THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 88

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Thursday, February 01, 2007 Jeudi, le 1 février 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

ERRATA

January 30, 2007 Volume 86

TRANSCRIPT

Page 201, line 16

MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

The first time you were interviewed by Children's Aid, that was with Mr. Bough and Ms. DeBellis?

Should have read:

MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

The first time you were interviewed by Children's Aid, that was with Mr. Bell and Ms. DeBellis?

Page 202, Line 18

MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

The other individuals you dealt with from Children's Aid, Mr. Bough, Ms. DeBellis?

Should have read:

MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

The other individuals you dealt with from Children's Aid, Mr. Bell, Ms. DeBellis?

Page 203, line 3

MR. ENGELMANN: There we some letters that were signed by Mr. Bough, Ms. DeBellis and Mr. Carriere who I think was their supervisor.

Should have read:

MR. ENGELMANN: There we some letters that were signed by Mr. Bell, Ms. DeBellis and Mr. Carriere who I think was their supervisor.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann	Lead Commission Counsel
Ms. Louise Mongeon	Registrar
Mr. John E. Callaghan Mr. Mark Crane	Cornwall Police Service Board
Mr. Neil Kozloff Ms. Diane Lahaie	Ontario Provincial Police
Mr. Stephen Scharbach	Attorney General for Ontario
Mr. Tilton Donihee	The Children's Aid Society of the United Counties
Mr. Peter Wardle Mr. Steven Canto	Citizens for Community Renewal
Mr. Dallas Lee	Victims Group
Mr. David Sherriff-Scott	Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall and Bishop Eugene LaRocque
Mr. Dominic Lamb	The Estate of Ken Seguin and Scott Seguin and Father Charles MacDonald
Ms. Jill Makepeace	Mr. Jacques Leduc
Mr. William Carroll	Ontario Provincial Police Association

Ms. Jennifer Birrell Catholic District School Board

Mr. David Silmser

Mr. Clint Culic

Table of Contents / Table des matières

	Page
List of Exhibits :	iv
Opening remarks/Remarques préliminaires	1
DAVID SILMSER, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee (cont'd/suite)	
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dominic Lamb	16

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-303	Memo from Mireille to Bob - July 19, 1996 - Re Father MacDonald	5

1	Upon commencing at 9:38 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h38
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	DAVID SILMSER, Resumed/Sous affirmation solennelle:
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning all.
11	MR. SILMSER: Good morning.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
13	How are you doing today?
14	MR. SILMSER: Good.
15	Thank you.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Good.
17	You understand you are still under oath?
18	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I do.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
20	Mr. Engelmann?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, I just
22	wanted a minute before Mr. Lee continues with his cross-
23	examination. Yesterday, we discussed the issue of errata
24	with our
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: court reporters, and the
2	inside cover of the January $31^{\rm st}$ transcript now has errata
3	from the January 30 th transcript. There have been some
4	changes made to the January 30^{th} transcript, page 15, line
5	7. I am not going to do this every day but I just want to
6	give the example.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I had asked a question and
9	it was written:
10	"Can you describe the 'beating'
11	generally and, in particular, I am
12	interested in: Was there someone
13	leading the discussion at the meeting?"
14	And it should have read:
15	"Can you describe the 'meeting'"
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	And then, as well, the comment we talked
19	about yesterday as to whether it was your comment or Mr.
20	Silmser's comment, it was in fact Mr. Silmser's comment.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's at page 179,
23	line 17.
24	And there was one other one that was caught
25	at page 193, line 4, and that was just a name that was

1	incorrect. The errata are in the transcript for today; the
2	court reporters have done that and will continue to do
3	that.
4	I am advised by Mr. Carriere, who is our
5	local keener in reading transcript from the CAS, that there
6	were three other mistakes in the transcript where Mr.
7	Silmser or I had talked about Greg Bell and the transcript
8	had read 'Bough', and, of course, that's the other fellow
9	from the Cornwall police.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's on page 201, line
12	16, page 202, line 18 and page 203, line 3. All of those
13	records they should say Bell not Bough.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Those are just a few errata.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't think I introduced
18	someone yesterday, and I apologize to him for that, it's
19	Tilton Donihee who's here and he is the lawyer for the
20	Children's Aid Society.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: He is here in place of Mr.
23	Chisholm.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: That's all I had, and I
2	understand Mr. Lee is still asking Mr. Silmser questions.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	MR. SILMSER: Good morning.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee.
7	MR. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE
10	(Cont'd/suite):
11	MR. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Silmser.
12	MR. SILMSER: Good morning.
13	MR. LEE: I just have a few more questions
14	for you.
15	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
16	MR. LEE: I think where we left off
17	yesterday, we were discussing the OPP document I had shown
18	you from October of 2000. I have no more questions on
19	that. I'd like to move on.
20	You understand that, eventually, charges
21	were laid against Father MacDonald.
22	MR. SILMSER: Yes, they were.
23	MR. LEE: In relation to you and then, as
24	matters progressed eventually, other charges followed in
25	relation to other persons.

1	Is that correct?
2	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
3	MR. LEE: And once those charges were laid,
4	you began dealing with the Crown Attorney rather than the
5	police.
6	Is that correct?
7	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: And we've looked a little bit at
9	the relationship, I suppose, that you had with Mr.
10	Pelletier at the time.
11	And, would you agree with me, I think at
12	times it would be fair to describe that as adversarial?
13	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
14	MR. LEE: I'd like to show you one
15	particular document that I am interested in; it's Document
16	109253. I'll wait for the clerk to get that to you, but I
17	can just tell you that it appears to be a memorandum dated
18	July 19^{th} of '96, to Bob, being Bob Pelletier, from Mireille
19	who, I assume, was his assistant or secretary at the time.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 303 then.
21	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-303:
22	Memo from Mireille to Bob - July 19, 1996 -
23	Re Father MacDonald.
24	MR. LEE: Thank you.

1	And it's rather short. I'm just going to
2	read it aloud in the record:
3	"Received a second call from Mr.
4	Silmser at 11:30 a.m.; wanted to leave
5	the message that another victim has
6	been located by a private investigator.
7	I asked him if the police were made
8	aware of this and he said they did not.
9	I explained that that was the
10	procedure. I again stated that the
11	private investigator had a four and a
12	half hour statement from this
13	individual. I explained that if he had
14	any other comments, he should go
15	through his lawyer. He became very
16	angry and said that he would not as it
17	costs him money each time and that he
18	would continue to call this office and
19	that if we didn't like it, there would
20	be a public inquiry at the end of the
21	day. I thanked him for these comments
22	and hung up."
23	THE COMMISSIONER: And here we are.
24	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
25	MR. LEE: Here we are.

with this.

1	The first issue I suppose is again you're
2	being told "Stop calling here; go through you lawyer."
3	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
4	MR. LEE: And you explained to us yesterday
5	the problem with that is that every time you call the
6	lawyer, he sends a bill.
7	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
8	MR. LEE: And I think part of your
9	recommendations were that, you know, if you can't call the
10	Crown, the Crown refusing to deal with you, at the very
11	least give me somebody to talk to who is not going to cost
12	me any money.
13	Is that correct?
14	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
15	MR. LEE: As I think, we all realized, as I
16	was reading that, what I am really interested here is your
17	comment that there would be a public inquiry at the end of
18	the day. And the reason I am interested is this is 1996;
19	this is, you know, 10 years ago
20	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
21	MR. LEE: and this is I mean, and I
22	don't say this lightly at all, but comparatively to what we
23	know now, it's pretty early in the process. It's three
24	years in compared to the 10 years that you ended up dealing

1	MR. SILMSER: It's very early for some
2	people, but it wasn't early for me.
3	MR. LEE: There was a lot of frustration by
4	this point.
5	MR. SILMSER: Not only frustration! There
6	is a lot of agencies; there is a lot of police. There is
7	so much I had gone through to that point, it was
8	unbelievable.
9	This is, you know, you might say it was
10	early, maybe with the information getting out there and
11	what was going on, but I think I don't know how many
12	years.
13	Was it four years; four years I was involved
14	with this.
15	MR. LEE: Ninety-two ('92) was when your
16	allegations first came on the scene.
17	MR. SILMSER: I think it is.
18	So it was four years I was involved with
19	this and in those four years there is so much I went
20	through in those four years. This is right in the middle
21	of it for me.
22	MR. LEE: And by this time I think the whole
23	of your evidence, would you agree that it's your opinion,
24	at this time, in '96, that things haven't been handled
25	properly?

1	MR. SILMSER: Pardon me?
2	MR. LEE: Things hadn't been handled
3	properly up until this time.
4	MR. SILMSER: That's 100 per cent correct.
5	MR. LEE: And part of your reaction to this,
6	it appears from this memorandum, is a recognition that it's
7	gone bad enough that a public inquiry might be in the
8	offing.
9	Is that correct?
10	MR. SILMSER: Well I saw the problems back
11	then, that things weren't done right. Period! And I don't
12	know where I came up with the public inquiry. I didn't
13	even think but
14	Actually, I think in the news at that time,
15	there was a public inquiry about something. And I thought,
16	well, that would be a good idea to have a public inquiry of
17	what I've gone through for the last four years.
18	Because of all the I considered a
19	covering up; not laying charges when they should have been
20	laid; how I was treated through the whole process in the
21	four years; how the Catholic Church ignored me in this.
22	There were so many things that went on that
23	I thought the only way, probably, to get the truth out
24	would be trough a public inquiry, and here we are.
25	MR. LEE: Here we are.

1	I'd like to move to another area. Yesterday
2	I believe it was yesterday; it could have been the day
3	before during your examination-in-chief by Mr.
4	Engelmann, there was a brief discussion of your dealings
5	with Canada Manpower.
6	Do you recall that?
7	MR. SILMSER: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: And you had some dealings with
9	that agency while you were on probation.
10	Is that correct?
11	MR. SILMSER: The Manpower?
12	MR. LEE: Yes.
13	MR. SILMSER: I believe I had a meeting with
14	the Manpower, but I can't remember.
15	MR. LEE: And I believe you told Mr.
16	Engelmann that, off the top of your head, you don't recall
17	who that meeting would have been with.
18	MR. SILMSER: That's right.
19	MR. LEE: Does the name Richard Hickerson
20	mean anything to you in relation to Canada Manpower?
21	MR. SILMSER: No, it doesn't.
22	MR. LEE: You don't recall having a meeting
23	with a man by that name?
24	MR. SILMSER: I'm not saying I didn't. It

just doesn't ring a bell right now with me.

1	MR. LEE: Do you recall now you came to know
2	of Canada Manpower?
3	Were you referred by somebody?
4	MR. SILMSER: Ken Seguin.
5	MR. LEE: Ken Seguin referred you, as you
6	probation officer?
7	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
8	MR. LEE: Now, one of the important issues
9	that we're dealing with at this Inquiry, and it is
10	important in relation to your evidence, is there have been
11	suggestions before this Inquiry, and there will be
12	suggestions at this Inquiry, that some of the problems with
13	charges being laid and with prosecutions stem from the
14	suggestion that victims in this community met together,
15	conspired together and got their story straight if you
16	will. That victims in this community, at times, made sure
17	they were on the same page before going to the police.
18	You've told us a couple of times now, that
19	you have never met with another victim of abuse and
20	discussed the details of your abuse.
21	Is that correct?
22	MR. SILMSER: That's correct. But I don't
23	know who says said that.
24	Has the police agency said that?

1	MR. LEE: I can tell you that there will be
2	suggestions at this Inquiry that
3	MR. SILMSER: If anybody suggests something
4	like that, they better give their head a shake.
5	MR. LEE: My question is have you ever met
6	with a victim of abuse and gone into the details of your
7	abuse?
8	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not!
9	MR. LEE: Have you ever met with a victim of
10	abuse and gone into the details of his abuse, whoever that
11	victim might have been?
12	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not!
13	MR. LEE: At the end of the day, there were
14	criminal charges laid against Father Charles MacDonald in
15	relation to nine complainants, including yourself; you were
16	one of the nine.
17	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
18	MR. LEE: Did you ever sit down with any of
19	those other eight complainants to, as I say, get your
20	stories straight?
21	MR. SILMSER: Never.
22	MR. LEE: You have spoken to other victims
23	of abuse though.
24	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I have.

20

21

22

23

24

1	MR. LEE: And we all know now because John
2	MacDonald has been here, that you have a friendship with
3	John MacDonald, and you've spoke with John MacDonald
4	through the years.
5	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
6	MR. LEE: If you're not discussing details
7	of abuse with John MacDonald, what kind of things are you
8	discussing?
9	MR. SILMSER: Just the frustrations we have;
10	like day-to-day frustrations. Sometimes we don't discuss
11	anything about what is going on. We discuss maybe news
12	articles that have been out there, back in the past, we
13	lately, every second night or every third night, my wife
14	and John, we go to Tim Horton's doughnuts, in Prescott and
15	we have a coffee. Once a week or once every two weeks,
16	John and I will play pool, in Brockville, for an hour or
17	so.
18	The friendship is basically a support type

of thing. We don't have to discuss what's going on because we know just by the way -- even like the way the person looks. I can tell when John's angry or frustrated because he's nervous, he's on edge. It's hard to explain unless you're a victim of sexual abuse how you understand how other people are feeling when they're in this situation.

1	MR. LEE: And there is something to that,
2	isn't there, being with another victim who understands?
3	MR. SILMSER: Oh! Definitely!
4	You don't have to talk about it when they
5	understand. You don't have to talk about situations
6	because you know automatically and understand how you're
7	feeling. So that's one of the things about a back-up. You
8	don't have to talk about this thing all the time. You
9	don't have to go into any detail whatsoever.
10	Like John MacDonald went to the Cornwall
11	police the other day because he was angry with Pelletier
12	and Murray MacDonald and Griffith and he figures there was
13	a conflict of interest in that period of time, and that's
14	why charges ended by staying because Mr. Pelletier didn't
15	call a conflict of interest soon enough even though he knew
16	about it back at a later - at an earlier date.
17	MR. LEE: Mr. MacDonald explained a lot of
18	that to us while
19	MR. SILMSER: Yes. I wasn't with him; I
20	didn't discuss it with him. He told me about it. I went
21	to the police station with him for support. We met with
22	Sergeant White, and Sergeant White, at the end of the day,
23	said "I'm sorry".
24	I didn't say hardly anything at the meeting.
25	I don't think I said two words at the meeting. And

1	Sergeant White said to me he said "I'm sorry I couldn't
2	help John." And I said "Well, maybe you did just by
3	listening to him." That's the type of support I mean with
4	John. You know, if I need support type of thing, I am
5	there for him. But to discuss the case, and the details,
6	and stuff like that, no. No.
7	MR. LEE: And were you receiving that kind
8	of support from John MacDonald in 1995?
9	MR. SILMSER: Yes, I was.
10	MR. LEE: And you've explained to us that
11	when you learned that he was also a victim of abuse like
12	you, you told us you weren't at all pleased he was a victim
13	of abuse, but you were pretty happy there was somebody else
14	there with you.
15	Is that right?
16	MR. SILMSER: Well, I was really,
17	personally, because I took the blunt of it for two years
18	through the media, people were saying I should be charged
19	for bringing up allegations. I went through a lot of stuff
20	even in the Fifth Estate. I believe in the Fifth Estate
21	documentary, they had women in a circle with a priest
22	talking about Charles MacDonald, and one woman crying about
23	Charles MacDonald babysat her son, and that nothing ever
24	happened and she was crying for Charles MacDonald. And, at
25	a later date, I heard she walked up to Charles MacDonald

1	and slapped him in the face because she found out he did
2	abuse her child.
3	Things like that, you know I went through
4	a lot of stuff like that.
5	MR. LEE: Mr. Silmser, those are all the
6	questions I have for you. I would like to thank you very
7	much for being here, and I hope things go well for you in
8	future, sir.
9	Thank you very much.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't have my order sheet.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Neither do I.
13	Mr. Lamb?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if Mr. Bennett
15	is here.
16	THE REGISTRAR: He's not here.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: The Men's Project.
18	THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Lamb.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Lamb for Father
20	MacDonald.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
22	MR. LAMB: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE BY MR. LAMB:
25	MR. LAMB: Good morning, Mr. Silmser.

1	MR. SILMSER: Good morning.
2	Who are you representing?
3	MR. LAMB: As they just stole my thunder,
4	I'm counsel for Mr. MacDonald for Father MacDonald
5	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
6	MR. LAMB: Father Charles MacDonald.
7	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
8	MR. LAMB: Mr. Silmser, before the first
9	thing I wanted to address were some comments that you made
10	yesterday towards the end of your examination in-chief.
11	The first comment I would like to ask you
12	about is you stated in your evidence that a lot of the
13	delays in the trial of Father MacDonald were as a result of
14	Michael Neville, and you noted that it was in his character
15	to try and stretch out the case as long as he could be
16	appealing situations and so forth.
17	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
18	MR. LAMB: For the record, that's found at
19	page 95 of the transcript of yesterday's proceedings.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. LAMB: Now, Mr. Engelmann did discuss
22	things with you, Mr. Silmser, and you stated that you never
23	did read the reasons why the proceedings against Father
24	MacDonald were stayed.
25	Correct?

1	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
2	MR. LAMB: So it's fair, and I think it's
3	fair for me, to say that you were wrong to even suggest
4	that your understanding for the delays was because of
5	counsel for Father MacDonald.
6	MR. SILMSER: No. I think you're wrong by
7	saying that. I was sitting in the courtroom all the way in
8	Ottawa, and Mike Neville was talking to his client, Charles
9	MacDonald, and Mike Neville said right in front of me he
10	didn't realize I was sitting in a chair that he said
11	with the actions, with my hands, he said, "In cases like
12	this, we have to extend it as far as we can."
13	So I don't think I was wrong.
14	MR. LAMB: Did you you never read the
15	decision of Justice Chilcott though from the Superior Court
16	of Justice dated May 13, 2002, did you?
17	MR. CULIC: That's been answered, if I
18	recall correctly.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Cross-examination though.
20	MR. CULIC: Okay.
21	MR. LAMB: Thank you.
22	You never read that?
23	MR. SILMSER: I repeated that I already
24	said I didn't.
25	MR. LAMB: Yes. In his written decision, he

1	stats that:
2	"The court found that the actions of
3	the accused did not affect his right to
4	trial within a reasonable time."
5	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
6	MR. LAMB: So none of the actions of the
7	accused or of his counsel were found to be of any
8	significance in the fact that a stay of proceedings was
9	ordered.
10	MR. SILMSER: I don't understand.
11	Can you repeat?
12	MR. LAMB: None of the actions of the
13	accused were found to have any effect on the fact that a
14	stay was ordered in the proceedings before the court.
15	MR. SILMSER: That's his decision. I'm just
16	saying what I heard by Mike Neville in the courtroom
17	MR. LAMB: But what you heard from Mr.
18	Neville has nothing to do with the reasons of the court.
19	MR. SILMSER: The court makes a decision. I
20	hear what I hear too.
21	MR. LAMB: Okay.
22	But when you say your understanding was that
23	the reason for the stay of proceedings was because most
24	of the delay was because of Mr. Neville.
25	You're wrong, sir.

1	MR. SILMSER: I say I'm right, sir.
2	MR. LAMB: Now, so you maintain that the
3	reason the court stayed the criminal proceedings, in May of
4	2002, against Father Charles MacDonald, was because of the
5	actions of his counsel?
6	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
7	MR. LAMB: Okay.
8	And there's nothing I can say there's no
9	even though you haven't read the reasons of the court,
10	that would convince you otherwise?
11	MR. SILMSER: I would like to say there's
12	maybe some other reasons, but I say the biggest one is Mike
13	Neville.
14	MR. LAMB: It was found that Perry Dunlop
15	caused the largest part of the delay in that case.
16	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea about Perry
17	Dunlop.
18	MR. LAMB: It was also found that delays in
19	disclosure before the original trial date, in 2000, were
20	one of the causes of delay.
21	MR. SILMSER: I don't understand what you
22	are saying. I'm not a lawyer, sir. You are going to have
23	to explain, break it down in detail.
24	MR. LAMB: Well, sir, what I'm doing is I'm
25	simply laying out the fact that

1	MR. SILMSER: No, I know what you're doing.
2	Just your last comments there
3	MR. LAMB: Yeah?
4	MR. SILMSER: can you break it down and
5	tell me exactly what it means?
6	MR. LAMB: As you know in a criminal case,
7	disclosure is required to be produced to the person who is
8	accused of offences.
9	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
10	MR. LAMB: Okay?
11	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
12	MR. LAMB: And what the court, in staying
13	the charges against Father MacDonald, states that there
14	were delays in disclosure with regard to five new charges
15	that were laid in 1998.
16	MR. SILMSER: Delays in disclosure on the
17	_
18	MR. LAMB: There was delayed disclosure
19	until just before the trial in 2000.
20	MR. SILMSER: On whose part?
21	MR. LAMB: The Crown.
22	MR. SILMSER: Oh! The Crown. The Crown
23	always advised me that none of the delays were his fault
24	(Mr. Pelletier) through the whole system.
25	MR. LAMB: Okay.

1	But Mr. Pelletier wasn't on the case at that
2	time.
3	MR. SILMSER: Who was on the case?
4	MR. LAMB: Well, it wasn't Mr. Pelletier.
5	MR. SILMSER: Who was on the case then?
6	What time period are you talking about?
7	MR. LAMB: This was Miss Hannan, I believe.
8	MR. SILMSER: I have no idea who you are
9	talking about. There was never a Crown Attorney by that
10	name.
11	MR. LAMB: Maybe I'm misspelling the name.
12	MR. SILMSER: Well, then you'll have to show
13	me the spelling and show me the person you are talking
14	about because I can't comment if I don't know the person.
15	MR. LAMB: Okay.
16	I will come back to it then.
17	The other reasons that the court, when that
18	decision was made, Mr. Silmser, was that there was a
19	finding of irrebuttable prejudice against the accused that
20	was found because of the 73 months delay going back to
21	1996, 50 court appearances by Father MacDonald, massive
22	publicity locally, nationally and internationally.
23	MR. SILMSER: Are you trying to make me
24	feel sorry for him?
25	That also went on my side too, right?

1	MR. LAMB: I'm not trying to make you feel
2	sorry for anyone, Mr. Simlser.
3	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
4	MR. LAMB: All I'm stating to you is that
5	you stated in your evidence yesterday that a lot of the
6	delays were because of Michael Neville.
7	MR. SILMSER: And I commented on that
8	already, and you're not going to change my mind on that.
9	MR. LAMB: Okay.
10	But the court certainly disagrees with you.
11	MR. SILMSER: Maybe what court disagrees
12	with me?
13	This court disagrees with me?
14	MR. LAMB: Well, this is a public inquiry
15	for the Superior Court of Justice that made the decision
16	_
17	MR. SILMSER: Can you tell me the Judge that
18	disagreed with me? His name?
19	MR. LAMB: Justice Chilcott
20	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
21	MR. LAMB: the Superior Court Justice.
22	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
23	That's one judge that disagreed.
24	MR. LAMB: Okay.
25	MR. SILMSER: That's why we are having an

1	inquiry today.
2	Right?
3	MR. LAMB: I don't know.
4	MR. SILMSER: I believe so.
5	MR. LAMB: You think it's because of the
6	fact that the matters were stayed?
7	MR. SILMSER: I would hope so.
8	MR. LAMB: You stated also that none of the
9	delay was your fault.
10	Correct?
11	MR. SILMSER: Personally my fault?
12	MR. LAMB: Yes.
13	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely! Never of my
14	fault. I was always a half-an-hour early in the courtroom.
15	Always there even while I was sick and, again, I can't
16	comment so much on the Crown Attorney, which was Pelletier,
17	and Pelletier was the only Crown Attorney at the time. So
18	your homework hasn't been done properly.
19	MR. LAMB: Thank you for that.
20	MR. SILMSER: You're welcome.
21	He advised me that it was none of the
22	Crown's fault. Period!
23	MR. LAMB: Your original allegation was made
24	in late 1992.
25	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.

I	MR. LAMB: And in September 1993, you
2	received the settlement funds, in Cornwall, correct, the
3	\$32,000?
4	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
5	MR. LAMB: Now, at that point, you refused
6	to cooperate with police.
7	Isn't that correct also?
8	MR. SILMSER: Absolutely not! I cooperated
9	with the police all the way through the investigation with
10	Heidi Sebalj. I went to every meeting she had, she called
11	me for. I sat there for hours upon hours discussing things
12	with her. We I was patient through the whole process,
13	even when there were delays, like three months delays, with
14	Heidi Sebalj coming back to me.
15	And I was 100 per cent cooperative with the
16	Cornwall Police. They are the ones who didn't want to lay
17	charges. They are the ones who said the case was finished.
18	Not myself.
19	MR. LAMB: So you never got upset from the
20	calls coming from Constable Sebalj?
21	MR. SILMSER: Pardon me.
22	MR. LAMB: When she called and left messages
23	with you, that never upset you?
24	MR. SILMSER: Oh! Definitely! Sometimes it
25	upset me.

1	MR. LAMB: And you never stated that you
2	didn't want to deal with the matters anymore, criminally?
3	MR. SILMSER: When the police said that they
4	were not going to go further with the charges, they were
5	dropping the case, the only option I had was to take the
6	settlement, the \$32,000, because nothing else was being
7	done.
8	That was my only option, to keep trying to
9	find some justice in this situation, and the agreements
10	that were put in front of me. I did not read some of them.
11	That's ignorance, I believe, my ignorance. I should have
12	read them. But, at the time, I was very frustrated, wanted
13	this thing to end because I was so mad at the Cornwall
14	Police for not doing a proper investigation and not
15	following through with it. And so these that's when it
16	ended for me; I thought it had ended for me.
17	MR. LAMB: Okay.
18	MR. SILMSER: Because it had ended for the
19	Cornwall Police.
20	Do you have another question or
21	MR. LAMB: Yes, I do.
22	Another area that you addressed late
23	yesterday during the examination in-chief, Mr. Silmser, was
24	going back to the preliminary inquiry. You were talking
25	about the fact that the Crown, Mr. Pelletier at the time

1	_
2	MR. SILMSER: Now, you agree it was Mr.
3	Pelletier?
4	MR. LAMB: This is in 1997 at the
5	preliminary inquiry.
6	MR. SILMSER: And now you know the dates of
7	the Crown Attorneys?
8	I'm just asking because you were mixed up
9	before about dates and Crown Attorneys at the time. So I'm
10	just asking and now you know the dates and the Crown
11	Attorneys who did it.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
13	Hold on now!
14	You are quite correct in the sense that
15	first of all, he doesn't recall who the Crown Attorney was
16	that replaced Mr. Pelletier.
17	Okay?
18	So now, he's going back and he's talking
19	about in the Pelletier era.
20	MR. SILMSER: But he didn't give me a date
21	when the Crown replaced Mr. Pelletier.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, well
23	MR. SILMSER: And I asked him for that time
24	period, and he didn't know what time period.
25	So how am I supposed to know what Crown

1	Attorney took place at the time?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	Well, first of all, we are going to go back
4	to basics.
5	Okay?
6	This gentleman is asking you questions.
7	All right.
8	And you have a lawyer here, and I'm here.
9	Mr. Engelmann is here to make sure that he asks proper
10	questions.
11	All right.
12	And, so far, other than the fact that he may
13	have repeated a question a couple of times, and I'm giving
14	him a little bit of leeway, he has been fair.
15	Okay?
16	In asking you questions.
17	So what I am going to ask you is just sit
18	back and answer the questions the best you can. So I
19	MR. SILMSER: I disagree with that.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Why is that?
21	MR. SILMSER: Because if I don't answer the
22	questions exactly, the truthful of the questions
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. SILMSER: and precisely the
25	questions, people put a spin on it.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. SILMSER: The newspaper puts a spin on
3	it.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
5	MR. SILMSER: The media puts a spin on it.
6	And that's what I don't want to happen.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
8	Well, there's one problem with that.
9	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
11	I don't care what the media says.
12	MR. SILMSER: I do care.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Ah! Except that there's
14	one thing. This is on the web cast.
15	Right?
16	It's on COGECO. People can see what you're
17	saying. And what I told people was that if people want to
18	come to this Inquiry to listen to facts. So all I'm
19	interested in is what you're telling me here today.
20	All right?
21	Not what spin is going to be put on it,
22	because I'm the one who is writing the report.
23	MR. SILMSER: But, again, I do care. I'm a
24	victim here
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

those questions.

1	MR. SILMSER: sitting here on my
2	supposedly on my own free will, giving testimony.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
4	MR. SILMSER: And I do care
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
6	MR. SILMSER: the spin that these
7	lawyers are trying to put on things.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all, the
9	spin he's asking questions and so far your lawyer and
10	Mr. Engelmann have not objected. So that should be an
11	indication to you that
12	MR. SILMSER: But I have objected to a few
13	things.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	MR. SILMSER: Number one was when Mike
16	Neville when you try to tell me that Mike Neville made
17	no delays on this thing
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. SILMSER: and I knew for a fact he
20	did. He was trying to put a spin on a few things and I'm
21	just trying to tell him exactly what went wrong.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	And what he's done is he stopped asking

1	MR. SILMSER: But he will ask more like
2	that.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
4	MR. SILMSER: I guarantee you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I am the gatekeeper here.
6	All right?
7	And I will determine what questions are
8	relevant or not, with the assistance of the lawyers that
9	are here.
10	MR. SILMSER: And I will answer where I
11	think the truth will come out the best.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And I will direct you to
13	answer the questions that I think are important for me to
14	hear the answers to.
15	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
17	So good!
18	So now we're back to the preliminary
19	inquiry.
20	All right?
21	Mr. Silmser?
22	We're back he's going back to the
23	preliminary inquiry.
24	MR. SILMSER: Okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: And we know for a fact
2	that Mr. Pelletier was the prosecutor or the Crown Attorney
3	at that time.
4	Right?
5	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	What's your question?
8	MR. LAMB: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
9	When you were giving your evidence, Mr.
10	Silmser, with regard to the preliminary inquiry yesterday,
11	you stated that:
12	"The only objection was when I caught
13	Neville in a lie and I made him go back
14	to another document and he turned beet
15	red and he asked if he could have a
16	recess and the judge said 'You don't
17	get a recess. The victim gets a
18	recess'."
19	MR. SILMSER: That's correct.
20	MR. LAMB: Okay.
21	Now, I'm going to ask you to look at a
22	document, and it's one of the transcripts from the
23	preliminary inquiry. It's 738202 is the document number
24	that

1	THE COMMISSIONER: What's the exhibit
2	number?
3	MR. LAMB: Sorry, Mr. Commissioner.
4	THE REGISTRAR: Seven three eight two zero
5	two (738202)?
6	MR. LAMB: Yes.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 291.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-ninety-one (291).
9	MR. LAMB: Exhibit 291.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	What page?
12	MR. LAMB: I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: What page of the
14	transcript?
15	MR. LAMB: Yes, it's page 33.
16	And is that the forgive me, Mr.
17	Commissioner.
18	Is it the Bates number?
19	THE REGISTRAR: Bates number.
20	MR. LAMB: Is that the number carried in the
21	top left-hand corner of these documents?
22	So it's Bates number 7164541 no, sorry,
23	7164542.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So 32 and 33, Mr.
25	Silmser.

1	MR. SILMSER: Pardon me.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I think he's at page 32
3	and 33
4	MR. SILMSER: Okay.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: of the preliminary
6	inquiry.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Just 33.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Just 33.
9	All right.
10	MR. LAMB: I just wanted to direct you here,
11	Mr. Silmser. This is a break in the proceedings. At line
12	22 Mr. Neville states:
13	"Can we take five minutes, please, Your
14	Honour?"
15	And the Court says:
16	"Mr. Neville, perhaps we could continue
17	until the normal lunch recess at
18	12:30."
19	Mr. Neville states:
20	"I can't. I'd like five minutes."
21	And, of course, this is a personal request.
22	Mr. Neville answers:
23	"Yes. Of course, it's all right.
24	We'll take five minutes."
25	And there's a five minute recess.

1	But in reviewing that transcript, Mr.
2	Silmser, I see nothing of lies.
3	MR. SILMSER: You haven't read the whole
4	transcript then. And that's not the recess that I was
5	talking about.
6	MR. LAMB: Can you tell me which recess it
7	was so that
8	MR. SILMSER: I'd have to go through the
9	whole file and that would probably take me approximately a
10	day.
11	MR. LAMB: Well, I've gone through all those
12	transcripts, Mr. Silmser.
13	MR. SILMSER: Yes, but I'm not going to take
14	your word for it.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute! Just a
16	minute!
17	Wait until he asks the question and then you
18	can answer.
19	Mr. Lamb.
20	MR. LAMB: I've gone through the transcripts
21	and I find nothing.
22	MR. SILMSER: Then you're not a very good
23	lawyer.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. No, no, sir.
25	MR. SILMSER: No. No, no.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. In fairness
2	MR. SILMSER: It was there and if he hasn't
3	found nothing, he hasn't done his job properly.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, the answer to that
5	is instead of saying inflammatory things like that is say
6	"Then you're in error."
7	All right?
8	You know?
9	MR. SILMSER: I say it the way I see it and
10	I say it the way I feel it.
11	I'm sorry.
12	I'm not going to be told what to say and
13	what not to say in this courtroom.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I'll tell you one
15	thing, sir, I have made it a rule here that we treat
16	everybody with dignity and respect.
17	MR. SILMSER: And as far as I'm concerned
18	that was as dignified as I could be with that man.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, then for the
20	record, I'm going to tell you that while Mr. Lamb is acting
21	for Father MacDonald, and there is obviously a difficulty
22	there, Mr. Lamb is presenting himself in a calm fashion and
23	he's asking polite questions. And so I would ask you
24	simply to be as polite as you can when you're answering
25	your questions.

1	MR. SILMSER: I'll try as hard as I can.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Okay.
4	MR. CULIC: I would like a five minute break
5	to speak to my client.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
7	Mr. Callaghan.
8	MR. CALLAGHAN: I thought the rule was
9	well, I'd like to know the grounds as to why he needs to
10	speak to his client.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: But I thought the ground
13	there weren't
14	MR. SILMSER: I'm taking a break now.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no
16	MR. SILMSER: They can put me in jail. I'm
17	taking a break now.
18	MR. CULIC: Well, I should think it's
19	readily obvious why I've asked for a five minute break, Mr.
20	Commissioner.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
22	And that is to speak to your client with
23	respect to how to give evidence and then
24	MR. CULIC: Correct. Not
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Not to discuss any

get clear on the record that Mr. Lamb did nothing wrong ---

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. CULIC: and that this has nothing to
3	do with him, his demeanor, or the nature of the questions
4	he asked. It's everything to do with who he represents and
5	who Mr. Silmser really sees standing here.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MR. CULIC: I'm asking for an adjournment
8	until Monday Monday afternoon. I'm going to attempt my
9	best, with the resources available to us, to get him some
10	very quick and effective, hopefully, anger management.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. CULIC: Otherwise, in frank sincerity,
13	Mr. Commissioner, I don't think he can survive anymore
14	cross-examination without it.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	All right.
17	Thank you.
18	So there's a request for an adjournment.
19	Any comments from any of the parties?
20	All right.
21	What I'd like to say at this point is that
22	when I started out with this Inquiry, and knowing that we
23	would be asking some alleged victims and victims to come
24	and testify, that one of the situations we might run into
25	is something that we've run into today.

1	I think if we've learned something is, if we
2	go back to the context evidence of Dr. Jaffe and Dr. Wolfe,
3	is that we have to be very much alive to situations such as
4	this and to respect peoples' needs for some time.
5	And also we are all in a very difficult
6	inquiry and we'll have to be sensitive to the needs of all
7	parties, all the while understanding that there is work to
8	be done and, hopefully, that Mr. Silmser will be well
9	enough to come on Monday.
10	I would hope that he would attend on Monday
11	either to testify or to talk about how we're going to
12	handle this thing. So you might want to extend my
13	invitation for him to come here at 2:00 o'clock.
14	MR. CULIC: I will do so.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	So in the meantime, I don't know that
17	anything untoward should be taken by what occurred either
18	by Mr. Silmser's demeanor or his comments. I think it's a
19	question of, again, being sensitive and understanding the
20	needs of all the parties here in this Inquiry.
21	So that having been said, I think it's wise
22	that we adjourn. I don't know that there are any other
23	items that we can fill the day with.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: There are not, sir.
25	Obviously, this was unexpected.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps if counsel has a few
3	minutes have a few minutes, we could just meet now for a
4	few minutes privately and we can talk about where we're
5	going.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Thank you.
8	We'll see you at 2:00 on Monday.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À
10	l'ordre; veuillez vous lever.
11	The hearing is now adjourned. L'audience
12	est ajournée.
13	Upon adjourning at 11:14 a.m./
14	L'audience est ajournée à 11h14
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

SILMSER

Cr-Ex(Lee)

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Jamie Savard a certified court reporter in the Province
4	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
5	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
6	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
7	
8	Je, Jamie Savard, un sténographe officiel dans la province
9	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
10	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
11	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
12	
13	Janie Savard
14	
15	Jamie Savard, CR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	