THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 86

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Tuesday, January 30, 2007

Mardi, le 30 janvier 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Louise Mongeon Registrar

Mr. Marc Crane Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Ms. Diane Lahaie

Mr. David Rose Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Stephen Scharbach Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Robert Duncan The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Peter Wardle Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Steven Canto

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Dominic Lamb

The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Ms. Jill Makepeace Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Paul Marshall Catholic District School Board

Table of Contents / Table des matières

	Page
List of Exhibits :	iv
Opening remarks/Remarques préliminaires	1
DAVID SILMSER, Resumed/Sous le même serment	2
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann	2

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-262	David Silmser - Handwritten Statement 2013 Undated	28
P-263	David Silmser - Full Release and Undertaking Not to Disclose - Septmber 2, 1993	57
P-264	David Silmser - Certificate of Independent Legal Advice - September 2, 1993	57
P-265	David Silmser - Acknowledgement - September 2, 1993	60
P-266	David Silmser - Statement - September 2, 1993	60
P-267	David Silmser - Interview - February 22, 1994	72
P-268	Letter from A.M. MacDonald to Sean Adams - September 2, 1993	81
P-269	David Silmser - Handwritten Statement - September 29, 1993	84
P-270	David Silmser - Project Blue Transcript - February 11, 1993	99
P-271	David Silmser - Handwritten Statement - November 26, 1993	111
P-272	David Silmser - Public Complaint Against CPS - January 21, 1994	137
P-273	Letter from Carl R. Johnston to David Silmser - June 2, 1994	142
P-274	Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc - January 13, 1994	146
P-275	Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc - January 13 - Second Letter	148

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-276	Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc - January 17, 1994	151
P-277	Letter from Mr. Gregory Bell, Ms. Giussepina DeBellis and William Carriere to Mr. Silmser - March 1, 1994	
P-278	Letter from Mr. Gregory Bell, Ms. Giussepina DeBellis and William Carriere to Mr. Silmser - July 28, 1994	176
P-279	Letter from Mr. Gregory Bell, Ms. Giussepina DeBellis and William Carriere to Mr. Silmser - November 9, 1994	
P-280	Letter from L. Brunet to David Silmser - September 12, 1994	183
P-281	David Silmser - Note to File - August 21, 1995	193
P-282	Handwritten Notes by R. Abell - Undated	194

1	Upon commencing at 9:36 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h36
3	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing of the Cornwall
4	Public Inquiry is now in session. The Honourable Mr.
5	Justice Normand Glaude presiding.
6	Please be stated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	Good morning all. Mr. Engelmann.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
10	Commissioner.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr. Silmser.
13	MR. SILMSER: Good morning.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You have some water to your
16	right. If you want to get settled. And just to remind
17	you, if you have trouble hearing me, there is a speaker
18	that you can adjust just to your right.
19	MR. SILMSER: Thank you.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: May I also remind you,
22	sir, that if you wish at any time a break or if there is
23	something that you want to talk about, let me know.
24	All right?
25	MR. SILMSER: Thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, just
3	before I start the continued examination of Mr. Silmser, I
4	just wanted to announce another new face in the lawyers'
5	gallery here. Paul Marshall is here for the Catholic
6	School Board.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: He is a colleague of
9	Jennifer Birrell.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I think other faces you've
12	recently come to know or you've known for some time.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	DAVID SILMSER, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
15	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
16	ENGELMANN (Cont'd/Suite):
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, when we left
18	off yesterday, we were at a time we were in January of
19	1993. I know this is a long time ago. It's about 14 years
20	ago. And I believe we were just towards the end of
21	January.
22	Was it at/or about this time that you had
23	your first meeting with the Cornwall Police Service?
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, do you recall

1	where the meeting took place?
2	MR. SILMSER: At the police station on
3	Fourth Street.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember who was
5	there?
6	MR. SILMSER: Heidi Sebalj, Mr. Malloy, I
7	believe, and that's all I remember.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	Do you remember a third officer by the name
10	of Lefebvre?
11	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Might there have been a third officer there?
14	MR. SILMSER: There might be.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You just can't remember?
16	MR. SILMSER: I just can't remember.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	You told us yesterday that you had some
19	concerns before then about a female officer investigating
20	your allegations.
21	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And, in fact, I think you
23	had one or two calls perhaps with Constable Sebalj about
24	that?
25	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And possibly a call with the
2	Police Chief about that?
3	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that an issue at the
5	meeting on January 28th?
6	MR. SILMSER: I think it was an issue at the
7	beginning, and I still told her I wasn't comfortable having
8	a female officer. She was very persuasive to stay there.
9	So I had really no choice.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And did she ask you the questions at that
12	meeting or was it a male police officer that asked you the
13	questions or did everybody?
14	MR. SILMSER: I believe it was a joint
15	thing, Kevin Malloy and Heidi Sebalj.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Now, was this interview videotaped?
18	MR. SILMSER: No, it wasn't.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Was this interview
20	audiotaped?
21	MR. SILMSER: No, it wasn't.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you asked if you had
23	any objection to it being videotaped or audiotaped?
24	MR. SILMSER: Well, it wasn't, so I was
25	never asked that.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Do you have any sense as to the length of
3	the meeting, whether it was a short meeting, medium or long
4	meeting?
5	MR. SILMSER: Most meetings I had with Heidi
6	Sebalj were approximately around an hour. I mean some
7	differed, but I would imagine that meeting was around an
8	hour.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
10	You don't remember if that was one of the
11	longer meetings or not?
12	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	To your knowledge, did one or more of the
15	police officers take notes?
16	MR. SILMSER: I remember Heidi Sebalj taking
17	notes. That's all I can remember.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	Had you just thinking back, Mr. Silmser,
20	had you ever had a meeting with any police force as an
21	alleged victim of a crime?
22	MR. SILMSER: No. This was the first.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So your other meetings with
24	police officers had been as a suspect or alleged suspect or
25	offender?

1	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you just, if you can
3	remember, describe for us your comfort level at that
4	meeting?
5	MR. SILMSER: I walked in quite nervous. I
6	didn't know what to expect, and I suppose I still felt like
7	an accused instead of a victim when I walked in there
8	because I was so used to that. And the questions, there
9	were many questions, which I expected because I wanted to
10	get my story out and tell them what happened to me, but it
11	was very uncomfortable.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you were
13	asked many questions about your criminal record and some of
14	your convictions?
15	MR. SILMSER: I believe I was, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, were you asked to
17	describe your allegations of abuse by one or more of the
18	three people that you mentioned yesterday?
19	MR. SILMSER: Father Charles MacDonald was
20	the only one they asked for the information from.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: During the course of that
22	meeting, was there some discussion about the alleged abuse
23	by Ken Seguin as well?
24	MR. SILMSER: I mentioned it at the end, but
25	they didn't elaborate on that at all.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	This is the first meeting and you are with
3	two or possibly more police officers.
4	Who do you talk about first, Father Charles
5	or Ken Seguin?
6	MR. SILMSER: Charles MacDonald.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Do you describe one or more incidents of
9	alleged child sexual abuse?
10	MR. SILMSER: I described, I believe, all
11	four.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you would
13	have told the police during that first meeting whether you
14	had had some contact with the Diocese?
15	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember, except
16	no, I can't remember that. No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: By this point, you had
18	spoken with Monsignor Schonenbach, in Ottawa.
19	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know whether you
21	would have mentioned that to them?
22	MR. SILMSER: I believe so. If I did
23	anything, I told him about it. So if I'm sure that any
24	information that was that I had, they knew about it.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And at this point, late

1	January, had you also been contacted by Father MacDougald
2	from the Diocese here?
3	MR. SILMSER: I don't know exactly what
4	date, but I was contacted by Father MacDougald.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you had been
6	contacted by Malcolm MacDonald by this point?
7	MR. SILMSER: That, I can't remember at all
8	No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So you described the
10	incidents with Charles MacDonald?
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've told us you
13	recall being asked about your criminal record.
14	When you were asked about your criminal
15	record, did you tell them that you had been on probation
16	and/or parole?
17	MR. SILMSER: I believe so. I believe they
18	had my criminal record on hand too.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And during the discussion
20	about the criminal record, would you have talked about Ken
21	Seguin and your alleged abuse by him?
22	MR. SILMSER: I don't think I went into
23	detail, but I did mention.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And what about the third individual you

1	mentioned yesterday, Marcel Lalonde?
2	Do you know if you went there at all with
3	them at that first meeting?
4	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe I went there
5	at all, no.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	At the end of the meeting, did they ask you
8	to sign off on a statement or on their notes?
9	MR. SILMSER: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you given a copy of
11	their notes?
12	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they give you a form at
14	the end of the meeting?
15	Do you remember?
16	MR. SILMSER: They gave me a form to
17	paper to write out a statement.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	Did they give you some instructions about
20	how to do that?
21	MR. SILMSER: No. They just said when it's
22	completed to hand it back.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Were you told that it had to be handed back
25	by a certain time?

1	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't believe so.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: What were your expectations
3	at the end of that meeting?
4	You talked to them about the incidents with
5	Father Charles. You talked to them to some extent about
6	Ken Seguin.
7	What were you expecting them to do?
8	MR. SILMSER: What the police usually do is
9	investigate. And I expected the investigation was ongoing
10	it was going at that time.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any recollection
12	or any sense as to how you felt at the end of that meeting,
13	that first meeting?
14	MR. SILMSER: Frustrated. I've always felt
15	frustrated at the end of meetings because nothing was
16	really advancing. Nothing was they didn't say they were
17	going to investigate this and do this and do that. I had
18	never had any information at the end of the meeting what
19	was going to go on. So I was frustrated.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So they didn't tell you how they were going
22	to plan their investigation or where they were going?
23	MR. SILMSER: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Would they have talked to
25	you about counselling services or other services for

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	victims of crime?
2	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not! No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at some time after this
4	meeting, and we are now in late January 1993, were you
5	contacted by someone from the Diocese to attend a meeting?
6	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand from
8	reviewing some records that you had a meeting with
9	individuals from the Diocese on or about February 9th,
10	1993.
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember who
13	contacted you about going to this meeting?
14	MR. SILMSER: I it could have been either
15	Father MacDougald or the secretary at the Diocese, I'm not
16	sure which one it was.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Bryan?
18	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So it was one of those two
20	individuals?
21	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And did they tell you what
23	the meeting would be about, to your knowledge?
24	MR. SILMSER: I believed myself they
25	didn't tell me anything, but I believed it was to discuss

1	the abuses I went through.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: What did you think would
3	happen at this meeting?
4	MR. SILMSER: That I would get an apology.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	That was your goal or your purpose?
7	MR. SILMSER: It was my goal right from the
8	beginning and still was.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you told who would be
10	present at the meeting?
11	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, before attending that
13	meeting, did you have any contact with the Cornwall Police
14	Service about the contact from the Diocese and being
15	invited to this meeting?
16	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And who would you have
18	spoken to from the Cornwall Police Service about the
19	meeting with the Diocese?
20	MR. SILMSER: Heidi Sebalj.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know why you
22	would have talked to her about that?
23	MR. SILMSER: I let her know everything that
24	was going on resulting me with this thing. I didn't hold
25	back any information whatsoever with Heidi.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So you would inform her
2	MR. SILMSER: Of everything.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: when things happened?
4	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall some
6	discussion with her about whether you should attend this
7	meeting?
8	MR. SILMSER: I believe we had a discussion.
9	I just I forget if she was for it or against it. I
10	don't know.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But you had a discussion
12	with her about whether you should go?
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you want her advice
15	on that?
16	MR. SILMSER: I don't know if I wanted her
17	advice. I just wanted to let her know what I was doing, if
18	it was right or wrong.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you think that was
20	important to let her know?
21	MR. SILMSER: Oh! Definitely!
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's talk about the meeting
23	then on February 9th.
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Where was it held?

1	MR. SILMSER: It was held at the main
2	Diocese on Montreal Road?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember was this in
4	a large room?
5	MR. SILMSER: It was in the back office of
6	the Diocese's offices.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember who was
8	present at the meeting?
9	MR. SILMSER: I remember Father MacDougald,
10	Jacques Leduc and two other priests. I don't know their
11	names.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: How do you know they were
13	priests?
14	MR. SILMSER: They had collars on.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you met any of the
16	individuals before?
17	MR. SILMSER: I have met Father MacDougald
18	only before.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	So people introduced themselves?
21	MR. SILMSER: I remember Jacques Leduc
22	introducing himself. I don't remember anybody else
23	introducing themselves.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And did Mr. Leduc explain why he was there?

1	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he explain that he was
3	the lawyer for the Diocese?
4	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember him saying he
5	was a lawyer. Actually, I think I thought he was just part
6	of the Diocese, one of the Dioceses.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you describe the beating
8	generally and, in particular, I'm interested in was there
9	someone leading the discussion at the meeting?
10	MR. SILMSER: It was all Jacques Leduc.
11	Basically, the other priest never said a word. Jacques
12	Leduc did all the talking and he wanted to find out about
13	the abuses and I told him the whole story from day one and
14	he was very sympathetic. He told me he wanted to ask me
15	how I felt about it, and I told him. Basically, that was
16	it.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So when you talk about
18	describing abuses, and you've mentioned three individuals
19	to us yesterday, was it just the abuse that you alleged by
20	Father MacDonald or were the other individuals mentioned?
21	MR. SILMSER: No, it was just Charles
22	MacDonald.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you believe you
24	described those allegations to Mr. Leduc and the priests in
25	some detail?

1	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall if anyone
3	was taking notes at the meeting?
4	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't believe so.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: But you weren't?
6	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Was Mr. Leduc?
8	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe he was, no.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was primarily asking
10	questions?
11	MR. SILMSER: He might have. I just can't
12	remember if he did or not. I don't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	But he was the one asking the questions?
15	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did Mr. Leduc explain
17	to you what the Diocese was going to do?
18	MR. SILMSER: No.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you whether they
20	were going to be investigating Father MacDonald?
21	MR. SILMSER: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ask him and/or the
23	others for an apology?
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall, sir, if

1	you were seeking an apology from the Diocese or from Father
2	MacDonald or both?
3	MR. SILMSER: Either.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You wanted an apology?
5	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you told us
7	yesterday why.
8	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there some discussion
10	about whether there would be an apology given to you?
11	MR. SILMSER: I think Father MacDougald said
12	if there was an apology there would be that would assume
13	guilt on the part of the priest and that's why there
14	wouldn't be an apology.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they actually tell you
16	at that meeting that there wouldn't be an apology or did
17	they say they'd think about it or do you remember?
18	MR. SILMSER: No, I think there wasn't going
19	to be an apology.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you were
21	offered any particular services by the Diocese as an
22	alleged victim of abuse?
23	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Might they have offered you
25	some counselling or psychological help?

25

18

MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember?

MR. SILMSER: No, they didn't.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there any discussion
2	about Malcolm MacDonald and his role at that time?
3	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so, no.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	He came to play a role, did he not?
6	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he did.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you remember if you
8	were offered notes at that meeting either then or later by
9	anybody from the Diocese?
10	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you feel about that
12	meeting?
13	Do you have a sense as to how you felt after
14	it was over?
15	And I'm just you talked a little bit
16	about the role of Jacques Leduc, apology issued.
17	MR. SILMSER: I was, again, frustrated. I
18	felt good that somebody was concerned. I thought Jacques
19	Leduc was genuinely concerned about what happened, but at
20	the end of the day, I was still frustrated because an
21	apology never came.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have a sense as to
23	how he or others felt about what you had told him, about
24	your allegations?
25	MR. SILMSER: Again, I think Jacques Leduc

1	was concerned. He seemed sympathetic. The rest, the
2	priests and that, they never said anything on the matter.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you would
4	have spoken to Heidi Sebalj about what happened at the
5	meeting?
6	MR. SILMSER: I would have. Definitely!
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have some
8	recollection of what she might have told you after you
9	described what happened at that meeting?
10	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't recall. No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, after that meeting were
12	you contacted by anybody from the Diocese and offered an
13	apology?
14	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you make some efforts
16	after that meeting to speak to someone from the Diocese or
17	a priest here in Cornwall?
18	MR. SILMSER: I think I phoned every priest
19	in the city of Cornwall. I took a phonebook out and went
20	through the churches' phone numbers, and I phoned every
21	priest that was in the phonebook, and looked for somebody I
22	could talk to about this thing and they all just hung the
23	phone up on my ear.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you want to speak to
25	a priest about this?

1	MR. SILMSER: Because I had to get somebody
2	from the church to understand what I went through, and
3	somebody to listen to me, and nobody was going to listen, I
4	believed anyways.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So you phoned a number of
6	parishes?
7	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And what would happen, the
9	priest would just refuse to speak to you or did you
10	actually start speaking?
11	MR. SILMSER: I would start speaking,
12	introduce myself and, basically, where I was coming from,
13	and they would hang up on me. They would actually just
14	drop the phone down. Click!
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there a priest that
16	would listen to you?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, there was.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Who was that?
19	MR. SILMSER: Always Father MacDougald. He
20	would never hang up on me.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have some sense as to
22	how often you would have called him?
23	MR. SILMSER: Quite often.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Would he call you from time
25	to time?

1	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you give me some idea
3	about 'quite often'?
4	Was this on a daily basis for a while or
5	once a week?
6	MR. SILMSER: It depends on when it was,
7	like what year it was. Right in the middle of that in '92-
8	'93 I probably phoned him some weeks I probably phoned
9	two or three times.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. SILMSER: And then I wouldn't phone
12	again for a month or two months or three months. When I
13	said I talked to him a lot, for me it was a lot, but for
14	him probably not as much.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So he listened to you when
17	you called?
18	MR. SILMSER: He listened, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: He didn't hang up the phone?
20	MR. SILMSER: No, he didn't.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was a priest here in
22	Cornwall?
23	MR. SILMSER: At the time he wasn't. He was
24	I believe he was a priest out in Lancaster.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

1	Not far from Cornwall?
2	MR. SILMSER: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. SILMSER: I remember that because I had
5	a lot of long distance phone calls.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	And why were you calling Father MacDougald?
8	What was the reason?
9	What did you want?
10	MR. SILMSER: Again, I wanted somebody to
11	understand and to listen, and he would listen, but he
12	wouldn't understand. He would say "You have to learn to
13	forgive. That's the only way you are going to settle this
14	thing is to forgive."
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Who did he want you to
16	forgive?
17	MR. SILMSER: Charles MacDonald.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: How did that make you feel?
19	MR. SILMSER: Again, angry and frustrated
20	because that wasn't solving any problems at all for me.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he ever tell you what
22	the Diocese might be doing about Father MacDonald?
23	MR. SILMSER: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So did you start calling him
25	less frequently at some point?

1	MR. SILMSER: Father MacDougald?
2	If I was really frustrated and I had nobody
3	to talk to or nobody to get to listen to what was going on
4	and I thought he should know about something, I'd phone
5	him.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	MR. SILMSER: But how many times I don't
8	really know.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann, can I just
10	stop you?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: You said you wanted him
13	to know what was happening. I don't know if that was quite
14	what what would you talk about?
15	Would you talk about that you went to the
16	police station and the charges or was it more how you were
17	feeling inside?
18	MR. SILMSER: Basically, at that time, the -
19	
20	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry.
21	And what time are we talking about?
22	When you went to see the police for the
23	first time?
24	MR. SILMSER: A little bit later than that.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MR. SILMSER: Basically, at that time, the
2	news media was out full blast on this thing all the way
3	through the years, and for the first two years they were
4	giving me a real bad light on things. They weren't
5	believing me and they were I was treated more like the
6	accused, and when information came out or something came
7	out that was negative, I wanted somebody to answer for it -
8	
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
10	MR. SILMSER: and who else could I talk
11	to?
12	Nobody else would listen.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. SILMSER: So Father MacDougald was a
15	person to phone.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: We are going to be getting
18	to some of that media stuff later but I think, Mr. Silmser,
19	that may be into '94, when there is a
20	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: leakage of a statement
22	and there is press releases.
23	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So, at that point in time,
25	you were still talking to Father MacDougald?

1	MR. SILMSER: I believe so, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	But you had started talking to him at some
4	point after the meeting with the Diocese?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, you may have
7	started speaking to him before that February 9 th meeting in
8	′93?
9	MR. SILMSER: It's possible, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you told us with
11	respect to the Cornwall Police Service that after your
12	meeting you had been given a form to fill out.
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And to write a statement.
15	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And can you give us a sense
17	as to whether that was an easy or difficult task for you?
18	MR. SILMSER: It was a very difficult task.
19	It took approximately two or three weeks, I forget how long
20	it took me to actually sit down; I dreaded to sit down and
21	write it because I had to relive everything and to relive
22	it again brought pain at that time, a lot of pain.
23	But finally I sat myself down when the house
24	was empty and once I started writing, I couldn't stop. I
25	wrote the whole thing probably in around two or three

1	hours.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So it took you a while to
3	get to it?
4	MR. SILMSER: It took me a while to get to
5	it, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Did you have any help in filling it out when
8	you actually did it?
9	MR. SILMSER: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall attending at
11	the Cornwall police station approximately mid-February to
12	provide the Witness Statement or Witness Form to Constable
13	Sebalj?
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So you would have met with
16	her at the police station to do that?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I am just going to show
19	you a document if I might.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Madam Clerk Document Number
22	725227.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 262,
24	appears to be a statement of Mr. Silmser. There is no date
25	undated Mr

1	MR. ENGELMANN: There is a date
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Some place.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: on a copy of this
4	statement and I note it's not on this copy.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: In any event, just for
6	identification purposes, it is Document Number 725227.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-262:
8	David Silmser - Handwritten Statement 2013
9	Undated.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: It's a statement that's
11	written in February of 1993.
12	Is that correct, Mr. Silmser?
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's a statement
15	whose handwriting?
16	MR. SILMSER: It's my handwriting.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could turn to the
18	last page of the document.
19	Those would be your initials?
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes, they are.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in this statement, you
22	describe I am not going to ask you to get into the
23	details do you describe the allegations
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: that you talked to us

1	about yesterday?
2	These are the Father MacDonald?
3	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you also describe, sir,
5	allegations of child sexual abuse by Ken Seguin?
6	MR. SILMSER: I believe I said he abused me,
7	but I don't think I went into details in these statements.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, sir, and again I
9	don't want to get into the details, but it appears that you
10	talk about that briefly on the last page of your statement.
11	If you could just have a look and confirm that for me.
12	MR. SILMSER: Right.
13	That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And I just noticed on the
15	last page about the fifth line up, you say "I learned he
16	was MacDonald's best friend."
17	Do you see that?
18	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember how you
20	would have learned that?
21	Was it from one of them or was it from
22	someone else?
23	MR. SILMSER: I believe it was from them.
24	They talked amongst they talked like they were best
25	friends.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you write that?
2	Why did you think that was significant for
3	the police to know?
4	MR. SILMSER: Because they were, it was just
5	a fact, they were best friends. So, basically, I think it
6	should have been said.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And they were both and,
8	of course, you alleged that both of them abused you?
9	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Now it does not appear in
11	that statement that you make allegations about Marcel
12	Lalonde.
13	MR. SILMSER: No, it doesn't.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any idea why you
15	only raised that later in time?
16	MR. SILMSER: It was hard enough for me to
17	come forward on Charles MacDonald and Ken Seguin, it was
18	that's why I didn't go into detail with Ken Seguin also.
19	It was a step-by-step thing with me. And I just wasn't
20	ready, you know, to tell the whole thing.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, without
22	turning the screen to that page Mr. Silmser if you could
23	just excuse us for a moment there's a name referenced on
24	the fourth page of the document, I'd like a moniker for it,
25	if I could?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 4.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Line 3. I believe we have
3	monikers for eight individuals.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Could the individual
6	identified there be C-9?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Has he been given a
8	moniker before?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: No.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: As C-9?
11	And this is a person that has been
12	identified as someone who
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Who does not want to be
14	identified.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	Thank you.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologize, I should have
18	dealt with that earlier.
19	At the very end of your statement Mr.
20	Silmser, you say:
21	"I from then on led a life of crime,
22	hating all authority which ruined 20
23	years of my life".
24	Do you see that?
25	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: "They say this is a
2	healing process talking about it and
3	bringing all of it out, but it still
4	hurts like you wouldn't believe. Still
5	today my moods change from crime to
6	anger."
7	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you write that in
9	your statement?
10	MR. SILMSER: Oh! That's the way I felt.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: That's how you felt then,
12	sir?
13	MR. SILMSER: And to some extent today. It
14	is getting better.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if I asked you
16	this.
17	Are you currently getting some form of
18	counseling or therapy?
19	MR. SILMSER: Not at this time, no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: But you have from the mid-
21	'90s into the 2000s.
22	MR. SILMSER: Approximately five years.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Silmser, after you
24	went to the police station with that statement, you had
25	some further contact with Constable Sebalj and her other

1	officers from the Cornwall Police Service?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And do you recall if they came to your home?
5	MR. SILMSER: They came
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
7	MR. SILMSER: They came to my home once.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And this would be about
9	three weeks or so after you gave Constable Sebalj the
10	statement.
11	MR. SILMSER: I would think, approximately,
12	yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Is there some notes that
14	indicate that they would have visited your home on March
15	the 10^{th} of 1993.
16	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that seem about right?
18	Hard to say?
19	MR. SILMSER: It's hard to say.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	You have no reason to disbelieve that.
22	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Where were you living then?
25	MR. SILMSER: Bourget.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And do you have some recollection of that
3	meeting at your home?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	And do you know, for example, whether they
7	spoke to you about the statement we just looked at; Exhibit
8	262?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they talk to you about
11	that statement?
12	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember them talking
13	much about the statement, but I remember I didn't sign it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: They told you you hadn't
15	signed it?
16	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you sign it that
18	day?
19	MR. SILMSER: I believe so.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Did they talk to you at all about having the
22	statement analyzed?
23	MR. SILMSER: Yes, they did.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they explain to you what
25	that meant?

1	MR. SILMSER: They said they had a
2	professional come into their office and analyze the
3	statement. I don't know if they came to the office or sent
4	the statement. No, I have no idea.
5	Anyways, they had it professionally analyzed
6	and it came back 100 per cent truthful.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you feel or react to
8	that?
9	MR. SILMSER: I didn't really because there
10	was big surprise. I knew it was 100 per cent truthful.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they give you a sense,
12	sir, at that time, what steps they would be taking to
13	follow-up on your allegations?
14	MR. SILMSER: I just automatically thought
15	it was an investigation. But they never really told me
16	what they were doing except that they were really having a
17	hard time with it, just because I was the only one that
18	came forward.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: They had told you that you
20	were the only one?
21	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And was that with respect to
23	allegations against Father Charles or allegations against
24	Ken Seguin or both?
25	MR. SILMSER: I have no, really, idea if it

1	was both or just one. But the whole investigation they
2	were saying I was the only person that ever same forward.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they tell you at that
4	time
5	MR. SILMSER: At that time.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: or later what the
7	significance of that might be, you being the only one?
8	MR. SILMSER: That was next to impossible to
9	prove this a case like this with only one person coming
10	forward.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And who would have told you
12	that?
13	MR. SILMSER: Heidi Sebalj.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So, did they tell you they
15	were investigating, and just not tell you what they were
16	doing by way of an investigation plan or do you just can't
17	remember?
18	MR. SILMSER: I don't even know what kind of
19	investigation they were doing. All I know is I had around
20	two or three meetings with them.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MR. SILMSER: And near the end of the
23	meetings, the last meeting anyways, they said that,
24	basically, that "The investigation is finished."
25	MR. ENGELMANN: We will come to that. Let's

1	just stay in March for a minute.
2	Did they give you some sense they were
3	looking for other victims, other alleged victims?
4	MR. SILMSER: They never gave me that
5	indication, no.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, they told you that you
7	were the only one and there was some difficulty with that.
8	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Would it be fair to say that
10	they might have been looking for others?
11	MR. SILMSER: That's a possibility. I don't
12	know.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Did they sit down and show you school
15	yearbooks and ask you to help them identify other potential
16	victims?
17	MR. SILMSER: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if they reviewed
19	any church bulletins with you, to get the names of altar
20	servers and possible other potential victims that way?
21	MR. SILMSER: No, they didn't. They never
22	really conducted an investigation what they were doing in
23	their investigation with me.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, they didn't tell you
25	what they were doing.

1	Is that fair?
2	They may have been looking for people.
3	MR. SILMSER: They may have, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	In fact, Ms. Sebalj may have been trying to
6	contact a number of people to find someone.
7	MR. SILMSER: May have.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	She was not telling you that?
10	MR. SILMSER: No. Again, I was told more
11	than once that I was the only victim that had come forward.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did she tell you that she
13	had contacted other people and that they had said they
14	weren't victims?
15	MR. SILMSER: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	So you were keeping them informed
18	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: about meetings with the
20	Diocese and things you were doing.
21	Did you feel you were being kept informed?
22	MR. SILMSER: I wasn't being informed about
23	anything of their investigation. But I didn't know if that
24	was proper or improper.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't know if they

1	were reviewing school yearbooks or church bulletins?
2	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: They just weren't doing it
4	with you?
5	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, would it be fair to say
7	they asked you about names of other victims or potential
8	victims and asked you to give them names if you could?
9	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember. I imagine
10	that the altar boys' names might have come up once upon a
11	time. I just
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	I don't want you to give me any names, sir.
14	MR. SILMSER: No. No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: But I'm going to suggest to
16	you that they asked you if you could give them some names.
17	MR. SILMSER: That's possible. I think they
18	had tried to get me to remember the altar boys' names one
19	time.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Because they were looking
21	perhaps for other potential victims of Father MacDonald?
22	MR. SILMSER: I would imagine, yes. That's
23	what I would have thought.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at/or about this time,
25	did they also ask you about Ken Seguin and individuals that

1	you might have known that would have had contact with him?
2	MR. SILMSER: No, they never brought Ken
3	Seguin's name up, as far as I can remember.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: But they may well have with
5	Father MacDonald, asking you about altar boys and potential
6	victims?
7	MR. SILMSER: I believe they did.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MR. SILMSER: Actually, I should I think
10	you're going the wrong way on this. They wanted me I
11	felt, anyways, that they wanted me to name the altar boys,
12	not because they were looking for other victims, but to
13	make sure that my story was correct.
14	I always felt that I was being investigated
15	in this thing; that they weren't investigating the priest;
16	they weren't investigating the church; they weren't
17	investigating anything but me. I felt like that all the
18	way through this thing.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
20	Did they tell you whether they were meeting
21	with the priest?
22	MR. SILMSER: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they tell you whether
24	they ever interviewed him?
25	MR. SILMSER: No. I don't believe they did.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you expect that they
2	would?
3	MR. SILMSER: Definitely!
4	THE COMMISSIONER: That they would what?
5	Investigate speak to the priest?
6	You expected them
7	MR. SILMSER: Definitely!
8	Didn't they? I don't know. I still think
9	they should have and I don't know if they did or not.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: We'll be asking them that.
11	If they did, they certainly didn't tell you.
12	MR. SILMSER: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, this meeting at your
14	home in March '93, do you remember if you would have said
15	something to them to the effect that you could only deal
16	with one alleged abuser at a time and that they should
17	focus on Father Charles at that point in time?
18	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember that, no.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Might you have said that?
20	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
22	You did tell us that you had some trouble
23	dealing with one alleged abuser
24	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: and, therefore, may have

1	had difficulty dealing with more than one?
2	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Now, throughout this period of time, and
5	let's go back to December of '92, your initial meeting with
6	the Diocese in Ottawa and you had some other meetings with
7	the Diocese. You've had meetings with the Cornwall Police
8	and you've had telephone conversations with the Cornwall
9	Police.
10	Did you ever have a lawyer representing you
11	or assisting you with any of these meetings or discussions?
12	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you ever meet a
14	lawyer by the name of Don Johnson?
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm trying to get you to
17	think back to approximately mid-February of 1993.
18	Okay?
19	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: His name has come up here
21	before and I understand that at one point he was a Crown
22	prosecutor and at one point he was a defence counsel.
23	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you meet him?
25	MR. SILMSER: In a restaurant downtown.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And did you have any discussion with him
3	about what you were going through?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he offer to assist
6	you in anyway?
7	MR. SILMSER: I actually think I asked him
8	if he would consider taking on the case, as my lawyer and I
9	think he was very agreeable to it, but that's as far as the
10	extent. We went on. There was very, very little
11	conversation going on at the time.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever hire him?
13	Did you ever actually retain him to help
14	you?
15	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Was this a casual meeting
18	or was it a pre-planned meeting at the
19	MR. SILMSER: Actually, a casual meeting; I
20	just bumped into him.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You bumped into him in a
22	restaurant?
23	MR. SILMSER: That's right. I knew of Don
24	Johnson previously.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. SILMSER: So.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	So up until September 2 nd
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: and we'll come to a
7	meeting on September 2^{nd} , at Malcolm MacDonald's office,
8	September 2 nd , 1993.
9	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: But up until that time, did
11	you have the assistance of a lawyer at all, in any of your
12	discussions with the Cornwall Police Service or the Diocese
13	or anyone else?
14	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: On September 2^{nd} as I
16	said, we'll come to it you had the assistance of a
17	lawyer on that day?
18	MR. SILMSER: With the agreement?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: That was a local lawyer here
22	by the name of Sean Adams?
23	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Had he assisted you before that day in any

1	way?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he did.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: With respect to these
4	allegations or something completely unrelated?
5	MR. SILMSER: Something completely
6	unrelated.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MR. SILMSER: It was a real estate I had
9	bought a mobile home in Bourget and the owner of the mobile
10	home dealt with Sean Adams. So they had Sean Adams do the
11	paperwork on it. So that's how I knew Sean.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Now, after the meeting at your home in
14	Bourget in March, do you remember when you were next
15	contacted by anybody from the Cornwall Police Service?
16	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't remember.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	Sir, I've reviewed some notes and haven't
19	been able to see any contact for several months until
20	there's a reference to a telephone call to you in August
21	returning a call you've made.
22	Do you recall if there was some gap in time
23	between your discussions or contacts with the Cornwall
24	Police Service?
25	MR. SILMSER: At the end of the at the

1	end, like I said previously, was Heidi Sabalj said they
2	were dropping the case, because there was no unless any
3	further information came forward, they had nowhere to go
4	with this case. So they were dropping it. So I didn't
5	expect any phone calls from them. Basically, I thought the
6	case was finished at the time.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you would
8	have spoken to her at some time in the summer of 1993,
9	before you attended at this settlement meeting, if I can
10	call it that?
11	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember that. I
12	could have; I just don't remember.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall calling her
14	for an update on what was going on and her telling you that
15	she was seeking an opinion from an outside Crown prosecutor
16	or words to that effect?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do. I do remember
18	that.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And did she say anything to you in that
21	discussion about whether other victims had come forward?
22	This is in August of '93.
23	MR. SILMSER: I still believed I was the
24	only victim.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	why do you believe that?
2	MR. SILMSER: Because that's what they were
3	saying. The police were saying that I was the only victim.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And what else were they
5	saying if you were the only victim, if anything?
6	MR. SILMSER: That the case was going to be
7	dropped. Her exact words were, "We can't go ahead with the
8	case; it's come to an end".
9	MR. ENGELMANN: But wasn't she still seeking
10	an opinion?
11	MR. SILMSER: Which I couldn't understand.
12	Why would she be seeking an opinion for an
13	outside Crown if she tells me the case is finished?
14	It was something like I thought it was
15	just to say "Well, maybe we're not giving up 100 per cent,
16	but the case is finished." You know, just to I couldn't
17	understand that, no.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So by the summer of 1993,
19	had you obtained an apology from the Diocese or Father
20	MacDonald?
21	MR. SILMSER: Never.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: What was your view as to
23	whether or not the criminal process would proceed?
24	MR. SILMSER: I believed the criminal
25	process was finished.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that with respect to
2	Father MacDonald or Father MacDonald and Ken Seguin?
3	MR. SILMSER: Father MacDonald and Ken
4	Seguin.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Had they told you that any
6	other victims had come forward with respect to Ken Seguin?
7	MR. SILMSER: They never mentioned his name
8	as far as I can remember.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
10	So, in August of 1993, were you contacted by
11	Malcolm MacDonald?
12	MR. SILMSER: I believe so, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall if he had
14	contacted you before then as well?
15	MR. SILMSER: No, I can't recall.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Was this a telephone contact or was it an
18	in-person meeting?
19	MR. SILMSER: It was a telephone contact.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know why he
21	called you?
22	Did he tell you why he was calling you?
23	MR. SILMSER: I believe it was a discussion
24	to try and settle the matter.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you talk about the

1	apology and whether that would be forthcoming?
2	MR. SILMSER: I've always talked about that.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And did he tell you whether or not that was
5	a possibility?
6	MR. SILMSER: They all told me that that was
7	not a possibility because that would prove guilt if they
8	gave me an apology.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: He told you his client was
10	unwilling to do that.
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he suggest any other
13	form of settlement or resolution to you?
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he said that we would
15	have a money settlement and that we discussed how much it
16	should be. I forget exactly who came up with the number.
17	I think it was a mutual thing. Basically, that's what was
18	said.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that in one telephone
20	call or two telephone calls or do you remember?
21	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember. No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So he suggested that there
23	might be some monetary settlement.
24	Do you remember who it was that came up with
25	the amount?

1	MR. SILMSER: I think it was a mutual thing.
2	We both came up with it. I don't know exactly how it came
3	about, except for the the \$32,000 was I remember him
4	saying something about if you need help later on for help,
5	like psychological help
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
7	MR. SILMSER: we put an extra \$12,000 in
8	there or something like that, but I'm not sure about that.
9	I'm not exactly sure how that number came out.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So was the \$12,000 to be for
11	counselling or treatment cost?
12	MR. SILMSER: That's I would think so,
13	yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: What about the other
15	\$20,000?
16	Did you understand what that was for?
17	MR. SILMSER: For the abuse.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he tell you who was
19	going to pay this money?
20	MR. SILMSER: He said \$10,000 would come
21	from the church; \$10,000 would come from Charles MacDonald;
22	and \$12,000 would come from the insurance company.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: That's what you recall him
24	telling you?
25	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So he was telling you that
2	the Diocese was going to pay you money?
3	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And who was speaking for the
5	Diocese when you spoke with him?
6	Did you have contact with Mr. Leduc at that
7	point?
8	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It was all through Malcolm?
10	MR. SILMSER: That's correct. I thought
11	Malcolm was the Diocese' lawyer.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You knew he was Charles
13	MacDonald's lawyer.
14	MR. SILMSER: But I thought he was the
15	Diocese' lawyer also.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	Did you have any other discussions or
18	meeting with Jacques Leduc after the meeting you described
19	in February?
20	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you had a phone call or
22	two; you agreed on an amount.
23	And did he mention something about the need
24	for you to have a lawyer to sign a document?
25	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he did.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he explain to you
2	why that was necessary?
3	MR. SILMSER: He said "Any agreement like
4	this, you need a lawyer."
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have some
6	discussion about who that lawyer might be for you?
7	MR. SILMSER: I told him I would phone Sean
8	Adams.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
10	Did he appear to know who that was?
11	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he did.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And was a time set for you to meet?
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes, there was.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that have been on
16	September 2 nd , 1993?
17	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember the exact
18	date.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm going to show you some
20	documents and hopefully that will help.
21	MR. SILMSER: All right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I am going to suggest to you
23	it was on September the 2^{nd} , 1993.
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall where you

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	were to meet?
2	MR. SILMSER: At Malcolm MacDonald's office
3	on Pitt Street.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And did you or Mr. MacDonald call Mr. Adams
6	and tell him he had to be there?
7	MR. SILMSER: I called Sean Adams and Sean
8	said he'd meet me down at the office
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. SILMSER: Malcolm MacDonald's
11	office.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any
13	discussion with Mr. Adams about the case before?
14	MR. SILMSER: I told him basically that I
15	had come up Malcolm MacDonald wanted me to sign in a
16	settlement of \$32,000 and that he needed a lawyer to sign
17	on my behalf. And that's basically all that was said. And
18	Sean said that he would be down there.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And he had helped you with a real estate
21	transaction a few years before (Mr. Adams)?
22	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So do you recall what time
24	of day you would have attended Mr. MacDonald's office
25	Malcolm MacDonald's office?

1	MR. SILMSER: It wasn't late in the day. I
2	would probably around 10 o'clock in the morning, I would
3	imagine, 11:00 somewhere in there.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So what happened when you arrived?
6	Who was there?
7	MR. SILMSER: I walked in alone and sat down
8	in the waiting room. Around five minutes later, Charles
9	MacDonald walked into Malcolm MacDonald's office.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you talk to him or have
11	any discussion with him?
12	MR. SILMSER: Did I feel uncomfortable?
13	Yes, I did.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I didn't ask you that
15	question, but that's fine.
16	Did you speak to him?
17	MR. SILMSER: No. He kind of scurried in
18	like a I don't know how you he wouldn't look at me.
19	He just kind of thought I wouldn't see him, as he scurried
20	into his office and was in and out. He was in the office
21	probably for around five minutes, ten minutes. Then, he
22	scurried right back out.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: You were in the waiting
24	room?
25	MR. SILMSER: I was in the waiting room.

1	And then Sean came no, actually Malcolm
2	MacDonald invited me into his office. We discussed he
3	discussed a few things. I can't
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he show you a document
5	at that point or did he wait for Mr. Adams?
6	Do you remember?
7	MR. SILMSER: No, I believe he showed me a
8	document.
9	And then Sean came in. Sean went into
10	Malcolm MacDonald's office without me. I went back into
11	the waiting room. They discussed for a little while. Sean
12	came back out into the waiting room, told me to look at the
13	agreement.
14	I was a little frustrated, a little not
15	frustrated, but a little shocked that Charles MacDonald had
16	just walked into his office. And I just wanted to get out
17	of that office. So I basically just I didn't read the
18	document. I put my trust in Sean. He would be the one to
19	read it and so I didn't have
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Let me just stop you for a
21	second.
22	You weren't expecting Charles MacDonald to
23	be there that morning?
24	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not!
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So that had bothered you?
2	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're discussing the
4	document a document with Sean Adams in the waiting room?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Of Malcolm MacDonald's
7	office?
8	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You're not in a private
10	office?
11	MR. SILMSER: No. The same room the
12	secretary is in.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're flustered or
14	you're upset.
15	And does he read the document?
16	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea. He didn't
17	read it in the waiting room. He might have skimmed through
18	it, but I figured he had time to read it in Malcolm
19	MacDonald's office. So.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	I want to have you take a look at a
22	document.
23	It is document number 724249. I would also
24	like the witness to be given document number 724253.
25	There are a couple of other documents, Mr.

1	Silmser, that I'm going to show you later, but I just want
2	you to look at these together if you could.
3	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: It's 724249 and 724253.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit No. 263.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe, sir, these were
7	together, but they are separate in our database.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you have two
9	different exhibits made.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, two exhibits.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Two sixty-three (263) is
12	the full release and undertaking not to disclose and
13	there's a date there of I don't know if it's the 2nd or
14	3rd of September, 1993.
15	And Exhibit No. 264 will be a certificate if
16	independent legal advice. That's dated September 2nd as
17	well, I think, 1993.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-263:
19	David Silmser - Full Release of Undertaking
20	Not to Disclose -
21	September 2, 1993
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-264:
23	David Silmser - Certificate of Independent
24	Legal Advice - September 2, 1993
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, do you have

1	Exhibits 263 and 264?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Does your signature appear
4	on both of those documents?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes, they do.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And are these the documents that you would
8	have been looking at with Sean Adams in the waiting room of
9	Malcolm MacDonald's office?
10	MR. SILMSER: As far as I know, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	I am going to show you as I understand
13	it, sir, there were a couple of other documents prepared
14	that day?
15	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that correct?
17	I'll show them to you in a minute.
18	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: But without going into the
20	documents right now, why don't you just describe the course
21	of events. You are in the waiting room; you're talking
22	about these documents.
23	Correct?
24	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And

1	MR. SILMSER: We weren't in the waiting room
2	very long.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Did you sign them right there?
5	MR. SILMSER: No. We went back into Malcolm
6	MacDonald's office.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
8	MR. SILMSER: Sean, myself and Malcolm
9	MacDonald. They told me where to sign.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
11	MR. SILMSER: I signed the papers. Then
12	they wanted me to sign a different paper. So they had to
13	make up a different paper, I guess.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So where did you go when
15	they did that?
16	MR. SILMSER: I believe back in the waiting
17	room.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	And were there other documents that the
20	lawyers prepared?
21	MR. SILMSER: Yes, there was.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	I'm just going to have you identify those
24	documents, and then we'll come back and talk about all of
25	them.

1	Is that okay?
2	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I will just be a moment.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
5	(SHORT PAUSE / COURTE PAUSE)
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Madam Clerk, document number
7	706387. I would ask you for one more as well, 719998.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	So Exhibit No. 265 is an acknowledgement
10	dated the second day of September 1993 and signed by David
11	Silmser.
12	And 266 is a direction, I guess, to the
13	Cornwall Police and to Sergeant Luc Brunet from Mr. Silmser
14	dated September 2nd, 1993.
15	EXHIBIT NO. P-265/PIÈCE NO P-265:
16	David Silmser - Acknowledgement -
17	September 2, 1993
18	EXHIBIT NO. P-266/PIÈCE NO P-266:
19	David Silmser - Statement -
20	September 2, 1993
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, Exhibit 265
22	which has the title "Acknowledgement" does that have your
23	signature?
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it does.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Exhibit 266 which starts,

1	"To Cornwall City Police" does that have your signature?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it does.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, to the best of your
4	knowledge, are these two documents, documents that were
5	prepared by the lawyers while you waited in the waiting
6	room after you had signed the previous documents?
7	MR. SILMSER: To the best of my knowledge,
8	yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Now, let's then go back to Exhibit 263.
11	That is the full release and undertaking not to disclose.
12	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. When did you first
14	see this document?
15	MR. SILMSER: When I first walked into
16	Malcolm MacDonald's office. He had shown me the document,
17	I believe, on his desk.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know who prepared
19	this document?
20	MR. SILMSER: I believe Malcolm MacDonald.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't prepare it?
22	MR. SILMSER: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Adams didn't prepare it?
24	MR. SILMSER: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And the document

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	says:
2	"Full release and undertaking not to
3	disclose from David Silmser"
4	And it says:
5	"to Father Charles MacDonald and to
6	the Most Reverend Eugene P. LaRocque,
7	Bishop, and to his successors and
8	assigns and to the Roman Catholic
9	Episcopal Corporation for the Diocese
10	of Alexandria-Cornwall in Ontario."
11	Do you see that?
12	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to go through
14	the document quickly if we can, but perhaps not as quickly
15	as you did the first time.
16	You say you didn't read the document that
17	day?
18	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: You believe Mr. Adams did?
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you told us why you
22	didn't read it.
23	MR. SILMSER: I trusted Sean Adams to make
24	sure it was proper.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us as well that

1	because Father MacDonald was there you were in a hurry to
2	get out of there or words to that effect.
3	MR. SILMSER: Definitely.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So in paragraph one, it
5	talks about your being paid \$32,000 and that you were then
6	going to release Father MacDonald, the Bishop and the
7	Diocese.
8	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It doesn't say in this
10	document what the Diocese is paying, what Father MacDonald
11	is paying?
12	MR. SILMSER: No, it doesn't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And who, sir, was acting for
14	the Diocese if anyone in these settlement discussions, to
15	your knowledge?
16	MR. SILMSER: Malcolm MacDonald.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the second paragraph
18	says that you:
19	"undertake not to take any legal
20	proceedings, civil or criminal against
21	any of the parties hereto and will
22	immediately terminate any actions that
23	may now be in process."
24	Was that paragraph explained to you?
25	MR. SILMSER: No, it wasn't. This whole

1	document wasn't explained to me right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any legal
3	proceedings, any civil legal proceedings ongoing at that
4	time?
5	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You had never hired a lawyer
7	to sue anybody at this time?
8	MR. SILMSER: At this time, no, I don't
9	believe so.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had a complaint with
11	the Cornwall Police Service about Father Charles MacDonald?
12	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: A complaint that you say you
14	didn't think was going anywhere?
15	MR. SILMSER: I knew it wasn't going
16	anywhere because they told me it wasn't going anywhere.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in paragraph three
18	there is what is known as a non-disclosure provision and it
19	says that you're not going to disclose certain things. For
20	example, it says you're not going to disclose the terms of
21	the settlement.
22	Do you see that?
23	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And it also says that not
25	only you are not to disclose the terms of the settlement

1	but you are not to disclose any of the events alleged to
2	have occurred.
3	MR. SILMSER: I see that.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Now, was the scope of that release, was that
6	explained to you?
7	MR. SILMSER: Like I said, the release was
8	never explained to me by Sean Adams or by Malcolm
9	MacDonald.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, at some point in time
11	did you realize that if you did disclose that you might
12	lose that \$32,000?
13	MR. SILMSER: No.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Was paragraph four explained
15	to you at all as some provisions of the Negligence Act and
16	amendments thereto?
17	MR. SILMSER: Again, none of the document
18	was explained to me.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: What about the fact that
20	there was no admission of liability in the fifth paragraph?
21	Was that explained to you what that meant?
22	MR. SILMSER: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, if we look at the next
24	document, which is Exhibit 264, this is a document that you
25	were looking at and signing at the same time as the

1	previous one, Sean Adams is certifying that he gave you
2	independent legal advice? Do you see that, a certificate
3	of independent legal advice?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And now this is saying that
6	he explained the nature and effect of the release. Would
7	it be fair to say, sir, that you knew by signing this that
8	that was it, you couldn't go against Father MacDonald or
9	the Diocese? Do you have that sense or what did you
10	understand?
11	MR. SILMSER: I just thought it was another
12	piece of paper I had to sign to get to put a finish to it,
13	for the \$32,000 settlement. Like none of these documents
14	were ever explained to me. I just had the papers in front
15	of me on his desk to sign.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just looking at the
17	acknowledgement in that document that you have signed. It
18	says:
19	"I confirm that I understand the nature
20	and the effect of the document."
21	Do you see that?
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN:
24	"I have executed it freely and
25	voluntarily. I have given complete

1	disclosure and I am satisfied with the
2	disclosure provided by the release and
3	confirmed by my solicitor."
4	Do you know what disclosure you were giving
5	by signing this?
6	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So you say that after this
8	you go back into the waiting room and the lawyers prepare a
9	couple more documents?
10	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Exhibit 265 and 266,
12	what was your understanding of these documents? And what I
13	mean by that is did you read them through before you signed
14	265?
15	MR. SILMSER: Actually, this is the first
16	time I have read it.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: It says at the end that you:
18	"hereby release Sean Adams and his
19	firm for any actions or claims I may
20	have should I determine that the
21	compensation paid to me is
22	insufficient."
23	Do you see that?
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So you're releasing him from

1	any claim you might have against him. Correct?
2	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Now, Mr. Adams hadn't
4	really dealt with this issue at all before September 2^{nd} ?
5	MR. SILMSER: No, he didn't.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he suggest to you
7	that very day, September 2^{nd} , that he really didn't know the
8	details and so he really couldn't comment on the amount of
9	the settlement and whether it was fair, or words to that
10	effect?
11	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe any
12	conversation in any anything to do with these documents
13	or the settlement took place. I told him that I just came
14	in to sign the papers for the \$32,000 and I needed a
15	lawyer.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you told that you had
17	to sign Exhibits 265 and 266 to get your settlement?
18	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Because they are not
20	referred to in Exhibit 263 but you understood you had to
21	sign these?
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, 266, this is the
24	document you were asked to sign that's to be sent to the
25	Cornwall City Police. Correct?

1	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says not only
3	Cornwall City Police but it says "and to Detective Sergeant
4	Luc Brunet" and to "Constable Heidi Sebalj".
5	MR. SILMSER: I see that.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you give those names to
7	Mr. Adams or Mr. MacDonald to put in this document?
8	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Adams didn't have
10	anything to do with this case before September 2^{nd} ?
11	MR. SILMSER: He didn't know nothing about
12	it, I believe.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: So do you know how it was
14	that Mr. MacDonald or why Mr. MacDonald would have referred
15	to Detective Sergeant Luc Brunet or Constable Heidi Sebalj?
16	MR. SILMSER: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you aware of
18	conversations and contacts Malcolm MacDonald would have had
19	with the Cornwall Police Service?
20	MR. SILMSER: No.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: About your case?
22	MR. SILMSER: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Were these two new documents
24	explained to you, to your knowledge?
25	MR. SILMSER: No, they weren't.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Did either of the lawyers
2	tell you why they were necessary in order for you to get
3	your settlement?
4	MR. SILMSER: Basically, it's just extra
5	papers to sign.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, perhaps
7	this would be the appropriate time to take the morning
8	break?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Let's take a
10	break and come back at 11:15.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	The hearing will resume at 11:15.
14	Upon recessing at 11:00 a.m. /
15	L'audience est suspendue à 11h00
16	Upon resuming at 11:23 a.m. /
17	L'audience est reprise à 11h23
18	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
19	veuillez vous lever.
20	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
21	is now in session. Please be seated. Veuillez vous
22	asseoir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann, are you
24	pouring water off your
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. I am reminded about

1	why we have the rule in the hearing room about no coffee; a
2	little clumsy.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I guess we should get a
4	warrant and do a search of the lawyer's tables and see how
5	much coffee.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I can assure you there is no
7	Tim Horton's cups underneath my podium.
8	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not hiding them.
10	DAVID SILMSER, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
11	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
12	<pre>ENGELMANN, (cont'd/suite):</pre>
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, we were dealing
14	with the meeting on September 2^{nd} , '93, in Malcolm
15	MacDonald's office and I just asked you a question about
16	Sean Adams and his lack of involvement before. I'm going
17	to jump ahead. I was going to come to a statement a little
18	later but I would like to go there now.
19	So if the witness could be shown this I'm
20	sorry, document number 721305?
21	Mr. Silmser, just to advise you that that is
22	a statement that was videotaped with the OPP in Kanata in
23	February of 1994.
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember that?

1	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had a lawyer present
3	by the name of Bryce Geoffrey?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. SILMSER: I'm sorry, the document number
7	is 721305. It may have another number as well, so just
8	give me a moment. Yes, 715059. They are the same
9	statement but 715059 is more complete.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So this will
11	be Exhibit 267, which is an interview dated February 22^{nd} ,
12	1994 of Mr. Silmser.
13	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-267:
14	David Silmser - Interview -
15	February 22, 1994
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I wanted to point out
17	and, again, this is not something I want to take the
18	witness to but there's a name on page 47 of an alleged
19	victim. I'm not sure if there's a publication ban and we
20	are trying to locate this witness so I would ask for the
21	time being that we have a moniker for him. He's found
22	THE COMMISSIONER: If you're not going to
23	mention him
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just worried about
25	for the purposes of publishing the name

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, well
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm certainly not going to
3	that excerpt.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Then what we should be
5	doing is putting an interim publication ban as opposed to a
6	moniker, or maybe not.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you tell me.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's do that. It's a
10	public document; perhaps an interim ban on that name and I
11	can advise because I'm just not sure if there's a
12	publication ban in effect from the courts.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	So it's on page what page?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Forty-seven (47).
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk, you don't
17	have to put it up again.
18	Where?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: It is about 10 lines down.
20	The sentence starting
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: "Silmser inaudible."
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	So the media is present and should be aware
25	that the name that is found on page 47 of this document,

1	Exhibit 267, will be an interim publication ban.
2	The reason I'm doing that is simply because
3	counsel has indicated that he is not certain at this point
4	whether or not there is an outstanding publication ban and
5	that you'll undertake to advise us when? Today, sometime?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure if I'm going to
7	be able to do that.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. What time?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Certainly hopefully, by
10	the end of the week.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
12	All right. So now you want to deal with
13	this document 267 Exhibit 267?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So Mr. Silmser, you recall
15	attending at an OPP station in Kanata?
16	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: As I said, this was February
18	22 nd , 1994.
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Would it be fair to say that
21	and we'll come back to this statement but this
22	statement; you're asked about all of the alleged abusers
23	that you mentioned to us yesterday?
24	MR. SILMSER: I believe so; yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would include the

1	allegations with respect to Father MacDonald? Correct?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: The allegations with respect
4	to Ken Seguin?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And there is, I believe,
7	some discussion about the third individual, Marcel Lalonde?
8	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll take you to this now
10	because there's some discussion about what happens on
11	September 2^{nd} , '93. This is, of course, a statement you
12	were giving in February of '94, which is only about six
13	months after September 2 nd , '93.
14	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could look at page 43
16	of the statement? The Bates page number, for the record, is
17	7078116, but I'm referring to the wrong doc number. If I
18	
10	could just have a moment?
19	could just have a moment? THE COMMISSIONER: What is it that you want?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: What is it that you want?
19 20	THE COMMISSIONER: What is it that you want? You want the doc number for page 43 of the document?
19 20 21	THE COMMISSIONER: What is it that you want? You want the doc number for page 43 of the document? MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
19 20 21 22	THE COMMISSIONER: What is it that you want? You want the doc number for page 43 of the document? MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. THE COMMISSIONER: Doc number 715059.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Seven-oh-five-six-eight-
2	four-four (7056844).
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
4	Now, Mr. Silmser, there's a reference to
5	Sean Adams near the top of the page. Do you see that?
6	MR. SILMSER: Where?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Four lines down.
8	MR. SILMSER: You're on page 43?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: If I could just have a
10	moment, sir, and approach the witness?
11	MR. SILMSER: Oh, yes. I see it.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You were asked by a police
13	officer by the name of Smith:
14	"Did you review that with anybody to
15	see if that was agreeable to yourself?"
16	And you say:
17	"Sean Adams. It was just like, I had
18	to have a lawyer to sign my just a
19	witness thing. So I phoned him up and
20	he said he'd go right down."
21	Do you see that?
22	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: That's a fair comment on
24	what happened?
25	MR. SILMSER: That's exactly what happened.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And then you say
2	you're asked if you knew him and you say:
3	"I worked at a mobile home park and he
4	was the park lawyer."
5	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN:
7	"So he reviewed the papers?"
8	"Yeah, with me."
9	And then you're asked this question:
10	"What advice this he give you on that?"
11	"Well, he said, 'You should hold back
12	and ask for more or I should have hold
13	off.' He says, I he says, 'You
14	should take some time. I can review
15	this a little closer'. I said, 'No, I
16	just want to get it over'."
17	Do you
18	MR. SILMSER: Do I remember saying that?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
20	MR. SILMSER: I remember there was some
21	discussion but I don't exactly know exactly what the
22	discussion was but it was very minimal. They never
23	elaborated much on it.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. What

1	conversation are we talking about?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's be a bit more
3	specific.
4	MR. SILMSER: To review the
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So in February of 1994, you
6	are telling the OPP that Mr. Adams, presumably on September
7	$2^{\rm nd}$, was telling you maybe you should hold off; maybe you
8	should take some time to review this a little closer.
9	Does that refresh your memory about what he
10	might have told you on September 2 nd , 1993?
11	MR. SILMSER: I believe in Malcolm
12	MacDonald's office, he really didn't go that much into
13	depth with that. I think his words were or his comment
14	was, something to, "Do you want to sign this?" type of
15	thing, basically. "You can hold off and I can review it
16	more." But that was it. If it went to that extent, it was
17	surprising.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, that's what you're
19	telling the OPP then, that essentially he told you, hey,
20	hold it; better be a bit cautious here, maybe look at this
21	a bit closer.
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you said, no, I
24	just want to do it?
25	MR. SILMSER: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So is that
2	MR. SILMSER: Basically what happened; yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Mr. Commissioner, I'm advised that the
5	individual we were just looking at, with respect to this
6	exhibit, is already the subject matter of a publication ban
7	in Exhibit 237.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	Well, has the party okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I will confirm over the
11	lunch hour and perhaps it should be a permanent, as opposed
12	to an interim ban.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: We can canvas the
14	parties.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: You can canvas the
17	parties to make sure that they're of the same view,
18	including the press.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll make sure I do that.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Those that are here.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you go on, on that same
24	page, to describe some discussion with the Cornwall Police
25	Service before the settlement date and you even say I'm

1	looking further down on the page.
2	"They kept on saying, 'Well, we don't
3	know what's going to happen. We're
4	going to have to bring in an outside
5	Crown'. They kept on going on like
6	that. Finally they said I asked
7	them at the end, 'Are you going to go
8	forward forward with the charges?'
9	'Not at this time.' So I thought it
10	was useless. That's when I settled for
11	the 32,000."
12	MR. SILMSER: Correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	That appears to be similar to what you've
15	told us today.
16	MR. SILMSER: Well, that's what happened.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: If I could just have a
18	minute, sir?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
22	shown document number 719887?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit No. 267 68,
24	sorry, a letter from Mr. Angus Malcolm MacDonald to Adams,
25	Sherwood, Swabey & Follon, dated September 2 nd , 1993.

1	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-268:
2	Letter from A.M. MacDonald to Sean
3	Adams, September 2, 1993
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Silmser, this is a
5	letter from Malcolm MacDonald to Sean Adams, who was acting
6	for you that day, on September 2^{nd} , '93.
7	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: It's a letter dated that
9	day, enclosing a cheque. Have you seen this letter before?
10	MR. SILMSER: No, I haven't.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	Do you recall, sir, when it was you were
13	given that cheque for \$32,000? I assume you were given a
14	cheque?
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if it was on
17	September 2 nd or if it was later?
18	MR. SILMSER: I think it was later.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have some
20	difficulties with that cheque, when you received it?
21	MR. SILMSER: There was a hold put on the
22	cheque when I went to the bank to try and cash it or do
23	something with it. I wanted to put it in a bank note so I
24	could bring it to my bank and they had told me there was a
25	hold on the cheque.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall when that was
2	in relation to September 2 nd ?
3	MR. SILMSER: It was at a later date.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you know how
5	much later?
6	MR. SILMSER: Not much later, but maybe a
7	week or so. I'm not sure.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you call
9	either Sean Adams or Malcolm MacDonald for some assistance
10	with that?
11	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Who did you speak with?
13	MR. SILMSER: I spoke with Malcolm
14	MacDonald. He told me to come down to the office and he
15	drove me to the bank in his car and took the hold off the
16	cheque.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you were able to
18	cash the cheque?
19	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So this letter says this is a letter from
22	Malcolm MacDonald to Sean Adams:
23	"This cheque is being given to you and
24	to be held in escrow until we are
25	advised by the City Police that David

1	Silmser has attended at the police
2	station and he advised them that he
3	does not want to proceed with any of
4	these charges."
5	Mr. Silmser, we understand, and there's a
6	note and I'll come to it, that you attended at the Cornwall
7	Police Service on September 29 th
8	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: to advise them that you
10	did not want to proceed with the charges against Father
11	Charles MacDonald?
12	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you get the cheque
14	before then?
15	MR. SILMSER: I believe so.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	So did Mr. Adams or Mr. MacDonald advise you
18	that you had to go down to the police station?
19	MR. SILMSER: I believe it was Mr. Adams.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Was that advice similar to what we see in
22	this letter?
23	MR. SILMSER: I had to do it in my own
24	handwriting at the police station.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You were told

1	you had to write something out at the police station?
2	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you told what would
4	happen if you didn't do that?
5	MR. SILMSER: That they would take the
6	\$32,000 back.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	We'll come to the meeting on September 29 th
9	in a minute.
10	So you received the cheque. You cashed it
11	after the difficulty and sometime later, you received a
12	phone call saying that you had to go down to the police
13	station?
14	MR. SILMSER: That's correct. And the
15	police knew I was coming?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
17	MR. SILMSER: The police knew I was coming.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Someone had
19	notified them that
20	MR. SILMSER: Well, this letter says it.
21	That's what surprises me. This letter says that the police
22	expected me to be there, didn't it?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, what it says is
24	essentially, one lawyer saying to the other, if I
25	understand the letter, that you shouldn't be getting this

1	cheque until you've gone down to the police and advised
2	them that you don't want to proceed.
3	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: But it appears you actually
5	got the cheque before you did that?
6	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And then were told you have
8	to do this or you're going to lose the 32,000?
9	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So if the witness could be
11	shown Exhibit or sorry, document number 732417.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Exhibit No. 269
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: which is a document
16	dated September 29, 1993, signed by Mr. Silmser and you
17	will identify further.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-269:
19	David Silmser - Handwritten Statement -
20	September 29, 1993.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, is that your
22	signature?
23	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it is.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Is there another signature
25	that's almost on it?

I	MR. SILMSER: Yes, there is.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know whose
3	signature that is or do you know who would have signed this
4	with you?
5	MR. SILMSER: It would be Heidi Sebalj.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And this is dated September 29, 1993?
8	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So how does this short note
10	relate to the last exhibit we looked at and the
11	instructions you received?
12	MR. SILMSER: This note is what I had to do
13	to keep the \$32,000.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And did you advise Ms. Sebalj that you had
16	to do this as part of the settlement?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you have told her that
19	you wouldn't get the money unless you did this?
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You kept her informed?
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes, and I also told her that
23	she said that she wasn't going forward with any charges,
24	and she agreed she wasn't.
25	So I said I had to do something. Police

1	weren't going forward with charges. The church wasn't
2	doing anything to get the truth out.
3	So I figured if I took the \$32,000, at least
4	the church might investigate their own priest. There had
5	to be something done here, and this is my way of doing it.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So you thought by having to
7	pay out the money, the Diocese might actually investigate
8	Father Charles?
9	MR. SILMSER: Well, there would be a better
10	chance if I just didn't want this whole thing to go
11	away, like, for them to forget about it.
12	If he abused me, I thought maybe there's a
13	chance he might abuse somebody else in the future, and this
14	way the church will look at it a little closer if it cost
15	them \$32,000.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: When you went down to the
17	Cornwall police station that day, where did you meet with
18	Constable Sebalj?
19	MR. SILMSER: We met right at the front
20	desk. She came out from her office to the front desk and
21	what really surprised me about that whole thing was Ken
22	Seguin was at the water fountain previous to that. For
23	around five minutes, he was just watching me and then I
24	told Heidi about this, and she seemed a little shocked and
25	I said "I need a piece of paper to write this down." And I

1	wrote it down and that was the end of it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at that time, in late
3	September of '93, was Mr. Seguin was his office still in
4	the same building as the police station?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	But he was on the fourth floor?
8	MR. SILMSER: As far as I know, he was.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And where is this front
10	counter where you met her?
11	MR. SILMSER: Right where the police front
12	desk is now.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that on the main floor of
14	the police station?
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it is.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Was this a short meeting, if
17	you were at the front counter or do you recall?
18	MR. SILMSER: Very short.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Were there any other police
20	officers present?
21	MR. SILMSER: No, there wasn't.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	Did she ask you to, after you told her you
24	had to do this to get the money, did she ask you to see a
25	copy of the settlement?

1	MR. SILMSER: No, she didn't.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did she ever ask you to see
3	a copy of the settlement?
4	MR. SILMSER: No, she didn't.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have a copy of the
6	settlement?
7	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe I did.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	So on September 2^{nd} , '93, when you left
10	Malcolm MacDonald's office, were you given a copy of all
11	those documents that you had signed?
12	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Do you know if Mr. Adams received those
15	documents?
16	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever get them from
18	anybody after that?
19	MR. SILMSER: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: The words that you used
21	here, in Exhibit 269, where did you get those words from?
22	MR. SILMSER: Malcolm MacDonald. Basically,
23	he was saying "That's what you have to write down."
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Was it Malcolm MacDonald or
25	Sean Adams

1	MR. SILMSER: Sean Adams.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: or both?
3	MR. SILMSER: That's a good question. I
4	basically think it was both because Sean had an input there
5	too and I believe Malcolm MacDonald also.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	Did you have any discussion with Malcolm
8	MacDonald about this when he helped you cash the cheque?
9	Do you recall?
10	MR. SILMSER: No. I think there was a phone
11	call if I remember rightly, but I'm not 100 per cent sure.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And you are saying that the
13	police were expecting you?
14	You said that earlier.
15	MR. SILMSER: There seemed to be no
16	surprise.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did she tell you why she was
18	expecting you?
19	MR. SILMSER: No.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So you show up there.
21	Heidi comes to the desk.
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: You ask for paper.
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you?

1	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	And did you copy what was written or was
4	this out of memory that you wrote this down?
5	MR. SILMSER: This, just out of memory.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	And any conversation going on, at that time,
8	between you and Heidi?
9	MR. SILMSER: Very little and if there was
10	it was "Are you sure you want to do this, Dave," or
11	something to that extent. "Are you sure you want to write
12	this out?"
13	And that's when I said to her "You decided
14	to stop the investigation or there's going to be no charges
15	laid," and she agreed to that and basically I said, "Well,
16	I have no choice then".
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	Did she ask you, do you remember, if you had
19	ever sued Charles MacDonald or the Diocese?
20	MR. SILMSER: No. I think she would have
21	known if I sued because I pretty well kept her informed in
22	everything.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Did she ask you about what
24	happened at the lawyer's office and how this all came
25	about?

1	MR. SILMSER: NO.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And you are sure she never
3	asked for a copy of the settlement?
4	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not!
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the note, you say you
6	"received the settlement to my
7	satisfaction from the Cornwall Catholic
8	Diocese. I wish that this matter
9	against Charles MacDonald be closed."
10	Is there any question about the connection
11	between a settlement from the Diocese and stopping charges
12	against Father MacDonald?
13	MR. SILMSER: Can you repeat that again?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You say in this note:
15	"I, David Silmser, received the
16	settlement to my satisfaction from the
17	Cornwall Catholic Diocese. I wish that
18	this matter against Charles MacDonald
19	be closed."
20	Was there any question about the connection,
21	the settlement from the Diocese closing a criminal charge
22	with Father MacDonald?
23	MR. SILMSER: In I was told to write this
24	for one thing. Basically, word-for-word, they told me what
25	to write and I had no problem with it, knowing that the

1	charges were not being laid and the investigation was
2	finished.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. SILMSER: I figured I had no choice.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	I am wondering if she had a problem with it.
7	MR. SILMSER: Oh! I don't know.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Did she express a concern
9	about you getting money from the Diocese and you asking
10	that charges against this priest be closed?
11	MR. SILMSER: Not to my knowledge.
12	It seemed to me that her hands were tied.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
14	MR. SILMSER: It seems to be my feeling
15	on the situation was her hands were tied and she had she
16	couldn't come back and say "I could do something." So her
17	hands were tied on this matter too. It just seemed like
18	that to me.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	She didn't actually say that to you?
21	MR. SILMSER: Oh! No. No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you tell her that as
23	part of the settlement you had to attend at the police
24	station and do just this, sign this form?
25	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall at/or about
2	that time also telling Constable Sebalj that there was a
3	woman I don't know if you knew the woman's name that
4	was trying to contact you about the allegations you had
5	made about child sexual abuse?
6	MR. SILMSER: That would be Helen Dunlop.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
8	Did you know her name then?
9	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you mention her to
11	or mention the fact that there was a woman trying to
12	contact you, to Constable Sebalj?
13	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ask if she knew,
15	'she' being Constable Sebalj, how this woman knew about you
16	and your allegations?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And why?
19	MR. SILMSER: Basically, again, I kept Heidi
20	Sebalj informed on everything that happened, and I just
21	wanted to know who she might have been. I didn't know who
22	she was, and I gave her the name and there was I don't
23	believe that Heidi said anything about it at that time.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you concerned about
25	someone who is a stranger to you trying to speak to you

1	about your allegations of child sexual abuse?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was. Basically, I was
3	concerned for one thing, how she got my phone number, and I
4	just had no idea who she was.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you think it's at the
6	meeting on September 29th or around that time that you
7	would have mentioned her to Constable Sebalj?
8	MR. SILMSER: That, I can't remember.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Could we just go back for
11	a second there.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I just want to close up.
14	On Sean Adams, did you ever pay and get a
15	bill for the services that he gave you?
16	MR. SILMSER: I paid but I never got a bill.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh! No, no. Okay.
18	So he charged you some money; you paid your
19	account and that was that?
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
22	Thank you.
23	Sorry.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Just to follow-up.
25	So you didn't actually get an invoice?

1	MR. SILMSER: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: You just paid him an amount
3	of money?
4	MR. SILMSER: I think it was on the street
5	on the way down when we were walking, and I think I handed
6	him \$400 or in his car or something, cash.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Was it that same day?
8	MR. SILMSER: No, it was the day I cashed
9	the cheque, I believe.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	But you paid him; no one else paid him?
12	MR. SILMSER: Oh! No. I paid him. It
13	wasn't a cheque either; it was cash.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	So when you September 29th, you have this
16	brief meeting with Constable Sebalj. You sign this Exhibit
17	269, it's in your handwriting.
18	Any feelings at that time; any reaction
19	leaving the Cornwall police station that day about where
20	you were at?
21	MR. SILMSER: Not necessarily. Like, again,
22	I had no problem writing this out, and I had no problem
23	because I knew the investigation was going nowhere. It had
24	actually stopped. She actually said "It's at an end. It's
25	finished". And the Cornwall Police couldn't help me out at

1	all anymore.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
3	Well, did she talk to you about getting
4	advice from an outside Crown at that time?
5	Do you remember?
6	MR. SILMSER: I remember something about an
7	outside Crown, and I asked her about that. I said "How can
8	you get an outside Crown, when the Crown in Cornwall,
9	Murray MacDonald didn't want to lay charges?" And she
10	didn't have an answer back to me, like, in other words, she
11	said, "Yes, it's pretty well finished the case is
12	finished".
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, are you talking about a
14	conversation you had in August now?
15	Are you talking about the conversation on
16	September 29th?
17	MR. SILMSER: I believe it was before
18	September 29th.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And you've told us earlier that you had a
21	conversation with her before taking the settlement about
22	whether this was going to be proceeding.
23	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you've written this
25	note. You've had discussions with Constable Sebalj with

1	respect to proceeding against Father MacDonald.
2	What about Ken Seguin?
3	Was there any discussion about whether
4	anything was happening with him or what was your
5	expectation at or about this time?
6	MR. SILMSER: There was no expectation
7	because I never mentioned it, or did they mention it to me
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So you hadn't been told if
9	there were any other victims or alleged victims that had
10	come forward?
11	MR. SILMSER: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And that didn't come up as
13	far as you know, in your conversation on that date?
14	MR. SILMSER: No, it didn't.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You mentioned Ken
16	Seguin coming up when he met with you in Bourget back in
17	March. To your knowledge, had there been any discussion
18	about Ken Seguin after that?
19	MR. SILMSER: No, there wasn't.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, during the month of
21	October 1993 did this woman that you didn't know, that you
22	found out was Helen Dunlop, did she try and contact you
23	further?
24	MR. SILMSER: I believe there was a few
25	phone calls, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you receive any
2	phone calls from an employee of the Children's Aid Society
3	also trying to contact you?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you know why someone
6	from the Children's Aid Society was trying to contact you?
7	MR. SILMSER: Not at the time, no.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you end up at some
9	point agreeing to meet with employees of the Children's Aid
10	Society?
11	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Madam Clerk, if Mr. Silmser
13	could be shown document number 721635, please?
14	It will just be a moment.
15	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	Exhibit 270 is a transcript called "Project
18	Blue", entry here of David Silmser at Children's Aid
19	Society, 02/11 of '93.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, the exhibit
21	number is?
22	THE REGISTRAR: Two-seventy (270).
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-270:
24	Project Blue Transcript - February
25	11, 1993

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, do you recall
2	having an interview or being interviewed by a Mr. Bell and
3	a Ms. DeBellis from the Children's Aid Society on November
4	2 nd , 1993?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand that this
7	interview was audio taped?
8	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And this was a meeting you
10	agreed to after you had received a few phone calls?
11	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And Mr. Bell or Ms. DeBellis
13	was persistent in trying to get a hold of you, I
14	understand?
15	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And at the beginning you
17	appear to be asking him how they got your name. Why were
18	you concerned about that?
19	MR. SILMSER: At the time, I think, through
20	this process if somebody had my name I was just
21	automatically concerned.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you initiated any
23	contact with the CAS earlier?
24	MR. SILMSER: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any idea how

1	they knew about you?
2	MR. SILMSER: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just looking at, for
4	example, Bates page 7081000, and then onto the next page.
5	It's page 4 and 5 of the document, sir.
6	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: At the bottom of the first
8	of those two pages you talk about a lady in Cornwall.
9	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Trying to get a hold of you.
11	Is that Helen Dunlop that you have referred to?
12	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And that discussion goes
14	onto the next page?
15	(SHORT PAUSE/COURT PAUSE)
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Correct?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So you're concerned about
19	how she has your name?
20	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're concerned about
22	why the CAS has your name?
23	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And there seems to be a lot
25	of discussion from Mr. Bell about what they do and why they

POPLIC HE	TAKTING
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE

1	what they are investigating.
2	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you then agree to talk to
4	them about some of the alleged sexual abuse?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And as I understand it, that
7	involves your allegations with respect to Father MacDonald?
8	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It also involves your
10	allegations with respect to Ken Seguin?
11	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And there is also a brief
13	mention of Marcel Lalonde?
14	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, this would appear
16	to be the first statement you give where you mention his
17	name.
18	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know why it came out
20	then and I'm looking at, for example, Bates page
21	7081022. You sort of mention his name in passing and then
22	you go into it a bit later.
23	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Just at the top of that

102

page. It's page 26 of the document.

1	MR. SILMSER: Okay. Okay.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have some sense
3	looking back as to why you reveal this third alleged abuser
4	at that time?
5	MR. SILMSER: For me, it was a process.
6	First, there was Charles MacDonald; second would be Ken
7	Seguin and third would be the last abuser. It would be
8	Marcel Lalonde. It had for me it had to come out slowly
9	like that. I could only handle so much mentally.
10	As you read on, you'll see that I am pretty
11	angry. I was very frustrated and I could only handle so
12	much at that time. Even then I didn't even know if I could
13	handle bringing out somebody else that abused me.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, if you take a look at
15	page 7081028; it's page 32.
16	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: There is a statement from
18	you. Can you just have a look at it, sir, and comment on
19	that?
20	Then, if you could take a look at page 34,
21	Bates 7081030 and the statement you give there?
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. SILMSER: Thirty-four (34)?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm.
25	MR. SILMSER: Whereabouts on 34?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: It's on the screen.
2	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: It's right in the middle of
4	the page, sir.
5	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It says "DS".
7	MR. SILMSER: That's good.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And I want you to look at a
9	couple of other comments you make.
10	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Seven-oh-eight-one-zero-
12	three-two (7081032), page 36.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And then the following page,
15	7081033, page 37.
16	MR. SILMSER: I didn't read I didn't
17	finish reading.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I am sorry.
19	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And lastly, Mr. Silmser,
22	page 7081035. It's page 39.
23	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Summarize for us, sir, what
2	you're saying, what you're trying to say to the Children's
3	Aid Society in November 1993.
4	MR. SILMSER: Basically exactly what it
5	says, that I felt at that time that these people that
6	abused me, like Ken Seguin and Charles MacDonald, were
7	powerful men in the community and they had a lot more
8	connections with the Crown Attorney, Mary MacDonald; other
9	people with power, and here I was, a kid with a a person
10	with a criminal record and it was an uphill battle. But I
11	believed that someday the truth would come out. One way or
12	the other it would come out.
13	Again, I was frustrated when I wrote this.
14	Many times I was frustrated.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a conversation you
16	are having.
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: You are expressing these
19	views.
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
24	MR. SILMSER: There is a lot of emotions
25	coming out here, especially when you have to relive this

1	every time. This is not the first time I wrote a
2	statement. This is you've got to relive it every
3	institution you've got to relive it again. Even in this
4	Inquiry I have to relive it again. It's hard on a person
5	and that's just what happened in CAS. They wanted me to
6	relive my thing again and I tried to do the best job I
7	could for them but
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Was this a difficult
9	interview?
10	MR. SILMSER: They all were. That wasn't
11	the only interview. The Tim Smith and Mike Fagan interview
12	with the OPP was a tough, tough interview too. They never
13	got easier.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
15	At the end of this interview, or towards the
16	end, there is some discussion. Again, you make a similar
17	comment to the comments we have looked at on Bates page
18	7081038, page 42.
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I think we are frozen.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Are we frozen?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, there we go.
24	Mr. Silmser, if you want to look at the
25	paper it's the very last. There you go.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Bring it up a little
2	more. There you go.
3	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
4	MR. ENGELMANN: At the very next page you
5	seem to leave things where you are to get back to them or
6	there is going to be some follow up. Do you know if there
7	was much follow up on your end in any event?
8	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember I ever phoned
9	them. I believe there is no follow up on their on
10	behalf of them that I knew of anyways.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm going to show you a
12	couple of documents from the next year where there might be
13	some correspondence between the Children's Aid Society and
14	yourself.
15	MR. SILMSER: At a much later date.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they tell you what
19	Project Blue was?
20	Did they tell you what their purpose was?
21	Did they talk about protecting children?
22	MR. SILMSER: I didn't even know it was
23	called Project Blue. I had no idea.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MR. SILMSER: I didn't know they had a

1	project on this.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: They told you they were
3	investigating, and they asked you about your allegations of
4	child sexual abuse, and they asked you to describe
5	incidents involving the three individuals you've named.
6	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have a sense that
8	they were looking into these individuals with respect to
9	protection of children?
10	MR. SILMSER: I was hoping they would.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann, I don't
13	know if this would be a convenient time to break. We could
14	take an earlier lunch hour and come back at 1:45. I know I
15	have a conference call at 12:30.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: That would be fine, sir.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	So have a good lunch and we'll see you at
21	1:45.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
23	REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre.
24	Veuillez vous lever.
25	The hearing will resume at 1:45.

1	Upon recessing at 12:12 p.m./
2	L'audience est suspendue à 12h12
3	Upon resuming at 13:59 p.m./
4	L'audience est reprise à 13h59
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. Veuillez
6	vous lever.
7	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
8	is now in session. Please be seated. Veuillez vous
9	asseoir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: How are we doing?
11	MR. SILMSER: Good.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
13	Thank you.
14	Go ahead.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr.
16	Commissioner.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
18	DAVID SILMSER, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
19	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
20	<pre>ENGELMANN, (cont'd/suite):</pre>
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr. Silmser.
22	MR. SILMSER: Good afternoon.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, I believe when
24	we left off we had reviewed an exhibit dealing with the
25	interview you had with the Children's Aid Society?

1	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And this now, just to place
3	this in time, is early November of 1993.
4	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And shortly after that, sir
6	let's move ahead a little later in the month. Later on
7	in November of 1993, you were informed are you not, of the
8	death of Mr. Seguin?
9	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Ken Seguin.
11	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's go back to the
13	beginning of the month then.
14	Do you recall shortly after your meeting
15	with the CAS, whether you contacted Malcolm MacDonald about
16	Mr. Seguin?
17	MR. SILMSER: I believe I did.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
19	And why were you calling Malcolm MacDonald?
20	MR. SILMSER: Basically because I knew that
21	was his lawyer, and that I wanted the truth to come out
22	about the abuse, and that I basically wanted him to come
23	and give me an apology again, and to basically, apology
24	at the beginning.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	Now, you thought Malcolm MacDonald was Ken
2	Seguin's lawyer?
3	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And he had been Charles
5	MacDonald's lawyer?
6	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And when you first contacted
8	Malcolm and asked him whether he was Ken Seguin's lawyer,
9	did he tell you he was or wasn't at that point in time?
10	MR. SILMSER: I believe he told me he was.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
12	Do you recall if he initially told you or if
13	he initially said to you, "Not at this time?"
14	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember that.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	Mr. Silmser, shortly after Mr. Seguin died,
17	were you interviewed by the OPP?
18	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	I just want to show you a document.
21	It's Document number 715498, Madam Clerk.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23	This is Exhibit 271, which is an interview
24	report, 26 November '93 of David Silmser.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-271:

1	David Silmser - Handwritten Statement -
2	November 26, 1993
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, just to the
4	left on the first page of the document, 7057870, is that
5	your signature?
6	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it is.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And is this document written
8	in your handwriting or that of someone else?
9	MR. SILMSER: That of someone else.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And do you recall whether you were
12	interviewed by two OPP officers on November 26 th , 1993?
13	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And I believe the names are Millar and
16	McDonell?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, they are.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	I want to go to the statement. I don't know
20	if you'd had a chance to read this, sir, this particular
21	statement.
22	MR. SILMSER: No, I haven't.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
24	I want to go to this to perhaps refresh your
25	memory about some of the events or occurrences that took

1	place in November of 1993.
2	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Why don't we go to the
4	document for that purpose first.
5	If you'd just give me a moment?
6	I believe the description of the month of
7	November yes, if you look at page 4 of the document,
8	which is Bates page 7057873, I believe it says:
9	"About three weeks ago, Gregory Bell
10	phoned me, said he was doing an
11	investigation on Father Charles
12	MacDonald and Ken Seguin and he wanted
13	to see me."
14	We've talked about Mr. Bell. He was one of
15	the two individuals from the Children's Aid Society who
16	interviewed you?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he was.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	"I told him everything was settled. I
20	didn't really want to talk to him. He
21	kept calling me back."
22	That was probably in the month of October
23	because you know you met with him November 2^{nd} .
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: "He told me with their

1	policy, I would be protected and that I
2	would be protecting children. I
3	finally agreed to go in."
4	You talk about telling your story, leaving
5	out some of the details about the sex.
6	Do you see that?
7	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Then it says:
9	"I started thinking again about what
10	had happened to me. I had put one man
11	in his place. That's when I decided to
12	go after Seguin for what he had done to
13	me."
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I see that.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, would it be fair to say
16	that the CAS interview might have been a sparkplug for you
17	to want to do something about Mr. Seguin?
18	MR. SILMSER: I think the whole process was.
19	I never got satisfaction out of Charles MacDonald, and the
20	idea was to still get the truth out somehow, and Seguin was
21	the next guy in line.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was still an active
23	probation officer at this time, to your knowledge?
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he was.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Now it says there:

1	"I phoned Malcolm MacDonald and asked
2	him if he was representing Ken Seguin.
3	He said, 'No, not at this time'."
4	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that refresh your
6	memory about that call?
7	MR. SILMSER: It doesn't refresh my memory,
8	but
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. SILMSER: if I wrote it back then.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you take any issue with
12	that?
13	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Your memory was better then,
15	than it would be today?
16	MR. SILMSER: Of course it is.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And you don't take any issue
18	with this being what you would have told the OPP that day?
19	MR. SILMSER: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Just on that note, do you know if they would
22	have given you an opportunity to read the statement before
23	you signed it?
24	MR. SILMSER: I would believe so, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	Then it says:
2	"I phoned Ken Seguin around a week or
3	so ago, at work. Told him that I
4	wanted the settlement from him also,
5	for what he had done to me. He told me
6	to talk to Malcolm MacDonald, his
7	lawyer."
8	So Malcolm tells you he's not yet his lawyer
9	or not at this time; you speak to Ken and he sends you back
10	to Malcolm?
11	MR. SILMSER: That's fair enough.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that kind of how you
13	remember it?
14	MR. SILMSER: I have a hard time remembering
15	that far back.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	All right.
18	MR. SILMSER: But if I said it back then, my
19	memory is better back then, like you said.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Let me ask you this.
21	Do you have some recollection of discussions
22	with both Malcolm MacDonald and Ken Seguin during the month
23	of November?
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, then you have a note

1	about calling the interview goes on and it says:
2	"I called Malcolm. He asked me if I
3	had a lawyer. I said, 'No'. He said
4	he could not be involved in this case
5	if I had a lawyer."
6	Do you have any recollection of that
7	statement at this time?
8	MR. SILMSER: No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Then there's some discussion with you about
11	amounts.
12	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And there's a comment that if you don't have
15	the money, that you're going to sue the Ministry of
16	Probation and Parole.
17	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
19	MR. SILMSER: M'hm.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So you told us today you
21	were seeking an apology.
22	MR. SILMSER: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: At some point in time in
24	November, is it fair to say you're seeking some
25	compensation for what happened as well?

1	MR. SILMSER: That is correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And if that's not
3	forthcoming, you're going to hire a lawyer and take some
4	action.
5	MR. SILMSER: Civil action; that's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
7	It then goes on, on the next page, sir, and
8	you discuss the fact that Malcolm is going to get back to
9	you with an answer from Ken Seguin and you didn't hear so -
10	- I'm just paraphrasing you then phoned Ken Seguin on
11	the Wednesday night at his home. You say between 7:00 and
12	9:00 p.m.
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: That was just the Wednesday
15	before this statement, the 23 rd of November?
16	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could just read on,
18	sir.
19	MR. SILMSER: From where?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Just the top of that page.
21	MR. SILMSER: "Malcolm said he would call
22	me back on Wednesday the 24 th , on Friday
23	the 26 th November, '93 with an answer
24	from Ken Seguin. Malcolm said he had a
25	doctor's appointment on Thursday. I

1	didn't hear anything Wednesday, so I
2	phoned Ken Seguin at his home between
3	7:00 and 9:00 p.m."
4	I don't know what that word is.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: "I asked him if he was
6	going to make a settlement"
7	MR. SILMSER: " if he was going to make a
8	settlement by Friday or not. Ken said,
9	'I don't think I can come up with that
10	type of money'. He said, 'Malcolm
11	would call me first'"
12	THE COMMISSIONER: "'first thing Thursday
13	′ ″
14	MR. SILMSER: "first thing Thursday
15	morning'. I said, 'You'"
16	THE COMMISSIONER:
17	"'have until'"
18	MR. SILMSER:
19	"'have until Friday to get'"
20	THE COMMISSIONER:
21	" ' a settlement'"
22	MR. SILMSER:
23	"'… a settlement …'"
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
25	MR. SILMSER: Now it's flicking all over the

1	place here.
2	Where are we?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Let me just give it a try,
4	sir.
5	"' or I will be getting a lawyer and
6	suing'."
7	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
9	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Then there's a comment:
11	"There was no response for three or
12	four seconds and I said, 'Goodbye'."
13	Now, I just want to ask you. I know this
14	was done shortly after that occurred.
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But do you recall what
17	happened right at the end of that conversation with Mr.
18	Seguin?
19	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And was there more than what
21	you've set out here in this statement?
22	MR. SILMSER: I haven't read the whole
23	statement. So I don't know.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
25	Well, just take your time. Have a look at

1	the rest of that page.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't think there's
3	anything after that, but did you phone Ken Seguin?
4	MR. SILMSER: No, I did not.
5	Yes, there was more. And I don't know why
6	that's not in, because I'm sure I told them. Anyways, what
7	I said to him was basically that and he said, "Did you go
8	to the Cornwall Police?"
9	MR. ENGELMANN: He being Ken Seguin?
10	MR. SILMSER: Ken Seguin.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: This is the telephone call?
12	MR. SILMSER: That is correct.
13	He said, "Did you go to the Cornwall
14	Police?" And I said, "Yes, I have gone to the Cornwall
15	Police." And he said, "Did you tell them anything?" I
16	told him, I said, "I told him the truth". And he went,
17	"Oh, my God!" And then the phone went click. And then that
18	night, he committed suicide.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's how you remember
20	that call ending?
21	MR. SILMSER: That's exactly how it went.
22	Let me say something if I can. His suicide
23	really bothered me for a long time. In fact, I sometimes
24	wished I wouldn't have come forward to see a man commit
25	suicide like that. That really bothered me and still

	AODILACI IODILQOI
1	bothers me today. I'm getting better with it, but
2	sometimes I wonder if I should even come forward. I still
3	think I did the right thing by coming forward, but that was
4	a really, really hard time in my life when I heard that he
5	had committed suicide, because I didn't want that to ever
6	happen.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you find out about
8	it?
9	MR. SILMSER: Malcolm MacDonald, the next
10	morning.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Was it the next morning, or
12	was it on the Friday morning?
13	MR. SILMSER: No, Malcolm MacDonald the next
14	morning. I had phoned Malcolm
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to get the dates
16	down. Again, just go back to your statement for a minute,
17	that's going to be helpful.
18	You called and had this discussion with Mr.
19	Seguin on a Wednesday.
20	MR. SILMSER: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And then a little later on
22	that page, Bates 7057874, it's page 5 of the Statement:

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

since that call?"

"Did you phone Ken Seguin's residence

Question:

23

24

25

1	"No, I did not. On Friday."
2	MR. SILMSER: Oh, it would have been
3	Thursday, Thursday morning. It was the next morning that I
4	phoned Malcolm MacDonald's office, I believe, and I said,
5	"Is there any action on the civil suit on the
6	settlement?" And he said, "You haven't heard?"
7	And I said, "No." and he said, "Oh, Ken
8	committed suicide last night." And he pretty well blamed
9	me for his suicide on the phone.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Who blamed you?
11	MR. SILMSER: Malcolm MacDonald. And he
12	hung the phone up. And later on in the day, I believe I
13	had the visit by the OPP.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. The visit by the OPP
15	appears to have been on the 26th
16	MR. SILMSER: Which would be
17	MR. ENGELMANN: that would be the
18	Saturday.
19	MR. SILMSER: Oh, Saturday, so it had been
20	later.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: The reason and just to
22	try and trace the dates again just looking at the same page
23	we were on, the Friday would have been the 25th. The
24	Thursday would have been the 24th oh, I'm sorry no,
25	the 24th was Wednesday.

1	You are right, sir. It says 24th,
2	Wednesday, at the top of the page. And then Friday was the
3	26th.
4	So you would have had the interview with the
5	OPP the same day, on Friday, this morning around 9:30 a.m.
6	That's when you found out.
7	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So the OPP were interviewing
9	you that afternoon?
10	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Where was that interview?
12	MR. SILMSER: In Bourget, my residence in
13	Bourget.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the interview, it
15	appears you give them some background as to about when you
16	came forward with your allegations.
17	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: It starts, and I'm just
19	looking at the first page, you talk about, in this case,
20	you say:
21	"Telephoned the Long Sault OPP."
22	MR. SILMSER: No, that wasn't correct
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you believe today that
24	you made them aware as a result of a driving infraction or
25	driving offence.

1	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So there is some
3	description about the history of the case from December of
4	'92 forward.
5	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, were you
7	told either then or at any time later that you were being
8	investigated?
9	MR. SILMSER: I believe in that interview I
10	was told I was being investigated. I'm not sure what for.
11	I don't know if they ruled it as suicide at that time, that
12	I was being for, I don't know, murder or whatever it
13	was. They never really let me on, but I knew I was being
14	investigated. At first I thought it was because they were
15	thinking I murdered him, but they ruled it as suicide.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they ever mention to
17	you, either these officers or other officers, that you were
18	being investigated for a possible offence known as
19	extortion?
20	MR. SILMSER: That came at the later at
21	the later on in the interview.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: This particular interview,
23	sir, or another one?
24	MR. SILMSER: No, this interview.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Could you just review the

1	statement quickly if you could.
2	MR. SILMSER: Sure.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't have to read it
4	out loud. I just want you to take a look at it.
5	MR. SILMSER: The whole thing or?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, the first three pages,
7	sir, appear to be matters involving Father MacDonald and
8	some dealings with the Cornwall Police Service, and the
9	settlement discussion we've talked about in September of
10	'93. And then not proceeding with charges and that takes
11	us right to page 4.
12	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Where we started, looking at
14	November. We looked at page 5 and I don't see anything in
15	here about extortion or murder or that they're
16	investigating you for anything.
17	MR. SILMSER: I believe they said at the end
18	of the meeting was "If you would have said anything else to
19	us in a statement, we would have charged you for
20	extortion".
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right, so you
22	think there was a statement at the end?
23	MR. SILMSER: Oh, they still talk to me at
24	the end of the statement.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. All right.

1	Did you know these two officers?
2	MR. SILMSER: I knew no, I never met them
3	before, but I found out that Constable McDonell was Charles
4	MacDonald's cousin.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: His first cousin?
6	MR. SILMSER: I'm not sure what he was a
7	cousin of his.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you that or was
9	that someone else who told you that? Can you remember?
10	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember which officer
11	told me that. No.
12	But I also know that Chris McDonell was
13	going around to the altar boys and badmouthing me, when he
14	was doing the investigation and John Maloney was one of
15	them saying that "Dave Silmser is no good for nothing.
16	He's incredible and this thing is just going to go away"
17	type of thing, to John Maloney.
18	John Maloney told me that and
19	MR. ENGELMANN: John Maloney was another
20	altar boy?
21	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he was. Well, he never
22	came forward as a victim, but he was, through the court
23	cases in Ottawa, he was kind of like a support for me
24	because he was there. He realized was the truth was, and
25	he saw the truth with the cases, and he was he became a

1	friend.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Your discussion
3	with John Maloney, that would have taken place during the
4	1994 calendar year after the OPP started to investigate
5	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: your concerns about
7	Father Charles?
8	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you didn't talk to
10	these two OPP officers about Marcel Lalonde?
11	MR. SILMSER: I don't think they were very
12	interested in that.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: They were really interested
14	in Ken Seguin's sudden death.
15	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you gave them some
17	background and then you talked about what you were doing in
18	November.
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Silmser, I want to
21	just ask you if you remember a couple of other things that
22	took place in the month of November.
23	Do you remember having a telephone
24	conversation and I know you had many with her, but this
25	is later now with Heidi Sebalj about Ken Seguin or about

1	the CAS or about this woman that was trying to get a hold
2	of you?
3	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you remember
5	if the Cornwall Police Service were trying to get hold of
6	you to discuss whether or not you wanted to proceed with
7	charges against Ken Seguin?
8	MR. SILMSER: They never did that. No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you know if they
10	might have tried to contact the lawyer you had hired for
11	that settlement agreement, Sean Adams, to ask him to find
12	out about whether or not he wanted to proceed with any
13	allegations against Ken Seguin?
14	MR. SILMSER: No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't know or you don't
16	remember?
17	MR. SILMSER: No. No, there isn't they
18	didn't happen.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: At least, Mr. Adams never
20	told you that he was contacted by them.
21	MR. SILMSER: That's true.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, on or about the
23	24^{th} of November, so this is the night that you called Ken
24	Seguin, the last time you speak to him, do you recall
25	making a phone call to the Cornwall Police Service and

l	expressing concern to an officer on the phone there that i
2	something happens to you something untoward happens to
3	you that they should be suspicious about Ken Seguin?
4	MR. SILMSER: I remember making that phone
5	call, but I don't know exactly when I made it.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what
7	MR. SILMSER: Prompted that?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
9	MR. SILMSER: Basically, I'm still dealing
10	with pretty powerful people in this community and I was a
11	little paranoid
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe in fairness you
13	might have said that you were concerned about either Ken
14	Seguin or Charles MacDonald.
15	MR. SILMSER: Yeah. I don't know if that
16	was the time when the hearsay or whatever you want to say,
17	where they had the meeting on Stanley Island, Murray
18	MacDonald and I don't know if that's the time I heard
19	that, but there was death threats against Perry Dunlop.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: This is some time before
21	then. This is
22	MR. SILMSER: Before that?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: and I am going to
24	suggest to you it was on November $24^{ m th}$
25	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: of 1993.
2	MR. SILMSER: Basically, back then it was
3	just I was leery. You know, here I am, just one person
4	going against the system and
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't have counsel at
6	that time, as in a legal counsel?
7	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, a couple of weeks after
9	Mr. Seguin's death, so we're into mid-December of 1993, do
10	you recall if you placed a call to an official from the
11	Ministry of Corrections
12	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: to report allegations of
14	sexual abuse by Mr. Seguin?
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did. And the reason
16	for that was I told him he better check Ken Seguin's files
17	and all the people he had on his files because I believed
18	there was more than just me being abused by him.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Let me just stop
20	you for a second.
21	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you recall if the person
23	you spoke to was Bill Roy?
24	MR. SILMSER: I don't know the name. Sorry,
25	I can't remember the name.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Was the person a
2	manager, a regional manager for the Ministry?
3	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he was.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have explained
5	to this official that you had already been to the Cornwall
6	Police Service about Mr. Seguin, or do you remember?
7	MR. SILMSER: I believe so, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you mention that
9	they had investigated your case or may have investigated
10	your case?
11	MR. SILMSER: I don't know. I can't
12	remember the exact words I said to him.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know now if you got
14	into any details of the abuse that you alleged from Ken
15	Seguin?
16	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so, no.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	So what did you want him to do?
19	MR. SILMSER: I wanted him to open up Ken
20	Seguin's files and go through where his clients were and
21	make sure that nobody else was abused by Ken Seguin.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did he respond to
23	that suggestion?
24	MR. SILMSER: He responded by saying that he
25	was going to phone the OPP on me.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Okay.
3	So let's just go through the call as best
4	you can recall.
5	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You make a suggestion about
7	opening up files, looking you're concerned that there
8	were other victims.
9	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Does he indicate a
11	willingness to do that?
12	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not!
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And how so?
14	How does he respond?
15	MR. SILMSER: I forget exactly how it went,
16	but it was totally negative on his part. In fact, that was
17	like I was bothering him and I shouldn't be phoning him.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you respond to that?
19	MR. SILMSER: Angrily, and that's probably
20	why he said he'd phone the OPP. He wasn't listening to me.
21	So I basically let him know how I felt.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And you might have been
23	loud?
24	MR. SILMSER: There is a good chance of
25	that.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Profane?
2	MR. SILMSER: I'm not sure about that but
3	_
4	MR. ENGELMANN: But you were angry?
5	MR. SILMSER: I was angry.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And he told you he might
7	phone the OPP on you?
8	MR. SILMSER: He might. He said he was
9	going to.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Going to, and why?
11	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Did the OPP ever investigate you as far as
14	you know about that telephone call?
15	MR. SILMSER: I never heard from them over
16	that telephone call, no.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	That was a long time ago.
19	Now, after that call did this official or
20	anyone else from the Ministry get back to you about the
21	concerns you had expressed and about the allegations?
22	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't believe so.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's then go to January of
24	1994, and just to again, now this is 13 years ago. This
25	is when there is a large degree of media attention to your

1	case.
2	Do you remember how that starts?
3	MR. SILMSER: The media attention?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	MR. SILMSER: I believe probably when the
6	\$32,000 settlement ended up in the news; my statement ended
7	up in the news, on CJOH; the \$32,000 settlement ended up in
8	the news.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And CJOH is an Ottawa
10	television station?
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you actually see the
13	report about the settlement in your case?
14	MR. SILMSER: I didn't see the report of the
15	settlement, but I saw my statement on TV.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And which statement are you
17	referring to?
18	MR. SILMSER: My first statement I brought
19	to the Cornwall Police.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: The eight-page statement
21	that you brought to them on February 16 th ?
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I have actually forgotten
24	the exhibit number.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Exhibit 262.
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So you actually saw the
4	statement on television?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Just as we see it on the
7	screen?
8	MR. SILMSER: Yes, with my signature also
9	visible.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any idea how it
11	came to be on television?
12	MR. SILMSER: All I could think of was the
13	police officer from the Cornwall Police had to have given
14	it to CJOH because that's the only place my statement was
15	ever at.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Had you ever given permission for your
18	statement to be shown on television?
19	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not!
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did you respond when
21	you found this out?
22	MR. SILMSER: I was in shock. I was sitting
23	with my wife in the living room and watching it and I
24	couldn't believe what was on TV. I forget exactly what I
25	did right away, but I was pretty upset.

22

23

24

25

	AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE In-Ch (Engelmann)
1	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you decide to deal
2	with Cornwall Police on your own about this or did you
3	engage a lawyer at that time?
4	MR. SILMSER: That's the time I hired Bryce
5	Geoffrey, out of Ottawa, to represent me on this matter.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Now, I understand that one of the things
8	that you did was you filed a complaint, a citizen's
9	complaint with the Cornwall Police?
10	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
12	shown document number 729768?
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Exhibit 272 is a public complaint form dated
16	January 21 st , 1994.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO P-272:
18	Public Complaint Against CPS -
19	January 21, 1994
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, is that your

137

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

MR. SILMSER: Yes, it is.

MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

this. I want to ask you a couple of questions about it, if

Now, it may be some time since you have seen

signature on the first page of the document?

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	I may.
2	Mr. Geoffrey assisted you in the preparation
3	of this complaint?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes, he did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And the allegations of
6	misconduct read:
7	"Unauthorized release of confidential
8	statement given to police in context of
9	criminal investigation. For additional
10	particulars please see January 11 th ,
11	'94, a letter from Bryce Geoffrey."
12	So, apparently, Mr. Geoffrey had also
13	written a letter.
14	Is that fair?
15	MR. SILMSER: That's fair.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Setting this out.
17	You have indicated that the officer involved
18	was H. Sebalj.
19	Do you see that on the form?
20	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And you told us that you got along fairly
23	well with Constable Sebalj.
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I did.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	Why
2	MR. SILMSER: Would I say that?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Why name her here?
4	MR. SILMSER: Because she was the only
5	police officer that would have access to my statement, I
6	thought anyways.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So it was your understanding, as
9	investigating officer, she would have control of the
10	statement?
11	MR. SILMSER: Definitely!
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And, Mr. Silmser, on the third page, Bates
14	page 7117629
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: there is a summary of
17	the incident.
18	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The first paragraph
20	certainly is some information that you would have knowledge
21	of?
22	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: The same would be true of
24	the second paragraph?
25	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: The same would also be true
2	of the third paragraph?
3	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What about the information
5	we see in paragraphs four, five and six?
6	Do you know where that information came
7	from?
8	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And to be sure here, on September $24^{\rm th}$ you
11	didn't know that a Cornwall Police Officer uninvolved in
12	the investigation approached the investigating officer?
13	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Nor did you know about what
15	they say happened on September 25 th ?
16	MR. SILMSER: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And, likewise, September 26
18	and September 30 th ?
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Now, did you have a particular purpose in
22	mind in filing this complaint?
23	Did you understand what these complaints
24	were about?
25	MR. SILMSER: Basically, when I hired my

1	lawyer I thought it was just wrong to have my statement out
2	on TV.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. SILMSER: And I thought he could do
5	something about it.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	It's my understanding, Mr. Silmser, that in
8	addition to filing this complaint, he also filed a lawsuit
9	on your behalf.
10	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Against the City of
12	Cornwall, the Police Service Board, the Cornwall Police and
13	I believe two officers, Heidi Sebalj and Perry Dunlop.
14	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And it is my understanding
16	that that happened a little bit later. This was filed in
17	January and that might have happened in February or March.
18	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that seem about right?
20	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Well, you recall you had pursued both
23	avenues?
24	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And that Mr. Geoffrey was

1	doing this on your behalf?
2	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And was the civil action
4	settled?
5	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And settled to your
7	satisfaction?
8	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so, but I
9	signed off on it.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And the other matter, this complaint if
12	the witness could be shown document number 719964?
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. ENGELMANN: It's a letter from Carl
15	Johnston, Acting Chief of Police, to David Silmser, dated
16	June 2 nd , 1994.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Exhibit No. 273.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO P-273:
21	P-273: Letter from Carl R. Johnston to
22	David Silmser - June 2, 1994
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could just have a
24	look at that letter for a minute, sir?
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the letter the
3	finding of the Cornwall Police Service's Professional
4	Standards Bureau is that Ms. Sebalj was not responsible for
5	leaking this information, essentially?
6	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: She had not done anything
8	wrong?
9	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you accept that as
11	an answer to the complaint? What was your reaction when
12	you got that; do you recall?
13	MR. SILMSER: Well, still somebody had to
14	give it to the news media.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MR. SILMSER: If it wasn't Heidi it had to
17	be somebody. I was kind of happy it wasn't Heidi because I
18	liked Heidi.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
20	So let's go back to January then. There is
21	a story about the settlement, the money, the parties and
22	then your statements up on the screen.
23	Do you remember whether or not there were
24	media releases and/or press conferences by some of the
25	institutions in the community?

1	MR. SILMSER: There was numerous press
2	releases and numerous media coverage.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. SILMSER: I don't know which one you're
5	talking about.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I just want to get a
7	sense as to whether or not you realized that there were
8	several?
9	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand that the
11	Diocese was involved in some of those media releases?
12	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand that the
14	Cornwall Police Service was?
15	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I also understand the
17	Children's Aid Society was.
18	MR. SILMSER: I have missed that one. So I
19	don't know.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	There may have been others, but my
22	understanding that those three institutions all were
23	speaking to the media about the settlement.
24	MR. SILMSER: I see.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you recall the Diocese

1	and the Cornwall Police Service doing so?
2	MR. SILMSER: I remember the Bishop talking
3	about the settlement.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And, in fact, you had some concerns at that
6	time as did your lawyer about some of what was being said
7	by the Bishop.
8	MR. SILMSER: My lawyer actually is the one
9	that caught it. He saw the - that they said that there was
10	no they never hushes me up. Actually I saw it too. I
11	didn't think there could be anything done about it, but he
12	phoned the Bishop, and asked him if he would go back on TV,
13	and straighten that mistake out.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Might he have called the
15	Bishop's lawyer?
16	MR. SILMSER: Oh! That is very possible.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	And do you recall if there was some exchange
19	of correspondence between your lawyer and counsel from the
20	Diocese about press releases and/or the media?
21	MR. SILMSER: I'm not sure.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	I am going just to show you a couple of
24	documents if I can, sir. If the witness could be shown
25	Document 738040. It's a letter dated January 13 th , 1994

1	from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc. If that can be the
2	next exhibit.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 274, letter dated
4	January 13 th , 1994.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-274:
6	Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc
7	- January 13, 1994.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, if you could
9	just take a minute and read the letter.
10	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So your lawyer appears to be writing to the
15	lawyer for the Diocese pointing out four alleged
16	inaccuracies in a draft press release.
17	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: The first one deals with the
19	extent of Mr. Adams' retainer and what he does for you, in
20	effect.
21	
22	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: The second one suggests that
24	there were negotiations where all parties were represented
25	by counsel.

1	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you've told us
3	already that you were acting on your own when you spoke
4	with Malcolm MacDonald.
5	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: The third paragraph relates,
7	again, more to the extent of the retainer and what Mr.
8	Adams is doing for you.
9	Correct?
10	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And again he has concerns
12	about the reference to independent legal counsel in the
13	fourth note as well.
14	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's suggesting that
16	there be some amendments to the release.
17	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, if you could also have
19	a look at Document 738041, this again, Mr. Commissioner is
20	a letter from Mr. Geoffrey to Mr. Leduc dated January $13^{\rm th}$,
21	1994.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23	Exhibit number 275.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-275:
25	Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc

1	- January 13, 1994 - Second Letter.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Now we seem to have this is a second
6	letter that Mr. Geoffrey's writing after he's spoken with
7	you.
8	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any recollection
10	of having some input into concerns about press releases?
11	Reading this, does it bring anything back at
12	all?
13	MR. SILMSER: I remember him doing it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I am sorry.
15	MR. SILMSER: I remember him telling he was
16	writing the letter.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MR. SILMSER: We were in touch about this,
19	what had happened here.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	So the first concern that's expressed is
22	about the meeting at the Diocese and whether you provided a
23	statement.
24	That's the meeting of February 9^{th} , '93, is
25	that correct?

1	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: The second concern was just
3	about contacts with Monsignor MacDougald and who might have
4	initiated them.
5	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you're acknowledging
7	that you had initiated those contacts on numerous
8	occasions.
9	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: The third one was whether or
11	not there were offers of help or assistance at that meeting
12	on February 9 th .
13	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I assume you were saying
15	there were none and the Diocese was saying there were some.
16	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't remember.
18	Okay.
19	And there was a comment about whether or not
20	you had a lawyer and you are saying it was never suggested
21	to him that he get one. Again, I am not sure if that's
22	referring to the February 9^{th} meeting or not.
23	MR. SILMSER: I am not sure.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And, apparently, there is a discussion with

1	the lawyers and there are some agreements to some changes,
2	but there is still disagreements on other issues.
3	Do you recall there being some flexibility
4	on the part of the Diocese to change some of the concerns
5	that were being expressed by you and your lawyer?
6	MR. SILMSER: The only thing the Diocese
7	ever did, I think, was they retracted what they said about
8	my hush order. They went back on TV and the Bishop said,
9	yes, there was a clause in the settlement.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I think at that time he said
11	that he hadn't been aware of that, the clause that
12	prohibited criminal proceedings from going ahead.
13	MR. SILMSER: The Bishop said that?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MR. SILMSER: I'd believe so, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: The second time he spoke on
17	the issue.
18	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And I believe at that time
20	he also invited other victims or potential victims to come
21	forward with concerns if they had some.
22	Do you recall that?
23	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember that, no.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	I just want to show you one other letter

1	at/or about that time, and that's Document Number 715865
2	and that's, again, a letter from Mr. Geoffrey to Mr. Leduc;
3	this one dated January 17 th , 1994.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 276.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-276:
6	Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Jacques Leduc
7	- January 17, 1994.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
9	Just take a minute, sir.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	So, shortly after Exhibits 274 and 275, your
14	lawyer is writing to the counsel for the Diocese suggesting
15	that the settlement document that you had entered into was
16	illegal.
17	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And he later, presumably at
19	your instruction, files a lawsuit
20	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: against Father MacDonald
22	and the Diocese. I understand that some other parties were
23	added later, not by you, but by one of the defendants. And
24	those are the two lawyers, Jacques Leduc and Malcolm
25	MacDonald.

1	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And that lawsuit resulted in
3	some Examination for Discovery?
4	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That you had to go through
6	late in 1995?
7	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: For I think two or three
9	days, you were examined for discovery.
10	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: That lawsuit was dismissed
12	at some point in time for delay
13	MR. SILMSER: Actually, it was one of those
14	timed lawsuits, where you had a year and the lawyer who had
15	it at the time my lawyer who had it at the time, let it
16	run out of time.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	Was the case ever heard on the merits, sir?
19	What I mean, was there a trial?
20	MR. SILMSER: No, there was never a trial.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, on the second page of
22	the letter, Bates page 7058878, second paragraph, there are
23	concerns being expressed about disclosure and not
24	respecting a non-disclosure clause.
25	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	Yes, I see it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. There is a comment and
3	we see it in some of these other letters as well at the end
4	of the letter about not wanting to argue the case in the
5	newspaper or the television.
6	Were those your instructions that this thing
7	not be argued publicly?
8	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in February of 1994,
10	we've looked at this document earlier, you attended with
11	Mr. Geoffrey for an interview by the
12	(LOUD NOISE/GRAND BRUIT)
13	MR. SILMSER: I'm sorry.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: That's okay. They are very
15	sensitive.
16	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I see that.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand that you
18	were interviewed for approximately I need the Exhibit
19	number Madam Clerk, I apologize. It's document 721305. We
20	entered it just before lunch.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Which one is it now?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Two six seven (267).
23	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I know, what document
24	is it?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: It's the interview,

1	videotaped interview with the OPP.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	So it's
4	MR. ENGELMANN: February 22 nd , 1994.
5	Thank you.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-sixty-seven (267).
7	It's the thicker document that you have, sir.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: It's 51 pages, Mr. Silmser.
9	Now, you have had a chance to look at this
10	document, maybe not today, but sometime in the not too
11	distant past?
12	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I have.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
14	Can you tell us, if you can, how this came
15	about?
16	Did the OPP contact you or did they contact
17	Mr. Geoffrey or did you contact them?
18	MR. SILMSER: I believe from then on Mr.
19	Geoffrey was the one that contacted mostly everyone. He
20	came down to the OPP station with me.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
22	But do you recall how this got going,
23	because you had the you had the termination of an
24	investigation by the Cornwall Police Service and we had the
25	settlement that was entered into. We had all the media

1	attention about it.
2	Were you aware, sir, that Cornwall Police
3	Service's investigation was looked at by the Ottawa City
4	Police?
5	MR. SILMSER: I was aware through the news.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And that happened in/or around January of
8	1994?
9	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And did the OPP contact you or your lawyer -
12	
13	MR. SILMSER: After that?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: and say to you that they
15	were now reinvestigating the Cornwall Police Service's
16	investigation?
17	MR. SILMSER: I'm not exactly sure how it
18	came about.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	But you ended up being interviewed in
21	February of 1994?
22	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And your lawyer was present?
24	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	It appears just from skimming through the
2	notes I'll do this with you for a few minutes that
3	they covered a great number of issues that you had told
4	various other institutions and parties.
5	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And it would appear that the
7	bulk of what they are asking you about are your allegations
8	against Father MacDonald.
9	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, sir, although there
11	are some preliminary matters they deal with and then talk
12	to about their experience, et cetera, your comments about
13	those allegations and the incidents run until around page
14	34 of the 51 pages.
15	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And not only do you get into
17	the allegations with Father MacDonald, you also talked to
18	them about some contacts you have with both him and Ken
19	Seguin later in your teens.
20	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And just that, sir, if
22	you just want to take a peek, for example, on page 32 of
23	the statement. I apologize. I am working from a different
24	doc number. So I don't have a Bates page.

You are being asked about Mr. Seguin telling

1	you to go to MacDonald's, being Charles MacDonald, et
2	cetera?
3	So we are talking about some context and
4	visits or context that occur with either Mr. Seguin or
5	Charles MacDonald later in your teens.
6	Is that the context here?
7	MR. SILMSER: I yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us yesterday about
9	this and about being somewhat mixed up or messed up, maybe
10	that was the correct word
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: at/or about that time in
13	your life and
14	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You also said, and I believe
16	you were 15, 16, 17, 18, in that range, that that was
17	around the time when you alleged you were abused by Mr.
18	Seguin.
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Then at pages 34 and 35 you
21	talk a little bit about the allegations with respect to Ken
22	Seguin.
23	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not going to go into the
25	detail, but just have a quick look at that and confirm it.

1	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
2	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: In particular on page 35.
4	MR. SILMSER: M'hm.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You also mention, do you
6	not, sir, some allegations concerning Marcel Lalonde on
7	page 37?
8	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is an interview
10	where you talk about all three of the individuals you
11	allege abused you?
12	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Would it be fair to say that
14	this is the broadest interview you have had to date on some
15	of these allegations?
16	MR. SILMSER: It is yes, it is the
17	biggest one, anyways. Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you told us how
19	difficult it was
20	MR. SILMSER: This one?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you told us that it
22	was difficult every time.
23	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: This one
25	MR. SILMSER: This one was ten times harder,

1	I think. I wasn't actually in a good state of mind when
2	they brought me down here. I was frustrated. I was
3	depressed. I was a big depression and Tim Smith just
4	pushed, pushed, pushed. He wouldn't let me have a
5	second break. His questions were going, bang, bang, bang,
6	bang, and I had been questioned so much through that period
7	of time that my patience ran low. That's why you see a lot
8	of, you know
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have a better
10	rapport with the other officer?
11	MR. SILMSER: Mike Fagan?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	MR. SILMSER: Later I did. Mike Fagan
14	actually didn't say much at this interview.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: It was mainly Detective
16	Inspector Smith who was asking the questions?
17	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So just coming at you too
19	fast there?
20	MR. SILMSER: Way too fast.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you were also asked
22	about the settlement or your contact with the Cornwall
23	Police Service and the Diocese, and I'm just if you'd
24	just take a peek at pages 39 and 40.
25	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Starting at the bottom of
2	page 39 and, in fact, onto page 41?
3	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
4	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
5	Which is true.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
7	And it is a description of your discussions
8	with Malcolm MacDonald about monetary amounts on page 41.
9	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And then we have some
11	questions and answers about the timeframe when Mr. Seguin
12	killed himself.
13	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Just take a look at pages 45
15	and 46. You were asked about that.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, on page 47 Detective
19	Inspector Smith says
20	MR. SILMSER: What part now?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: "I'd rather that you not
22	contact any"
23	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the middle of the
24	page, Mr. Silmser.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: "or discuss the evidence

1	with any of these."
2	And he is talking about the altar boys, I
3	believe:
4	"But if you recall the names of some of
5	the altar boys that were there at the
6	same time and had access to Father
7	MacDonald, we would appreciate that
8	because what we will do is we will
9	individually interview each one of
10	them. And sometimes when they find out
11	that there are others there then they
12	will come and they will tell us things,
13	et cetera."
14	Do you see that?
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
16	Did they interview them?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I will come to that. I will
18	ask you what it is how they followed up with you.
19	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I guess the first point is
21	they are telling you that they'd prefer you not contact
22	them.
23	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And also not get into the
25	details with them.

1	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	And I'm just wondering if you respected that
4	wish. They were investigating this issue during the
5	calendar year 1994.
6	MR. SILMSER: I believe so, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you contact other
8	victims or alleged victims, to your knowledge, during that
9	calendar year?
10	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember that year.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, do you recall if you
12	ever spoke to any other victim or alleged victim about the
13	details of
14	MR. SILMSER: Mine?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: the alleged abuse?
16	MR. SILMSER: Oh! Never.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: You never have?
18	MR. SILMSER: Never have.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Not even with John
20	MacDonald?
21	MR. SILMSER: John MacDonald, I believe has
22	never read his statement nor have I ever read his
23	statement.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: He continues to be a friend?

162

MR. SILMSER: He is a very good friend, yes.

1	We have both supported each other through this, all the way
2	through when he came forward. And when he did come
3	forward, I was two years by myself fighting this, and it
4	was like a million pounds coming off my back when he did
5	come forward. I was sorry he was a victim, but it sure was
6	a relief that somebody was out there that could understand
7	what I went through.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You were no longer alone at
9	that stage?
10	MR. SILMSER: That's right. We have become
11	good friends since then.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: At this stage when they're
13	interviewing you, you're depressed and you're on your own?
14	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You have a lawyer?
16	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So they are indicating to
18	you that they are going to be if you have some names to
19	give them to them and they will interview them
20	individually.
21	MR. SILMSER: I see.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that appears what is
23	being said.
24	MR. SILMSER: I would say, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. They are also telling

1	you on page 48 that they have got a different Crown
2	attorney now. Do you see that at the top of the page?
3	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know why they would
5	have said that to you?
6	MR. SILMSER: No idea.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MR. SILMSER: Oh! Well, I shouldn't say 'no
9	idea'. I knew Murray MacDonald wasn't taking on the case.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And had either you or the
11	police expressed some concern about him being involved?
12	MR. SILMSER: Oh! No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
14	I'm just wondering why it says "We have a
15	different Crown attorney."
16	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	Now, he says and I'm looking at the
19	middle of page 48:
20	"I can't tell you how long this
21	investigation is going to take because
22	I don't know how many are out there,
23	how many former altar boys or who is
24	going to come forward. I can tell you
25	that it'll be thoroughly investigated

1	by Mike and myself and other manpower
2	as required. I don't like to be given
3	deadlines or anything. I'd say that
4	you can look at anywhere from two to
5	four months."
6	MR. SILMSER: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did they get back to
8	you that calendar year and advise you about other victims
9	or alleged victims that they had found?
10	MR. SILMSER: Never to me. I don't know if
11	they ever got back to my lawyer.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Did they re-interview you, to your
14	knowledge, at any time later in 1994?
15	MR. SILMSER: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they ever go back and go
17	into more detail on some of this lengthy interview that you
18	had with them that day?
19	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't believe so.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you were ever
21	asked to meet with OPP officers that year to go through
22	schoolbooks, church bulletins, anything of that nature to
23	try and assist in locating altar boys or others who may
24	have been victims?
25	MR. SILMSER: Never. No.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, at that time,
2	during the course of that interview or before or after the
3	tape is shut off, either of those officers talking to you
4	about counselling services or support for you as an alleged
5	victim of child sexual abuse?
6	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so, no.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if they had any
8	discussions with your lawyer about that?
9	MR. SILMSER: My lawyer never mentioned it
10	to me, no.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, it says at the very end
12	of page 51, this is Detective Inspector Smith:
13	"I may have to contact you from time to
14	time. Is it okay if I contact you
15	directly or do you want to be notified
16	or I'll let you know. Okay?"
17	"Okay, fine."
18	So are you able to tell us and I know
19	what you've said about being depressed and not feeling that
20	well at that time are you able to tell us whether they
21	attempted to follow up with you directly or with your
22	lawyer?
23	MR. SILMSER: They never did, I don't
24	believe. If they did, I didn't know about it.
25	And you say about the psychological help,

1	back then was I searched myself and found out that there
2	was very few places, at that time, that would take abuse
3	victim that was male. And it was a waiting list in Ottawa
4	and but finally, I found someone in Brockville. But it
5	took me probably a year to find help.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that through the
7	Brockville Psychiatric Hospital?
8	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, did that start in
10	either late '95 or '96?
11	MR. SILMSER: Somewhere in there, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	Perhaps we could take the afternoon break.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
15	Thank you.
16	Let's take afternoon break now.
17	THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise.
18	À l'ordre; veuillez vous lever.
19	The hearing will resume at 3:30 p.m.
20	Upon recessing at 3:15 p.m./
21	L'audience est suspendue à 15h15
22	Upon resuming at 3:38 p.m./
23	L'audience est reprise à 15h38
24	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing of the Cornwall
25	Public Inquiry is now in session.

1	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk Louise, it
3	is a little hot in here. It is getting hot.
4	Mr. Engelmann, we are just going to save
5	some energy here.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: We are going to turn the
7	heat down.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Turn the heat down.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: The room is a bit warm.
10	Mr. Silmser, when we left off, I was asking
11	you some questions about involvement or non-involvement or
12	contact with the OPP in the 1994 calendar year.
13	Do you recall that?
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes. Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And there are a couple of
16	other questions I wanted to ask. You had mentioned that
17	when you were interviewed back on November 26, 1993, that
18	the issue of a possible extortion charge had been brought
19	up at the end, but they had decided not to pursue that with
20	you or words to that effect.
21	MR. SILMSER: I believe so, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Did that, to your knowledge,
23	ever come up in either the interview that you had in
24	February of '94 or later in the year, in '94?
25	Were you ever advised that that was being

1	investigated?
2	MR. SILMSER: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And were you ever advised or involved in an
5	investigation involving an obstruct justice charge against
6	Malcolm MacDonald with respect to the settlement that we
7	talked about; the September 2nd, 1993 settlement?
8	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You were never interviewed
10	as a possible witness for information or
11	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Were you aware that he was, at some point,
14	charged?
15	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And prosecuted?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	That he pleaded guilty to obstructing
20	justice and received an absolute discharged?
21	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	Were you ever present in court for that or -
24	
25	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So you just heard about it?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes. Yes, I did.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. SILMSER: That was a closed courtroom;
5	wasn't it?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I sir, I don't know.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry.
8	MR. SILMSER: I think it was a closed
9	courtroom. I don't think the public were allowed in there
10	
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. SILMSER: what I heard anyways.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
14	You heard that from someone else?
15	MR. SILMSER: It was hearsay, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	You were never contacted by the police or by
18	a Crown prosecutor with respect to that matter?
19	MR. SILMSER: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's go back and talk a
21	little bit about the Children's Aid Society then. You told
22	us earlier that you had an interview with them and we went
23	through that in some detail on November 2nd 1993.
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You recall, at that time,

1	you fairly emotional and you were expressing some views
2	about the people who allegedly abused you and other issues.
3	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And I asked you if they
5	followed up with you at sometime later, and you said that
6	you thought it was much later.
7	I would just like to, now, show you a
8	document from the Children's Aid Society, if I may.
9	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Madam Clerk, if you could
11	give Mr. Silmser document 721641. Mr. Commissioner, this
12	is a letter from Gregory Bell and Giussepina DeBellis to
13	social workers at the Children's Aid Society and William
14	Carriere; all three of them signed it. It is a letter to
15	Mr. Silmser, c/o Bryce Geoffrey, dated March 1st 1994.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	Exhibit No. 277.
18	MR. SILMSER: Thank you.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-277:
20	Letter from Mr. Gregory Bell, Ms. Giussepina
21	DeBellis, William Carriere to Mr. Silmser - March
22	1, 1994
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If you just take a moment to
24	look at that.
25	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Have you seen this letter before, Mr.
3	Silmser?
4	MR. SILMSER: I've never seen it before, no.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	Would you have been made aware of the
7	contents of the letter by Mr. Geoffrey or individuals from
8	the CAS?
9	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	The letter suggests that, well, it says that
12	they concluded their investigation, that they found that
13	you were sexually molested by Father Charles MacDonald when
14	you were a child. And then they say:
15	"not provided sufficient information
16	to draw any conclusions regarding the
17	allegations that you were sexually"
18	MR. SILMSER: I have the wrong letter.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Hold it! Hold it!
20	Yes, you do.
21	MR. SILMSER: I have number 277.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Two seventy-seven (277)
24	is the letter saying that we haven't heard from you
25	regarding Marcel Lalonde.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh! Oh!
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So 277
3	MR. ENGELMANN: My error. I document
4	number 721641.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Which
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Document 721641 is the
7	one we have, but it's
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh! Bates page number
9	7081164. I think the witness and I are looking at a
10	different letter.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
12	So what are we going to do now with this?
13	Shall we replace?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I described the letter from
15	Gregory Bell, Giussepina DeBellis and William Carriere to
16	David Silmser, c/o Bryce Geoffrey, March 1, 1994.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
18	Exhibit 277 will now be the letter dated
19	March 1, 1994, Mr. Silmser and to his lawyer dealing with
20	Father Charles MacDonald.
21	MR. SILMSER: I do believe my lawyer had a
22	copy of this.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
25	So, let's go back to my first question.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: It was sent I'm sorry
2	it was sent to you and to him.
3	MR. SILMSER: Right.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh! No. I'm sorry.
5	Was it sent to him c/o?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It says, "To Mr. David
7	Silmser, c/o Bryce Geoffrey".
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
9	Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So the letter was apparently
11	sent to your lawyer's law firm?
12	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's confidential.
14	You've now read the letter that I'm reading.
15	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, have you seen this
17	letter before?
18	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember seeing it,
19	but I remember Mr. Geoffrey telling me about it. So I must
20	have seen it at the same time.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: But whether you saw it or
22	not, the contents of the letter were explained to you?
23	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	So you were advised about their conclusions

1	with respect to Father MacDonald?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And their conclusions
4	well, the fact that they were unable to draw conclusions
5	with respect to Ken Seguin and Marcel Lalonde?
6	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And, at this point in time,
8	do you provide them with further information about either
9	Mr. Seguin or Mr. Lalonde?
10	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so. I don't
11	remember, but I don't believe so.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	But we know that Mr. Seguin is deceased at
14	this point in time.
15	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
16	So I wouldn't have done that then.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	What about Mr. Lalonde?
19	MR. SILMSER: I don't believe so, no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And what was your reaction
21	when you received this letter or you received the news of
22	the contents of the letter from the CAS?
23	MR. SILMSER: I finally said somebody
24	finally believes me.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You had had a statement

1	analysis that supported something you had said earlier, but
2	this is a letter now from CAS.
3	MR. SILMSER: This is a letter from CAS and
4	this is something I said. They didn't analyse my
5	statement. They just they invested their own
6	investigation and believed me. Finally, somebody who was
7	working on it. So, actually, I felt pretty good about it.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	Now we may see one of the documents that you
10	might have seen earlier. I am now looking at it's agair
11	the same document number 721641, Bates page 7081136. It's
12	a letter from the same three individuals to you, c/o your
13	lawyer, dated July 28, 1994.
14	I am wondering if that can be the next
15	exhibit.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit No. 278.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-278
19	Letter from Mr. Gregory Bell, Ms. Giussepina
20	DeBellis, William Carriere to Mr. Silmser - July
21	28, 1994
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	Now, this appears to be a follow-up to the
24	previous exhibit.
25	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Because they are referring
2	to the March 1st letter, and they are still waiting or
3	hoping, I guess, that you'll provide them with some
4	information about Marcel Lalonde.
5	MR. SILMSER: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And they also give you the
7	choice of either communicating with them directly or
8	through your lawyer.
9	MR. SILMSER: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And they also say that you
11	could share concerns with the Cornwall Police Service or
12	the relevant School Board.
13	Now, you have spoken to the OPP
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: about Marcel Lalonde?
16	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: You've spoken to Greg Bell
18	the previous year about him. You don't seem to
19	MR. SILMSER: Pursue?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: pursue this at this
21	time, at least with the Children's Aid Society.
22	MR. SILMSER: The reason for that would be,
23	at that time, with us having gone through it's
24	preliminary with Charles MacDonald; isn't it at the time?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: No, you are before then,

1	sir.
2	MR. SILMSER: When we stood, before then?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. No charges are laid at
4	this time against Father MacDonald.
5	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
6	But there were a lot of interviews taking
7	place. There was the media was out there full force.
8	It was a very stressful time and I still thought I should
9	deal with one at a time.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So, at this time, this was
11	the time when the OPP was looking at the investigation that
12	had been done by the Cornwall Police Service?
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And the settlement and those
15	issues?
16	Okay.
17	In any event, you didn't provide the CAS
18	with further information about Marcel Lalonde?
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Again then, finally sir, if
21	you could look at the same document number 721641, Bates
22	page 7081130. It's, again, a letter from the Children's
23	Aid Society, the three individuals involved and they're
24	writing to you care of your lawyer, dated November $9^{\rm th}$,
25	1994.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Exhibit No. 279.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-279:
4	Letter from Mr. Gregory Bell, Ms.
5	Giussepina DeBellis and William
6	Carriere to Mr. Silmser - November 9,
7	1994
8	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Would it be fair to say
10	they're essentially telling you at this point, since they
11	haven't heard from you, that they're going to they're
12	not going to pursue the investigation further unless you
13	contact them at some point in the future?
14	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	So at that point in time
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I think we had a problem
18	with Children's Aid though, at the time, didn't we?
19	With the media leakage with my statement?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Well that, you there were
21	some
22	MR. SILMSER: concerns there. I think
23	with my lawyer and he, basically, was very trustful of
24	Children's Aid at the time.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: There were press releases

1	and media releases
2	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: by several institutions
4	when things came out in January
5	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: '94. You've told us
7	about the civilian complaint you filed against the Cornwall
8	Police Service. That was concluded, I think, in June or
9	July of '94 and you had your settlement with the Cornwall
10	Police Service and the City of Cornwall.
11	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure when that took
13	place but the action you commenced an action in the
14	spring of 1994, I believe.
15	MR. SILMSER: Children's Aid also was on
16	that lawsuit; were they not?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I can't tell you that, sir.
18	MR. SILMSER: I believe so.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: In any event, you decided,
20	at least at this point in time, not to pursue things with
21	Marcel Lalonde.
22	MR. SILMSER: I think there were
23	circumstances for it
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MR. SILMSER: but I just don't know

exactly what.

2	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you ever, in
3	talking to the did you ever pursue any form of a lawsuit
4	against Mr. Lalonde?
5	MR. SILMSER: No. Not until now. Not until
6	I mean there could be some in the future.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: But you have not to date?
8	MR. SILMSER: Not to date. No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And have you were you
10	aware, sir, that he was charged and then found guilty of
11	several counts of child sexual abuse?
12	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Were you ever called as a witness or were
15	you ever involved or asked to give a statement in the
16	investigation of the charges against Marcel Lalonde?
17	MR. SILMSER: I was approached by the
18	Cornwall Police if I wanted to get involved in the criminal
19	charges and, at the time, I was involved with the
20	preliminary with Charles MacDonald and Mike Detective
21	Mike Fagan phoned Cornwall Police and said, "Dave's got too
22	much on his plate right now. He can't be involved in two
23	at the same time."
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	So that would have been later, perhaps in

1	1997 or thereabouts?
2	MR. SILMSER: I don't really know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
4	Well, your preliminary inquiry was in at
5	least when you testified, was in the fall of 1997.
6	MR. SILMSER: I see.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
8	So you were asked to participate or you were
9	they were seeking to interview you sometime later in the
10	future?
11	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	Is it not also true, Mr. Silmser, that the
14	Cornwall Police were asking if you could give them
15	information in the late summer or early fall of 1994?
16	MR. SILMSER: On?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Marcel Lalonde. At about
18	this time, summer of '94, when the CAS is asking you
19	questions or asking if you have further information.
20	MR. SILMSER: The only time I ever was asked
21	to give information to the Cornwall Police, like I said,
22	was when Mike Fagan and the preliminary was going on.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
24	You remember that instance?
25	MR. SILMSER: I remember that.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Let me just see if I can
4	refresh your memory about something else.
5	Okay?
6	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: If Mr. Silmser could be
8	shown document number 728487?
9	Thank you.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Let me try and just ask you
12	a couple of questions.
13	I'm sorry.
14	This is Exhibit
15	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry.
16	Two eighty (280), letter dated 12^{th} of
17	September, 1994 from Staff Sergeant Brunet to Mr. Silmser.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-280:
19	Letter from L. Brunet to Mr. Silmser -
20	September 12, 1994
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So do you recall this
22	letter, sir?
23	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Do you recall Mr. Silmser, were you

1	living in Hammond, Ontario, at this time?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was. That's Bourget.
3	When I say Bourget, I meant it's Hammond, Ontario.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	I'll just ask you if you can recall Staff
6	Sergeant Brunet trying to get a hold of you in late August,
7	early September and then as a result, you following up and
8	making a call to the acting Chief of Police; a fellow by
9	the name of Carl Johnston shortly thereafter?
10	MR. SILMSER: I don't remember this.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
12	Well, do you recall having any reluctance to
13	give a statement to the Cornwall Police at/or about that
14	time, because of what had happened with your previous
15	statement; the statement you had given back in February of
16	1993?
17	MR. SILMSER: That's possible.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And just looking at this, do
19	you know if that's what you were talking to the Acting
20	Chief about?
21	MR. SILMSER: Yes, that's very possible.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You're just not positive?
23	MR. SILMSER: I'm not positive, no.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	So he's writing this is Staff Sergeant

1	Brunet saying "Look, I understand your reluctance in making
2	a statement to us". He's saying, "Would you consider going
3	to another police agency?"
4	Okay.
5	You don't remember the letter?
6	MR. SILMSER: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And, again, you've told us then that you did
9	or you were contacted several years later and asked if
10	you could be questioned or interviewed and Detective
11	Inspector Fagan
12	MR. SILMSER: intervened.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: intervened.
14	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And you appreciated that
16	intervention at that time?
17	MR. SILMSER: Right at that time, yes.
18	Because I was right in the middle of the preliminary and I
19	did have too much on my plate at that time.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Did you ask him to do that for you or did he
22	suggest that?
23	MR. SILMSER: He suggested it also; yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Silmser, did you
25	become aware close to the end of the calendar year, 1994,

1	that the OPP was closing its investigation into your
2	earlier complaint to the Cornwall Police Service and the
3	issues surrounding the alleged abuse by Father MacDonald?
4	MR. SILMSER: The OPP were closing the
5	investigation?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
7	MR. SILMSER: No, I wasn't.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	Would you recall hearing or seeing a media
10	release to the effect that the OPP had finished re-
11	investigating or looking at the work of the Cornwall Police
12	Service and that there would be no charges laid as a result
13	of that re-investigation or that investigation?
14	MR. SILMSER: I thought that was the Ottawa
15	Police, but I could be mistaken, that made the
16	investigation on that.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	I'm thinking around late 1994, just before
19	Christmas.
20	MR. SILMSER: I remember something about it,
21	but I'm not sure.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And do you recall ever hearing that because
24	you were the only victim or there were no other victims
25	that had been identified, that charges were not going to be

1	pursued at that time?
2	I'm thinking in particular, of charges
3	involving Father Charles MacDonald.
4	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember the OPP doing
5	that. I'm not sure I remember the Cornwall Police doing
6	that, but I can't remember the OPP doing that.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So do you have a sense as to
8	whether the OPP investigation is finished or not in late
9	'94 or early '95?
10	MR. SILMSER: No, I don't know.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if they were in
12	touch with your lawyer at the time, to advise him?
13	MR. SILMSER: See, that's the whole point
14	is, I don't know when they reopened it or
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
16	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea what the
17	dates were then.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, there were some
19	charges laid in the spring of 1996 against Father Charles
20	MacDonald, and as you've told us already and we'll just
21	come to it in a minute, another former altar boy, John
22	MacDonald, returned to the Cornwall area in the summer of
23	1995.
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: We'll go into that. But as

1	of late '94, early '95, there were certainly no charges
2	outstanding with respect to Father MacDonald.
3	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And are you saying you just
5	don't remember if you'd been informed at that time, that
6	the OPP had concluded its work?
7	MR. SILMSER: I probably was informed, but
8	right now I just can't remember
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't remember
10	MR. SILMSER: the facts and figures.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
12	So early '95 the Cornwall Police Service
13	concluded its investigation back in '93. The OPP had been
14	investigating since February of 1994. There are no charges
15	proceeding against Father MacDonald. Mr. Seguin is dead.
16	Mr. Lalonde, as I understand it, at that time, there are no
17	charges against him. He's teaching school here in
18	Cornwall.
19	Where are you at, at that time this is
20	now 1995 with respect to all of these issues?
21	MR. SILMSER: Like I say, I was very
22	frustrated through those years. Especially that year, like
23	things were going nowhere fast. And I had to deal with the
24	media. They were taking they were actually treating me
25	like the criminal. I fought and fought, you know, and it

1	took a life on its own, you know. So.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you getting any help at
3	that time; medical, psychological or otherwise?
4	Do you recall?
5	Or was that somewhat later?
6	MR. SILMSER: It was around that time, maybe
7	just a little bit later.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So let's go to the summer of
9	1995, then.
10	How do you find out that John MacDonald has
11	returned to Cornwall?
12	Do you remember?
13	MR. SILMSER: Yes. My mom had phoned me and
14	said that John MacDonald has phoned her and wanted my phone
15	number. She asked me if she could give it out and I said
16	"Yes, that's fine". I was a little nervous about the phone
17	call because I thought he was phoning to give me hell for
18	coming forward on the sexual abuse. I had no idea he was a
19	victim.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: How long had it been since
21	you had spoken with him?
22	Do you have any sense?
23	MR. SILMSER: Last time I spoke to him
24	before he went out west, when he lived on Cumberland
25	Street, and I only spoke to him once in like three years

1	before that. He was probably out west five years or
2	whatever. I don't know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So it had been many years
4	since you had actually spoken to him?
5	MR. SILMSER: We weren't close friends.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MR. SILMSER: We were friends, but we
8	weren't close friends.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've become better
10	friends since the summer of 1995?
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So what happened?
13	Did you call him or your mother gave him
14	your number?
15	MR. SILMSER: He called me. My mom gave him
16	the number and he called me. He basically told me right
17	away that he was abused by Charles MacDonald also. And I
18	was it was like a million pounds coming off my back.
19	Even though I was I didn't want to hear he was abused
20	also
21	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm.
22	MR. SILMSER: at least that's finally
23	I wasn't standing alone. That meant a lot to me, not to
24	stand alone in this. This was a big load to carry for two
25	years.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Until this time, no one else
2	had come forward?
3	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Or at least, that's what you
5	had been told; that no one had come forward; there were no
6	other victims?
7	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he get into the details
9	of the alleged abuse that he suffered, that he
10	MR. SILMSER: No, we've never talked about
11	that.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: But he did tell you
13	MR. SILMSER: He was abused.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: who the alleged abuser
15	was.
16	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was also Father
18	MacDonald?
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And after you spoke with
21	John MacDonald just be a moment did you contact the
22	Children's Aid Society?
23	MR. SILMSER: The only time I remember
24	contacting the Children's Aid Society is John wanted to go
25	over and talk to Richard Abell

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	MR. SILMSER: and I went for the drive
3	with him and into his office.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	Well, let me just show you a couple of
6	documents. I think you are referring to a meeting where
7	you would have accompanied
8	MR. SILMSER: John. Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: John MacDonald
10	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: a meeting that he had
12	with Richard Abell.
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand that
15	meeting took place in the fall of 1995, in late September.
16	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't recall the date,
18	do you?
19	MR. SILMSER: No. No, I don't.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	Let me just show you a couple of documents
22	first. Document Number 721620, it appears to be a
23	transcript or note-to-file of a telephone conversation.
24	It's written by Joan Archambault, August 21 st , 1995, 11:55
25	a.m.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	Thank you.
3	Exhibit Number 281.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-281:
5	Joan Archambault - Note to File - August 21,
6	1995.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner,
8	that was 287?
9	THE REGISTRAR: Two eighty-one (281).
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Two eighty-one (281).
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
12	Bates page number 7080539.
13	MR. SILMSER: I mean, it's possible I made
14	this phone call
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, let me just ask you,
16	is that your phone number or was that your phone number,
17	692-2876?
18	MR. SILMSER: Six nine two two eight seven
19	six (692-2986), I'm not sure.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	MR. SILMSER: I've had a few different phone
22	numbers through the years.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: That's perfectly
24	understandable. Let me show you another document as well.
25	Document Number 703560, Bates page 7010812, these appear to

1	be notes of a telephone conversation taken by R. Abell on
2	August 21 st , 1995.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-282:
4	R. Abell - handwritten notes August 21,
5	1995
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, just on
7	this document, there is a name about three quarters of the
8	way down the first page starting with an 'R'
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: that has a moniker.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: The moniker is C-3.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The name below that is
15	subject to a publication ban.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Silmser, can you
19	actually read
20	MR. SILMSER: Some of it.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
22	Some of it is easier to read than other
23	parts. Just take your time.
24	You haven't seen this document before, have
25	you?

1	MR. SILMSER: No, I haven't.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree with me that
5	these appear to be notes of a conversation that Mr. Abell
6	is having with you sir?
7	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're explaining to him
9	about this other altar boy who is back and that the same
10	thing happened to him as it happened to you.
11	MR. SILMSER: That's correct. I don't
12	remember the meeting, but if this is what it says.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a phone call.
14	MR. SILMSER: Or a phone call.
15	Okay.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	So you don't remember calling him?
18	MR. SILMSER: Not offhand, no.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	What I wanted to ask is, this is the summer
21	of 1995; Mr. John MacDonald has come back; you're relieved;
22	you're not the only person allegedly victimized by Father
23	MacDonald. You talk about a big relief
24	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: you seem to be, at least

1	in these notes, suggesting "Let's go forward, let's do
2	this".
3	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I am wondering why you are
5	calling the CAS?
6	You're not calling the Cornwall Police
7	Service or the OPP. This is the middle of 1995.
8	Is there a reason for that?
9	MR. SILMSER: To be honest with you, I don't
10	remember phoning.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. SILMSER: But it looks like I did.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm.
14	MR. SILMSER: There's very few institutions
15	that I trusted at the time, definitely not the Cornwall
16	police, and definitely not the OPP at the time.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: What was the problem with
18	the OPP at that time?
19	MR. SILMSER: The OPP was Tim Smith. And
20	had been for that year or two, Tim Smith and I just did not
21	see eye-to-eye. It could have been some of my fault, but I
22	found he did push way too hard. And it was just a hard
23	time for me at that time. So if I went to Children's Aid,
24	it's probably one of the I probably didn't trust them
25	all that much either.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well sorry they had
2	sent you a letter.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: They had sent you a letter
4	saying they believed you.
5	MR. SILMSER: Right.
6	So that made a difference probably. But I
7	am saying I don't remember this phone call, but on the
8	other hand, I sure wouldn't go to the Cornwall police.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It ends with the comment
10	you comment about Heidi, the CPS
11	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm looking at 7010814;
13	that's the Bates page.
14	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Why you took a settlement.
16	I'm just wondering if you can help us. I know you don't
17	remember the call. So this may be a fruitless effort but
18	it appears to say:
19	"He phoned a priest last night, so
20	upset. Wouldn't a priest want truth to
21	come out? No answer; priest wouldn't
22	give his name".
23	Does that ring a bell at all?
24	This is the summer of 1995.
25	MR. SILMSER: No.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And then there is a comment:
2	"He want church to admit they knew
3	Father Charlie was abusing; did nothing
4	about it."
5	It appears right at the end of the call.
6	Were you still hoping for an apology at that
7	time?
8	MR. SILMSER: I am still hoping today for an
9	apology. But I don't remember this phone call. Period!
10	I'm not saying I didn't make it.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
12	You don't remember.
13	MR. SILMSER: I just don't remember it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears to be another
15	conversation you had with Mr. Abell.
16	Is that fair?
17	MR. SILMSER: I have a problem with
18	something that I have never seen before and something that
19	Mr. Abell wrote.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: You can't comment.
21	MR. SILMSER: I can comment. I just don't
22	like Mr. Abell either.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I am sorry.
24	MR. SILMSER: I just don't like Mr. Abell
25	either.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	MR. SILMSER: I think he knows more
3	information that he is saying through this whole process
4	_
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. SILMSER: and he has not come
7	forward either with all the information he knows. I think
8	he is hiding information throughout the investigation also.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, we will be speaking to
10	Mr. Abell just as we'll be speaking to officials from other
11	institutions
12	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: to find out what they
14	did know.
15	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you met him at this
17	point, the summer of 1995, or was the first time you met
18	him when you attended with John MacDonald, when Mr.
19	MacDonald had a meeting later
20	MR. SILMSER: This was a telephone call,
21	right?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
23	MR. SILMSER: So I didn't meet him.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
25	MR. SILMSER: So the first time I would have

1	met him was with John MacDonald
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MR. SILMSER: and it was John
4	MacDonald's meeting basically. John wanted to talk to him.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. What happened at that
6	meeting?
7	MR. SILMSER: We sure didn't see eye-to-eye.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: What happened?
9	MR. SILMSER: There was an argument about
10	something about when I went to see Children's Aid in the
11	first place and they made a big deal about the date and I
12	said "Well, I don't understand, I think it was this date I
13	went to see". While he had one of his employees slide a
14	piece of paper under the door.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: This was during your
16	meeting?
17	MR. SILMSER: Yes, it was like a big top
18	secret thing. And I just couldn't believe it. He was
19	just, I don't know, he might have had his reasons, but he
20	just looked so stupid. And I just kind of seen the
21	character he was and I just didn't like him. And I walked
22	out with bad feelings with him.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, let me just understand
24	the context.
25	You went to a meeting that John MacDonald

1	was having with Richard Abell, right?
2	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: If I remember correctly.
4	And you were there what to provide him with
5	some support or was there another purpose?
6	MR. SILMSER: I can't remember now.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And why is it that you and Mr. Abell started
9	to have a discussion?
10	MR. SILMSER: Because of this all I can
11	remember is because of this certain time I went to see
12	Children's Aid, if it was before Perry Dunlop something
13	to do with Perry Dunlop anyways.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
15	The first time you were interviewed by
16	Children's Aid, that was with Mr. Bough and Ms. DeBellis?
17	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And we've looked at that
19	document already today, the November 2^{nd} , 1993.
20	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And so, you and Mr. Abell
22	had an argument about when that date was or
23	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there something else?
25	And you commented on the notes sliding under

1	the door.
2	MR. SILMSER: It was a certain date
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. SILMSER: that I went to Children's
5	Aid; it was either it was before or after my statement
6	at the TV I believe.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	We know that happened in January of '94.
9	MR. SILMSER: I see.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, was there something
11	else about how Mr. Abell spoke to you or you spoke to him,
12	or body language, anything else that
13	MR. SILMSER: We just didn't see eye-to-eye.
14	And it was just a process of pieces of paper being slipped
15	under the door and I just this didn't wash with me.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	The other individuals you dealt with from
18	Children's Aid, Mr. Bough, Ms. DeBellis?
19	MR. SILMSER: Very nice people.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you deal with Mr.
21	Carriere at all?
22	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: He signed some of those
24	letters.
25	You didn't deal with him though?

1	MR. SILMSER: He signed some letters?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: There we some letters that
3	were signed by Mr. Bough, Ms. DeBellis and Mr. Carriere who
4	I think was their supervisor.
5	MR. SILMSER: Oh! That's possible, I don't
6	know.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: But you didn't meet with
8	him?
9	MR. SILMSER: No, I didn't.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Mr. Silmser, I want to ask you a question on
12	a document that I don't have in front of me but I
13	understand it's an exhibit, Exhibit 237.
14	If you have a hard copy Madam Clerk, if you
15	could give that to the witness, and I'll just look at it
16	from the screen. No, this isn't the one.
17	If I could just have a minute, please.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, I
21	apologize. I was looking for a note dated August 24, 1995,
22	from the Cornwall Police Services Officer, and I had been
23	led to believe that it had been entered in either the
24	examination or the cross-examination of John MacDonald, and
25	I don't seem to have it.

1	I'm wondering if this might be an
2	appropriate time to break. And I apologize for not having
3	it.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I could carry on, but
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine thirty (9:30), it is
7	then tomorrow morning.
8	Thank you.
9	And so how much time will you be spending?
10	I am wondering time-wise, Wednesday and
11	Thursday, will we have sufficient time with this gentleman
12	or will we be going into the next week or is it too early
13	to tell?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I will speak to counsel
15	perhaps right now if that suits you.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I will be probably a
18	good part of the morning.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
22	THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. À
23	l'ordre. Veuillez vous lever.
24	The hearing is now adjourned. L'audience
25	est ajournée.

1	 Upon	adjour	ning	at 4:24 p	p.m./
2	L'aı	udience	est	ajournée	à 16h24
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Jamie Savard a certified court reporter in the Province
4	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
5	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
6	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
7	
8	Je, Jamie Savard, un sténographe officiel dans la province
9	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
10	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
11	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
12	
13	Janice Savard
14	
15	Jamie Savard, CR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	