committee were Sheila Fraser (chair), Terry Gibson and Bart Heine. Richard Rand of Rand Kiss Turner LLP was counsel to the hearing committee, Shelley Magnusson was secretary and Leslie Kaun and Sudeep Dua were recorders. Ian Stewardson and Elissa Corsi presented the case against the investigated member. The investigated member, Mark Buckley, was not present and was not represented by counsel.

CONSTITUTION/JURISDICTION

There were no objections to the composition or the jurisdiction of the hearing committee.

CHARGES AND PLEA

The following charges were read aloud by the secretary to the hearing committee:

- 1. Mark Patrick Buckley is charged with unprofessional conduct pursuant to the *Teaching Profession Act* in that he, while a member of the Alberta Teachers' Association, during the 2010/2011 and/or the 2011/2012 school year(s), inaccurately coded students for special needs funding, thus failing to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession, contrary to article 18 of the Code of Professional Conduct.
- 2. Mark Patrick Buckley is charged with unprofessional conduct pursuant to the *Teaching Profession Act* in that he, while a member of the Alberta Teachers' Association, in the 2010/2011 and/or the 2011/2012 school year(s), inaccurately coded students for special needs funding with no assessments to document the students' needs, thus failing to treat pupils with dignity and respect and to be considerate of their circumstances, contrary to article 4 of the Code of Professional Conduct.
- 3. Mark Patrick Buckley is charged with unprofessional conduct pursuant to the *Teaching Profession Act* in that he, while a member of the Alberta Teachers' Association, during the

period of school years 2008/09 through 2011/12, failed to provide due consideration to the health impacts that housing animals in West Dover School would have on students and staff, thus failing to treat students and staff with dignity and respect, contrary to section 23(1) of the *Teaching Profession Act*.

4. Mark Patrick Buckley is charged with unprofessional conduct pursuant to the *Teaching Profession Act* in that he, while a member of the Alberta Teachers' Association, during the period of school years 2008/09 through 2011/12, brought another adult into West Dover School's music room for the purpose of a sexual encounter, thus failing to maintain the honor and dignity of the profession, contrary to article 18 of the Code of Professional Conduct.

In Buckley's absence, the chair entered a plea of not guilty to each of the charges on his behalf.

WITNESSES

The presenting officer called as witnesses

- 1. Kenneth Hashman
- 2. Dianne Yee
- 3. Andrea Ingenthron
- 4. Elizabeth Gouthro
- 5. Kenzie McRuer
- 6. Odile Abboud
- 7. Meghan Clark
- 8. Maureen Steele
- 9. Emily Chakera

EXHIBITS FILED

- Exhibit 1-1–Notice of hearing returned by Canada Post marked "unclaimed"
- Exhibit 1-2—Canada Post confirmation of non-delivery and record of attempts made to contact Buckley
- Exhibit 2—Proof of Wilson's membership in the Alberta Teachers' Association
- Exhibit 3 —Calgary Board of Education Administrative Regulation 3003 Special Education Programming
- Exhibit 4 —Excerpt from 2011/2012 Severe Disabilities Funding Process at a Glance, Calgary Board of Education
- Exhibit 5 Special Education Coding Criteria 2011/2012, Government of Alberta, Education
- Exhibit 6 Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004, Alberta Learning
- Exhibit 7 —Calgary Board of Education Administrative Regulation 4027 Code of Conduct for Employees
- Exhibit 8 —Calgary Board of Education Human Resources Complaint Form
- Exhibit 9 —Indemnity Agreement between Calgary Board of Education and complainants

- Exhibit 10—Calgary Board of Education, Preliminary Investigation Report re Buckley, by Deborah Prowse, QC
- Exhibit 11—Proof of identity for telephone witness Emily Chakera
- Exhibit 12—List of precedent cases provided by Stewardson

EVIDENCE ADDUCED AND EXHIBITS FILED INDICATED THAT:

- 1. Service of notice of this hearing on Buckley was effected in compliance with the *Teaching Profession Act*.
- 2. During the time of the incidents that gave rise to the charges, Buckley was a principal employed by Calgary School District No 19, at West Dover School.
- 3. Buckley was a member of the Alberta Teachers' Association from September 1, 1999 to August 31, 2012 (Exhibit 2).

Charge 1 and Charge 2

- 4. Yee is an Area III Director with the school district and was so at the time of the incidents.
- 5. Yee knew Buckley previously when Buckley was a principal at William Roper Hull School in Calgary, which was a dedicated special needs school.
- 6. Yee became Buckley's direct supervisor in 2011.
- 7. At the end of September 2011, Yee was made aware of eight additional students at West Dover School being identified with severe needs coding. In her opinion, this was an unusually large increase in the number of coded students at West Dover School.
- 8. On November 28, 2011, Yee and Gouthro, Director of Student Services, met with Buckley to ask for an explanation for the significant increase in students receiving severe needs codes at West Dover School and the lack of assessments and other paperwork for those eight students.
- 9. Yee said it was a tense meeting and Buckley was aggressive and argumentative.
- 10. Yee said Buckely told them he felt he was not given enough supports or time to properly assess the students.
- 11. Buckley explained to Yee and Gouthro that he needed the \$80,000 in additional funds (which his school received as a result of the eight coded students) in order to maintain lower class sizes and to provide the students with a dedicated physical education teacher.

- 12. Yee said she advised Buckely that the funds must be used to provide supports for the coded students and that he was "cheating" other schools in the district.
- 13. Yee said this was the first time she had received a fraudulent claim for coded students.
- 14. Yee commented this was a risk for the school district in that parents could challenge the process of coding students and the money allocated for supports.
- 15. Yee said West Dover School had a high immigrant population and new Canadian parents often are less likely to challenge the school personnel or other persons considered experts.
- 16. Yee said she did not think Buckley made an honest mistake in miscoding the eight students as the process used by the school district is well documented and all principals have access to district staff if assistance is needed.
- 17. Yee outlined the steps and procedures school staff must undertake in order to identify a student as severe needs (Exhibit 3).
- 18. Yee explained the requirements of Alberta Education regarding proper assessments and timelines for coding students with special needs (Exhibit 4).
- 19. Yee said principals are aware that all schools must identify students with severe disabilities codes by September 30 and the Student Coding Report must be sent to Inclusive Learning Supports Branch. While principals are responsible for entering the students' codes into the Student Information Records System, the district expectations are that the proper assessments and supports are in place for those students (Exhibit 4).
- 20. By mid-November school personnel must complete the Student Monitoring Form and submit it to district office. They must include (a) copies of recent assessments and/or diagnostic reports including interpretation of the results or a written summary of specialized services provided for the student, (b) Individual Program Plans and (c) anecdotal notes where applicable (Exhibits 3 and 4).
- 21. Yee said all principals in the school district are aware of these procedures and dates.
- 22. Yee said she did not receive the Student Monitoring Form and associated paperwork from Buckley.
- 23. Yee said the minimum requirements that principals are expected to complete in order to receive funding for students with severe needs are outlined in AR3003 on page 25 (Exhibit 3).

- 24. Yee reiterated that parents must be a part of the process and must understand that their written informed consent is a requirement before a student can be considered for special education programing recommendations (Exhibit 3).
- 25. Yee said Buckley did not have assessments nor parental consent for the eight students he coded in order to receive additional funding for his school.
- 26. Yee said she believed that Buckley committed fraud.
- 27. Gouthro is Director of Student Services and Director of Learning with the school district.
- 28. Gouthro previously knew Buckley when he was under her supervision as a specialist regarding suspension hearings for the school district.
- 29. Gouthro said students are identified as requiring special needs coding through the Ministerial Order, Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004 (Exhibit 6).
- 30. Gouthro said this standard has been in place for at least 20 years so there is no reason why a principal would not be aware of the requirements as outlined.
- 31. Gouthro said principals are expected to discuss with parents any necessary assessments as well as any possible outcomes and ramifications that may arise from those assessments.
- 32. Gouthro said the school district has a very specific tracking system of all forms through the entire process of identifying students with special needs.
- 33. Gouthro identified the steps, procedures and timelines that must be followed by school principals in identifying students with severe special needs (Exhibits 3 and 4).
- 34. Gouthro said principals in the school district are encouraged to contact district staff if they are having difficulty meeting any of the requirements in a timely manner. She further stated that she received no requests for assistance from Buckley.
- 35. In the fall of 2011, Gouthro and her staff noticed there were eight additional students added to the list of special needs students at West Dover School. This was an anomaly, especially given that these students had not been identified the previous spring although all had been students at West Dover School.
- 36. She and her staff began to explore why this anomaly occurred. She noted there was no paperwork in the files and no work orders had been filed to request a psychological assessment.
- 37. Gouthro advised Yee of her concerns.

- 38. Gouthro attended the meeting with Yee and Buckley on November 28, 2011. Gouthro said Buckley admitted that he coded the students without the proper assessments as he felt the students required the extra supports. Buckley said there were many needy students at West Dover School and they would all benefit from the extra funding the school would receive.
- 39. Gouthro advised Buckley that this was inappropriate.
- 40. Gouthro said it would be highly unlikely that Buckley would not know the correct processes, especially given his previous experience at a dedicated special needs school and his experience in district office.
- 41. Gouthro said this was the first time she had seen a fraudulent claim in her 17 years with the school district.

Charge 3

- 42. Yee said that, in October 2011, the Facilities Team Leader alerted her to concerns regarding the location of animals, electrical hazards and lack of cleanliness at West Dover School.
- 43. Yee toured the school in December 2011. She noted that there were large fish tanks, cages and excessive furniture in the front hallway of the school, which could impede safe conduct from one part of the school to another for students, staff and visitors to the school.
- 44. Buckley told Yee the complaint was a result of a personality conflict between Buckley and the Facilities Team Leader and was not grounded in fact.
- 45. Buckley was dismissive of Yee's concerns and commented that students needed direct hands-on learning that would be gained through interaction with the animals, fish and birds.
- 46. In April 2012, Buckley went on a medical leave.
- 47. The principal who replaced Buckley reported to Yee that the school was filthy and a health hazard for students and staff.
- 48. Yee and the Facilities Team Leader toured the West Dover School again. Yee noted the following:
 - a) There was a plant wall in the front entrance that was dripping water onto the floor from the makeshift watering system that was being used.
 - b) Dripping water was close to extension cords.
 - c) There were things hanging from the ceiling and lights in classrooms that were not approved and could have been deemed a fire hazard.
 - d) The fish tanks appeared to be dirty and filled with algae. Some tanks were so dirty she could not tell if there were live fish in the tanks.
 - e) There were fish tanks inappropriately stored in the mechanical room.

- f) There were chicken wire, pellets and cages in the book storage rooms.
- g) There were flammable materials stored in the art storage room next to the kiln.
- h) Two doves lived in the room immediately adjacent to the food prep area and were allowed to fly free. There were feces on the staff room furniture and walls.
- i) Smelly incubators with unhatched eggs were stored in the office area.
- 49. Yee called in the "swarm team" to begin a thorough cleaning of the school. Staff assisted with the clean-up which took approximately two months to complete.
- 50. Ingenthron testified via video conferencing from the Southern Alberta Regional Office of the Alberta Teachers' Association.
- 51. Ingenthron was a teacher on a probationary contract with the school district and worked at West Dover School while Buckley was principal.
- 52. Ingenthron said Buckley told the staff that the theme for the school year would be "Our Living World" and as such, Buckley brought several birds and animals into the school.
- 53. Ingenthron said the main hallway by the music room and the gymnasium had a musty urine smell, "like a petting zoo." Because this was a main hallway in the school and the only way to access the music room and the gymnasium, there was no way to avoid the area.
- 54. Ingenthron said the tanks and cages were not well looked after and appeared to be very dirty.
- 55. Ingenthron said the two doves were both male and were fighting and pecking at each other; one was bloody and losing his feathers as a result.
- 56. She said extra supervision was required by staff because students with impulse control issues would often grab at the animals and the birds, often coming dangerously close to the heat lamps in the cages.
- 57. Ingenthron asked Buckley about students and staff with allergies and was advised that they should avoid the areas of the school where the animals and birds were. Ingenthron stated that there was no way to avoid the animals and birds in the school.
- 58. Ingenthron said educational assistants were often tasked with cleaning up after the animals and birds rather than working directly with their assigned students.
- 59. Ingenthron said it was difficult to meet with Buckley because he had various animals and birds in his office.
- 60. Ingenthron was concerned for the health and safety of the animals as well as the staff and students; it was not a healthy environment.

- 61. She stated the "deep clean" process took over two weeks to complete.
- 62. McRuer testified via video conferencing from the Southern Alberta Regional Office of the Alberta Teachers' Association.
- 63. McRuer was a teacher on contract with the school district and was teaching at West Dover School when Buckley was principal.
- 64. McRuer described the school as being extremely messy and smelly. She described the school floors as being filthy with "poop" all over. She had allergies to animals and was required to take antihistamines in order to go to work.
- 65. McRuer said even though she told Buckley she was scared of birds, he still placed two birds in her classroom. After a week, the two birds died and were replaced. The replacement birds also died. When she spoke to Buckley about this, he implied that it was her fault that the birds died since his were still alive. Buckley claimed that she either fed them too much or too little, kept them too hot or too cold or caused their death in some other way. McRuer said she was not given any training on how to look after the birds. She was very upset that the birds had died and she resented the implication that it was her fault. She had to try to explain to her students what had happened to the birds.
- 66. McRuer had a concern brought to her by a parent regarding the birds in her classroom; she told the parent she would need to speak to Mr Buckley. McRuer did not know if the parent met with Buckley.
- 67. McRuer also said that rabbits were allowed to roam the room and that teachers were expected to clean up after them.
- 68. McRuer said Buckley advised students and staff with allergies to avoid the areas of the school where the animals and birds were. McRuer said there was no way to avoid the animals and birds in the school as you had to pass by them in order to gain access to the gymnasium and the music room. There was no "allergy free" zone in the school.
- 69. Abboud was employed by the school district as a human resources manager in the superintendent's office during the time of the incidents.
- 70. In February 2012, staff of West Dover School brought forth concerns regarding the principal of the school (Exhibit 8).
- 71. The teachers asked to meet with Abboud outside of school property. They expressed fear of retaliation from Buckley.

- 72. Before the teachers would sign the complaint form (Exhibit 8), they demanded an indemnity agreement (Exhibit 9). Abboud had to obtain legal advice before the complaint could move forward.
- 73. As a result of the complaint, the school district contracted Deborah Prowse to conduct an independent investigation into Buckley's behaviour. In her preliminary report (Exhibit 10), Prowse refers to the serious allegations, by several witnesses, regarding Buckley's disregard for the health and safety of the staff and students at West Dover School who were allergic to animals, particularly birds and rabbits, that he housed in the school. In her opinion, this could be a human rights issue. Prowse could not make a final report as she was unable to interview Buckley.
- 74. Clark was employed as a teacher by the school district and worked at West Dover School when Buckley was principal.
- 75. Clark testified that although she liked animals, there were too many in the school and they were not well looked after.
- 76. Clark said the smell hit you as soon as you entered the school. Many staff experienced illnesses due their exposure to animal hair and feathers. There was animal and bird feces on the hallway walls "at the height of a kindergarten child." There was nowhere to eat that didn't either have animals in the room or close by, which resulted in the lunch room smelling badly.
- 77. One of her Grade 1 students was hospitalized on more than one occasion, and Clark was told by the parent that it was due to severe allergies. She took her concerns to Buckley who advised her that there was more to the story and she shouldn't worry about it. She felt he dismissed her concerns cavalierly.
- 78. Clark said extra supervision of children was required because the students wanted to touch the animals and birds in the cages. Clark felt this was not safe as there were heat lamps and the cages were not clean.
- 79. Clark also said that the doves were in poor living conditions and plucked at each other until they bled and lost feathers.
- 80. Clark said she felt that Buckley did not take any of her concerns seriously.
- 81. After Buckley left the school, the staff started cleaning and getting rid of cages and aquariums.
- 82. Clark said she still feels the effects of working for Buckley. She now works with the principal who replaced Buckley; she followed that principal to the next school as Clark does not trust administrators.

- 83. Steele was an educational assistant employed by the school district and worked at West Dover School when Buckley was principal.
- 84. Steele testified that there was an immediate change of tone when Buckley became principal of the school. She said that he was very strong willed and controlling and "it was his way or the highway."
- 85. He started bringing in birds at first and then other animals. He brought in wooden and chicken wire cages for the animals. She said she thought the cages were the kind you would find on a farm not in a school. The rabbits had large hutches in the hallways and some were allowed to roam freely in the classrooms. Many of the animals were sickly as they were not well cared for. Some of the children were responsible for cleaning the cages. There was a maliferous odour throughout the school. She suffered from sinus infections and had recurring bouts with bronchitis. She was reprimanded for missing work.
- 86. Steele testified that the environment negatively impacted staff, students, parents and visitors to the school.
- 87. Steele expressed her concerns to Buckley, and he replied that he thought things were going just fine.
- 88. Chakera was employed by the school district and was a teacher at West Dover School while Buckley was principal.
- 89. Chakera testified via telephone conference from a lawyer's office in Ontario. The lawyer verified her identity and subsequently e-mailed a copy of Chakera's driver's licence as proof of identity (Exhibit 11).
- 90. Chakera said Buckley brought in a large number of farm birds, turkeys, chickens, ducks etc that were kept in large cages at the front of the school. The cages were dirty and the birds smelled bad. He was also breeding the birds and hatching chicks in incubators.
- 91. Chakera testified that Buckley tried to mate two guinea pigs. He was advised that guinea pigs have to mate before a certain age because their pelvic bones fuse together when they are older and they are unable to give birth. Buckley refused to listen and as a result the female guinea pig died. The students were very upset.
- 92. Chakera testified that she had mild allergies and it was difficult to avoid the areas where the animals and birds were kept. West Dover School is an older school and the ventilation system was not equipped to filter out pollen and dust and as a result, it was in all areas of the school.
- 93. Chakera said she often required medication in order to go to work at West Dover School.

- 94. Chakera testified that as far as she knew, Buckley did not address parental concerns regarding the animals and birds in the school.
- 95. Chakera recounted a time when a student was required to clean out the feces from the rabbit hutch and was breathing in the dust from the hutch.

Charge 4

- 96. Hashman testified from Calgary via voice Skype.
- 97. Hashman is a psychiatrist who specializes in forensic psychiatry.
- 98. Hashman testified that he had been contracted by the school district to conduct an independent evaluation of Buckley and Buckley consented to waive confidentiality for the purpose of Hashman's report to the school district. Hashman received independent legal advice that he could testify before the hearing committee regarding the contents of his report.
- 99. Hashman testified that Buckley told him that he had sex with another adult in the music room at West Dover School on a weekend.
- 100. Hashman testified that Buckley told him this voluntarily and without prompting.

Credibility

Hashman testified via voice Skype from his office in Calgary. He sounded professional and when asked, he readily volunteered his extensive credentials and professional association involvement. Hashman was aware of his legal responsibilities and advised the committee that he had sought legal and professional advice before testifying. Hashman testified about a conversation he had with Buckley. Because Buckley had agreed to share the information with the school district, Hashman was advised he could therefore share that same information with the committee. During the conversation between Hashman and Buckley, Buckley volunteered the information that he had brought an adult into the school for a sexual encounter. This information was voluntarily given; therefore, it is considered to be an admission against interest and therefore is not hearsay and is allowed to be entered as evidence. Hashman was very specific in his testimony and only testified on evidence directly related to Charge 4.

Yee has been Area III Director with the school district for over nine years. Previously she was a principal with several different school boards and has over 43 years of experience within the education system. Her demeanour was professional. She made eye contact with the presenting officer and with the committee members. Yee appeared to be honest and she was able to easily recall details. Her motivation in testifying was to fulfill her responsibility to the school district. Yee's story remained consistent throughout all her testimony. Her version of events was consistent with other evidence and was consistent with other witnesses' testimony. She

demonstrated a believable perception of events and her grasp of the protocols and regulations of her school division was evident.

Ingenthron testified via video Skype. The committee found Ingenthron to be a credible witness. Her testimony was internally consistent throughout and was consistent with other witnesses' testimony. She was able to recall specific details and explain them very well.

Gouthro was Director of Student Services and Director of Learning with the school district. Her demeanour was professional. She made eye contact with the presenting officer and with the committee members. Gouthro appeared to be honest and she was able to easily recall details. Her motivation in testifying was to fulfill her responsibility to the school district. Gouthro's story remained consistent throughout all her testimony. Her version of events was consistent with other evidence and was consistent with other witnesses' testimony. She demonstrated a believable perception of events and her grasp of the protocols and regulations of her school division was evident.

McRuer testified via video Skype. The committee found McRuer to be a credible witness. Her testimony was internally consistent throughout and was consistent with other witnesses' testimony. She was able to recall specific details and explain them very well. She was visibly upset at one point in her testimony.

Abboud is Manager of Human Resources with the school district and has over 35 years' experience. She demonstrated a strong understanding of protocols and legal procedures. Her demeanour was professional. Abboud appeared to be honest and she was able to easily recall details. Her motivation in testifying was to fulfill her responsibility to the school district.

Clark's testimony was deemed believable by the committee. Although visibly upset, she was able to recall very specific details and describe the events to the committee. Her testimony was both internally and externally consistent. She showed sensitivity to the needs and concerns of other staff and students. She seemed to be very aware of the effect the incidents have had on her long term emotional health and wellbeing.

Steele's testimony was deemed believable by the committee. Steele was an educational assistant at the school. Her testimony was internally consistent and was collaborated by other witness testimony.

Chakera testified via telephone from a lawyer's office in Ontario. She was able to vividly describe specific details of how the animals, students and staff were treated. Her testimony was internally and externally consistent.

DECISION OF THE HEARING COMMITTEE

Charge 1—Guilty

Charge 2—Guilty

Charge 3—Guilty

Charge 4—Guilty

REASONS FOR DECISION

Charge 1

- 1. The school district's administrative regulations state that parents must be consulted and informed about the processes for special education programming. A lack of communication between teachers and parents undermines the trust and credibility of the profession. By not communicating with parents regarding special education programming, Buckley failed to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession, contrary to article 18 of the Code of Professional Conduct.
- 2. By ignoring the regulations as set out by the school district, Buckley's actions undermined the integrity of the process and the authority of the district to issue lawful orders.
- 3. Inaccurate coding brought an extra \$80,000 to West Dover School which meant that other schools, whose principals followed the rules, may not have received adequate funding. More importantly, these actions may have resulted in depriving students of the supports they required to be successful in school, thus failing to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession.
- 4. A school principal is given autonomy and is expected to act with integrity. Buckley's actions lacked professionalism and thus undermined the credibility of the profession as a whole.
- 5. By fraudulently accessing funds meant to provide programming for special education students, Buckley failed to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession.

Charge 2

6. The school district's administrative regulations state that parents must be consulted and informed about the processes for special education programming. By not communicating with parents regarding special education programming for their children, Buckley failed to show students dignity and respect and was not considerate of their circumstances, contrary to article 4 of the Code of Professional Conduct.

7. Inaccurate coding brought an extra \$80,000 to West Dover School, which Buckley did not use for supports for students who had been wrongly coded, thus failing to treat students with consideration for their circumstances.

Charge 3

- 8. Buckley failed to treat students and staff with dignity and respect, contrary to section 23(1) of the *Teaching Profession Act*, by housing animals in the school without regard to the health and safety of the staff and students.
- 9. Buckley refused to acknowledge the health impacts the animals had on students and staff who experienced allergic reactions and failed to provide a safe space for those students and staff who were allergic.
- 10. Buckley failed to consider the health impacts of having animals in unclean and unsafe cages in the school, in the main hallway, classrooms and food preparation areas. This resulted in excessive odours throughout the school and impacted the culture of the school.
- 11. Staff and students were expected to clean up after the animals and birds, thus taking away time from instruction and learning.

Charge 4

12. Buckley admitted, in a conversation with a psychiatrist, that he brought another adult into West Dover School's music room for the purpose of a sexual encounter, thus failing to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession, contrary to article 18 of the Code of Professional Conduct.

SUBMISSION ON PENALTY

Stewardson submitted that the appropriate penalty in this case would be one letter of severe reprimand for all four charges, a declaration that Buckley be declared permanently ineligible for membership in the Alberta Teachers' Association and a recommendation to the minister of education that Buckley's teaching certificate be cancelled.

This penalty was recommended due to the nature and severity of Buckley's actions, which caused significant harm and have had an ongoing impact on the physical and mental health of the staff. Stewardson said Buckley's actions were not isolated incidents; his actions were fraudulent in nature. Stewardson said Buckley's actions were severe enough to cause long term, adverse emotional impacts for the staff.

Stewardson said Buckley was an experienced principal who should have known better; Buckley's actions were not youthful indiscretions. Buckley has many years of teaching, principal

and central office experience. The investigation revealed there was no record of previous professional discipline against Buckley. There were no mitigating factors in this case.

Stewardson said Buckley was uncooperative with him and with the investigating officer.

PENALTY

The hearing committee of the Professional Conduct Committee imposed as penalty on Buckley

- 1. a letter of severe reprimand to address Charges 1 to 4 inclusively,
- 2. a declaration that Buckley is permanently ineligible for membership in the Alberta Teachers' Association, and
- 3. a recommendation to the minister of education to cancel Buckley's teaching certificate.

REASONS FOR PENALTY

- 1. All teachers have a responsibility to treat students with dignity and respect.
- 2. All teachers have a responsibility to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession.
- 3. Any conduct of a teacher that, in the opinion the hearing committee, is detrimental to the dignity of a student, is unprofessional.
- 4. Any conduct of a teacher that, in the opinion of the hearing committee, is detrimental to the honour and dignity of the profession is unprofessional.
- 5. Buckley failed to uphold sections 4 and 18 of the Code of Professional Conduct. By deliberately miscoding students, Buckley violated the trust and authority that was vested in him as the educational leader of the school.
- 6. Buckley was an experienced principal and would have been aware of the proper protocols and procedures required by the school district for coding a student for special education programming.
- 7. Buckley's deliberate miscoding of the students for special needs funding, with no assessments to document the students' needs and with no intent to provide special assistance to those students named, showed a blatant disregard for the dignity of the students, failed to treat them with the respect they deserved and was inconsiderate of their circumstances.
- 8. Buckley's fraudulent coding of students allowed his school to receive money that it was not entitled to receive. Given the finite resources available, this could have caused harm to other students and schools in the school district.

- 9. The profession expects school leaders to act as role models for other staff and to act in a manner that is trustworthy and upholds the honour and dignity of the profession, at all times. Buckley's actions violated these expectations and besmirched the reputation of the profession amongst its own members. As a result, a significant penalty is in order to affirm the profession's expectations of its members.
- 10. Buckley clearly betrayed the fundamental trust that society places on teachers and brought dishonour and disrepute to the profession. The public must be assured that teachers who do not uphold the values outlined in the Code of Professional Conduct will not go unpunished.
- 11. Buckley's actions in bringing birds, fish and animals into the school without providing for relief for those people who may have had medical issues is a clear and definite violation of section 23(1) of the *Teaching Profession Act*.
- 12. School leaders are expected to provide a safe and caring learning environment for their students. By failing to acknowledge that some students may have allergic reactions to the animals and birds, Buckley showed a complete disregard for the students' health and safety, thus failing to be considerate of their circumstances.
- 13. Buckley's continued refusal to acknowledge the discomfort of staff regarding the manner in which the animals were housed and cared for, showed a complete lack of respect for his staff.
- 14. Buckley's actions failed to provide due consideration for the health impacts that housing the animals and birds at the school would have on staff, thus failing to treat staff with dignity and respect.
- 15. Buckley's repeated refusals, over three years, to ameliorate the health effects the animals had on the students and staff resulted in a hostile working environment. This working environment caused long term emotional and psychological distress for many staff members.
- 16. Buckley did not provide adequate training for the staff in how to look after the birds and animals
- 17. Many staff felt they were implicated when birds or animals died.
- 18. Staff were also responsible for explaining to students why animals and birds had died.
- 19. Teachers and educational assistants were expected to feed and clean the animals and birds. This directly affected the learning time for students.
- 20. Teachers and educational assistants were expected to provide safe passage for their students through hallways that were littered with cages, aquariums and feces.

- 21. By his own admission, Buckley brought another adult into the school for the purpose of a sexual encounter, thus failing to maintain the honour and dignity of the profession, contrary to article 18 of the Code of Professional Conduct.
- 22. The hearing committee did not hear testimony of any mitigating factors.
- 23. The hearing committee, in considering the totality of Buckley's actions as outlined in Charges 1, 2, 3 and 4, determined that a severe penalty was warranted. Through his actions, Buckley clearly demonstrated that he did not respect the honour and dignity of the teaching profession. Buckley showed a complete lack of respect for the school authority and its processes. Further, his actions demonstrated a complete disregard for the health and safety of the staff, the students and parents of West Dover School.

Dated at the City of Edmonton in the Province of Alberta, Friday, November 24, 2017.