



**WILL STATE - Constable Perry Dunlop
Cornwall Police Service**

April 7, 2000

1. I have been a member of the Cornwall Police Service for the past 17 years and am presently a First Class Constable. I am 38 years old, married, with three young children. I am currently assigned to uniform duties attached to "D" Team.

Over the span of my career, I have also worked in the Criminal Investigation Branch of the Cornwall Police Service. I have also participated in a Joint Forces operation with the Ontario Provincial Police and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.

I worked with the Cornwall Police Drug Unit, in unison with other police and law enforcement agencies. I was privileged to have been chosen by the Solicitor General's Office to train and become a member of an undercover operator pool for the Province of Ontario.

2. On September 23, 1993, while attending to my professional and official duties related to my assignment to the Criminal Investigation Bureau Drug Unit. I gained knowledge of a sexual assault complaint involving allegations of abuse by a Catholic Priest, Father Charles MacDonald and a Cornwall Probation Officer for the Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services, Ken Seguin. The alleged victim of the abuse was D.S.
3. On September 24, 1993, before attending court, I was in the Intelligence Office where Sergeants Ron Lefebvre and Claude Lortie were openly discussing this sexual assault case. I had already been informed by Sergeant Lortie that the allegations of sexual assault were against Father Charles MacDonald.
4. The initial complaint was dated December of 1992. The incident showed up on our police computer terminal as overdue on January 18, 1993.
(Book One - Tab 1)

There were no reports entered into the police computer network (OMPPAC) with regards to the investigation. I became concerned about the allegations and the investigation. On the police computer (OMPPAC), the only information displayed was the sexual assault location of 40 Fourth Street West.

This was the location of St. Columban's Church, of which I was a member. Normal procedure is to follow up on all investigations. This includes entering the initial report on the computer as well as attaching the names of the complainant(s), witness statements and suspect(s).

It was unusual for any occurrence to remain overdue for that long with no file update entries. There is a definite time line procedure that is followed by our department and in fact, it is policy that officers keep up on their assignments.

5. I had strong ties with St. Andrews Catholic Church where Father MacDonald was then presiding. I regularly attended St. Andrews Catholic Church and in fact Father Charles MacDonald had performed my marriage ceremony and baptized my first born daughter at St. Andrews Catholic Church. We had several family members and friends that attended St. Andrew's Catholic Church, which is a parish in the Diocese of Alexandria. I was actively involved in the Diocese of Alexandria's educational and social activities. Given the allegations of sexual assault against Father Charles MacDonald, I was concerned for the safety of the children of the Parish, and all other children that Father Charles MacDonald may/would have had contact with.
6. It was during the discussion with Sergeants Lefebvre and Lortie that I first learned that the investigation concerning Father Charles MacDonald and Ken Seguin had been recently terminated because the Catholic Diocese of Cornwall had made a monetary settlement of \$32,000 dollars with the complainant. I have never, in all my years as a police officer, heard or seen a criminal investigation being terminated due to a monetary settlement or payoff. The investigation into the allegations made by the victim were deemed a high priority upon the initial intake by the Cornwall Police.

The victim had first contacted police on the 9th of December 1992. The victim placed a call to our service and spoke to the Officer in Charge, Sergeant Nakic. The victim stated that during his time as an altar boy at St. Columban's Church he was sexually assaulted by Father Charles MacDonald and further he was assaulted by the Probation Officer Ken Seguin who was a friend of the Priest. Sergeant Nakic sent an internal correspondence to Staff Inspector Stuart MacDonald to advise of this call. (Book One - Tab 2) Sergeant Lortie was assigned the case mid-December of 1992.

Between Christmas and New Year's, Deputy Chief St. Denis called Sergeant Lortie into his office and requested an update on the file/investigation. Chief Claude Shaver was on holidays and Deputy Chief St. Denis was the Acting Chief Constable.

Sergeant Lortie had called D.S. on the 14th of December 1992 and set up a scheduled meeting for January 18, 1994. This fact is confirmed by Sergeant

Lortie's notes, page 422 of evidence books.

There was going to be a very short delay due to sick leave on the part of Sergeant Lortie. The Deputy Chief St. Denis then advised the Officer in Charge, S/Sgt. Lucien Brunet, of Criminal Investigations Branch that the investigation would be reassigned as it was deemed a priority case. Deputy Chief St. Denis advised Staff Sergeant Brunet, in the form of an internal correspondence letter, dated 8th January 1993.

(Book One - Tab 3)

When Deputy Chief St. Denis reassigned the case he indicated to the Officer in Charge of Criminal Investigations that this case could possibly turn into an Alfred type situation and ordered him to reassign forthwith.

Staff Sergeant Brunet then assigned Constable Heidi Sebalj to investigate the D.S. complaint.

NOTE- Alfred is a small town not far from Cornwall. It was home to a large boys reformatory school. In the recent past a large scale investigation was conducted. Several Christian Brothers that ran a boys school were convicted of sexual assault, on young boys who were in their control. There was a book written on this subject entitled "BOYS DON'T CRY".

It is clear from the onset, that the Deputy Chief was indicating the magnitude and scope of this complaint/investigation.

7. Cornwall Police Service Constable Heidi Sebalj, of the sexual assault unit, was the officer investigating the complaint made by the victim. I asked Constable Sebalj if I could see the victim's statement.
8. Constable Sebalj provided me with the original eight page victim's statement which contained detailed allegations of sexual abuse by Father Charles MacDonald and Probation Officer Ken Seguin.
9. The victim's statement contained, in part, the following information:
 - (a) The victim became an altar boy at age 12 at St. Columban's Church where Father Charles MacDonald presided.
 - (b) The victim and Father Charles MacDonald were alone in the Church

sacristy together. Father MacDonald started to touch the victim's leg and moved his hand up to his inner thigh, ultimately touching the victim's penis.

- (c) The victim attended a retreat at St. Andrew's Church parish. While the victim was in bed (and in a private location), Father MacDonald sat down on his bed. At this point, Father MacDonald was naked. Father MacDonald put his right hand under the blankets and felt the victim's penis under his pyjamas.
 - (d) At age 14, the victim walked past St. Columban's Church and Father MacDonald called him into his office. Father MacDonald informed the victim that he watches girls walk past and that he masturbates himself while watching them. Father MacDonald grabbed the victim's leg and with one finger rubbed the victim's inner thigh up and down and then grabbed the victim's penis. The victim then got up very quickly and ran down the hall and out of the front door.
 - (e) Several months later, Father MacDonald saw the victim and suggested to the victim that they go for a car ride. After initially refusing, the victim agreed to get in the car to discuss the problems the victim had been having at school. Father MacDonald drove the car into the country and stopped in an isolated location. Father MacDonald suggested that they go for a walk. After they exited the car, Father MacDonald took off his pants and started masturbating himself. The victim turned around to observe this act of Father Charles MacDonald. The victim D.S. was scared and tried to escape, he ran as fast as he could, but was tackled by Father MacDonald. Father MacDonald rolled the victim onto his back, undid the victim's pants, sat on the victim's stomach, and tried to push his penis into the victim. The victim cried and yelled and then went blank.
 - (f) As a result of the victim's anger, he stole \$40 from the parish. He was caught, charged, convicted and placed on probation while aged 14 and 15. His probation officer was Ken Seguin. Ken Seguin later repeatedly sexually assaulted the victim. The victim later found out that Ken Seguin was Father MacDonald's best friend.
10. It appeared that the victim had a very good memory, remembering smells, sights and places. As a police officer I have taken and read many statements and it is my opinion that this one appeared to be truthful.

11. After realizing the gravity of the allegations, I had great concerns for the safety of the children now attending St. Andrew's Catholic Church and the safety of those children in contact with Ken Seguin, the probation officer. After reading the victim's statement, I was appalled and extremely concerned for the safety of the children in the community.

I felt bad for the victim, who appeared to have been victimized by the priest, the Church, Probation, and now by the police. I was concerned that both Father Charles MacDonald and Ken Seguin, who in the course of their respective duties, would continue to have ample opportunity to interact with children.

I knew both men held positions of supreme trust and authority within the community. I felt strongly that the Cornwall Police should continue in their investigation irrespective of the monetary settlement or the lack of cooperation of the victim.

My thought process was this: a young altar boy alleges he was sexually molested by a Priest.

In his frustration he breaks into the Church and steals forty dollars.

He is caught by our Police Service.

He is convicted by our Courts and sentenced to Probation.

He alleged he was sexually assaulted by his probation officer. He indicates the two persons who he mentioned were good friends.

Now years later, he returns to our Service to lodge his complaint. He is obviously frustrated and unsatisfied. After ten months he receives a monetary settlement, the now infamous thirty two-thousand dollars.

12. I had previously been aware of a case involving Father Deslauriers, a Catholic priest. In or about 1985, Father Deslauriers was a priest in the Cornwall Diocese who was accused of sexually assaulting young males. Deslauriers had been an active parish priest in the Cornwall Diocese for several years. The case involved a major investigation, and ultimately resulted in his being charged with 16 counts of sexually abusing nine young Cornwall men. Father Gilles Deslauriers was convicted and received two years probation. Father Deslauriers was transferred to a parish at St. Adele, Quebec, not far from Cornwall. One of Father

Deslauriers' victim's has gone on record in a statement to the press saying, the Catholic Church has never made any attempt to apologize to him.

13. Constable Sebalj informed me that she had difficulty getting a hold of the victim D.S. I then went to the photocopier and made a copy of the victim's statement and gave Constable Sebalj back the original victim's statement.
14. After obtaining a copy of the statement I went to see two superior officer's who both asked me for a copy of the statement I was under the impression they were going to perhaps take action. They also seemed to be under the same rational that the statement may disappear. They both asked for a copy of the statement. I gave both of them a copy of the D.S. statement. The officers were Staff Sergeant Lortie and Staff Sergeant Dupuis.
15. I have since been informed that on or about September 3, 1993, Staff Sergeant Brunet received a letter from Malcolm MacDonald, a Cornwall lawyer, who was representing Father Charles MacDonald. The letter which is attached is as follows:

Angus Malcolm Macdonald, Q.C. LL.B, B.A., K.S.J
Barrister & Solicitor
504 Pitt Street, Suite 1
Telephone: 613-938-7788
Fax: 613-938-7768
Cornwall, Ontario K6J-3R5

September 3, 1993

Cornwall City Police
Justice Building
340 Pitt Street
Cornwall, Ontario

ATT: Det Sergeant Luc Brunet

Dear Sir:

This will confirm our telephone conversation of this morning. I am enclosing a Statement prepared by Sean Adams, Solicitor for David Silmser and signed by David Silmser.

April 7, 2000

I understand that Mr. Adams was advised by you that David Silmsen should speak to Cst. Sebalj personally and I understand that the Constable will not be back until some time next week. David Silmsen indicated to Mr. Adams that he would be available any time she wants to see him.

Yours truly

A. M. MACDONALD

Full letter at: **(Book One - Tab 4)**

I have also included at this tab the document Malcolm Macdonald refers to in the above letter. This document is the certificate of independent legal advice. The document is signed by lawyer Sean Adams and victim D.S.

(Book One - Tab 4)

Also at this tab is the letter by Malcolm Macdonald about the money being held in escrow until the victim attends police station to advise police he does not want to proceed with charges.

16. I first brought this matter to the attention of Mr. Richard Abell, Executive Director of the Children's Aid Society, on September 25, 1993, the day after I photocopied the witness statement. In my conversation with Mr. Abell, I told him about this case and my fear for the children who were presently at risk.
17. The following day, on September 26, 1993, I had another conversation with Mr. Abell and brought a copy of the victim's statement over to Mr. Abell's home. Mr. Abell viewed the statement but I retained possession of it. Mr. Abell informed me that I, as a police officer, had a duty to report any suspected child abuse. I was aware of this statutory duty to report already and believed that I was acting in accordance with my duty to report when I first approached Mr. Abell. I informed Mr. Abell that I would be speaking with Staff Sergeant Brunet to see what was going on with the case.

(Book One - Tab 5) Child Family Services Act past and present.

Note-I still have in my possession my original books from Ontario Police College 1983 recruit training. The material pointed to the specific area dealing with safety of children. This material is supplied to all police officers in the Province of Ontario.

18. On September 28, 1993, I approached Constable Sebalj and told her that I was concerned about the case. Constable Sebalj advised me that she had tried for a month to get in touch with the victim by telephone. I also learned at this time that there were other victims. They indicated they had been sexually assaulted as minors by Father Charles MacDonald.

As a police officer, I could not understand if other victims came forward, indicating that they too had been victims of Father Charles MacDonald, why the investigation was being terminated. Also, I wondered what the status was with regards to the allegations made by D.S. towards probation officer Ken Seguin.

I have learned, that little or no investigation was ever done on this case. Proper police protocol was not followed.

I thought back to the Father Gilles Deslaurier case. He was a Catholic Priest that was charged and convicted of several counts of sexual assaults on children. I know for sure that two seasoned police officers were assigned to the case from start to finish. It was a high profile case in our community. Constable Herb Lefebvre and Sergeant Ron Lefebvre worked as a team on this file. I wondered then, as I do this day, why the investigation into Father Charles MacDonald and Probation officer Ken Seguin was not given the backing and the resources that a case of this magnitude requires.

Cases such as this need the full thrust and resources available to a police officer. This type of investigation requires the direction and guidance of seasoned senior police officers that have experience. The officers also need the full backing, guidance and assistance of supervisors and senior management.

Both Staff Inspector Stuart MacDonald, and Deputy Chief Joe St Denis agreed the case was high profile upon initial intake. A case this complex needs all resources available. Case managers dedicated secretaries, dealing specifically on this file. The suspects initially mentioned by the first victim, had power, money and position within our community.

Probation Services also had a high stake in allegations made of sexual assault by one of their employees. It is now known that two former probation officers in the Cornwall office were sexually assaulting clients.

19. Given the nature of and allegations contained in the victim's statement and coupled with the fact that Constable Sebalj made me aware of other sexual

assault victims of Father MacDonald, I continued to fear that there were children at risk in our community. I made Senior Officers of the Cornwall Police Service aware of my concerns and in fact, forwarded victim D.S.'s statement to them.

20. On September 29, 1993, I met with Senior Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald and showed him the D.S. statement. I had called him the previous evening at his residence requesting a meeting with him. I considered Murray a friend, along with dealing with him as a police officer, we also hunted and socialized on occasion.

The meeting took place the following morning. Murray told me to meet him on the fourth floor of the Justice Building, the same building that houses our police station. This is not the regular Crown's office, but an office that is used by the Crown when attending court at the Justice Building/Police Station. On this morning I attended with a copy of the victim statement. I placed it on Murray's desk and went through the allegations that were made in the statement along with the names that were mentioned as suspected paedophiles.

The Crown Attorney informed me that he was aware of the Father Charles MacDonald allegations but was not aware of the allegation of sexual abuse against Ken Seguin. The allegation against Ken Seguin was made in the same statement. I pointed out the exact location in the statement where the allegations against Ken Seguin of sexual assault had been made by the victim.

Murray did not read the statement at this time. Murray said he had spoken to Malcolm MacDonald the lawyer, and former Federal Crown Attorney, that was currently representing Father Charles MacDonald. Malcolm MacDonald indicated to Murray, about a week prior to our meeting, that the investigation was over and that a settlement had been reached. I found it hard to believe that the Crown was not aware of the allegations against Probation Officer Ken Seguin, as it was mentioned in the victim's statement.

Constable Sebalj also told me that she had met/spoke/communicated with the crown on several occasions about the case. Evidence obtained through my *Police Services Act* charges and trial also indicate that the Senior Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald was aware of this investigation and the allegations contained in the victim's statement. Senior Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald stated to me at our meeting that Staff Sergeant Brunet was on top of this investigation and maybe I should speak to him.

Note: Milton MacDonald, was charged and convicted of sexually assaulting nine young boys from the period of 1963 to 1992. Milton MacDonald was sentenced to 20 months in 1995 for these assaults. He was also charged and convicted in 1969 for a similar offence. Milton MacDonald is Murray MacDonald's father.

(Book One - Tab 6)

21. I then went downstairs to the main floor of the Police Station and Staff Sergeant Brunet asked if he could see me in his office. This meeting took place immediately after my meeting with the Crown Attorney. He told me that D.S. had come to see Constable Sebalj and wanted to end the investigation on this date. Brunet said that the investigation was over since we had no complainant.

I told Staff Sergeant Brunet that I had a problem with the investigation ending. I said I have several family members that attend St. Andrews Catholic Church. I was also concerned about the people being sent to probation who were potentially at risk from Ken Seguin. I said it made me sick to know that children were potentially at risk and that we had done little or nothing on this file. Brunet stated: "We can't solve all the crimes a lot of times. We know who is responsible, but there is nothing we can do. I have spoken to Constable Kevin Malloy (a Cornwall Police Service Constable) who knows a lot about sexual assault and he said we need corroboration".

POLICE NOW HAD THREE VICTIMS.

I stated to Staff Sergeant Brunet that Constable Sebalj told me that there were other victims in this case. I asked: "Did we ever interview Father Charlie or Ken Seguin during the course of this investigation and confront them on the allegations made against them?" He said: "No". I asked him if we had ever conducted surveillance on them, again the answer was no. I asked what had been done and he said Constable Sebalj had called some people.

Brunet then stated: "You realize you can be charged for *Breach of Trust* under the *Police Act*. We would really hate to lose you, Perry. You've been through this before but you were single at the time. (Brunet was making reference to an incident in 1985 where I was charged under the *Police Services Act* for failing to report damage to a police car of an approximate value of one hundred dollars.) Now you have a wife and three children to think about.

You have your career to think about. You have to operate within the system or you are just as bad as a criminal. You know in these cases you have to dot your

"I" and cross your "t". He then asked me if I had any paperwork on the case and said: "I want it returned. This is over and you have to let it be. I have spoken to the Crown. There is nothing we can do. This guy has settled. He (D.S.) has a lawyer and everything."

I said to Brunet: "Have you considered going to the Bishop?" He stated: "No, but that's a good idea. Maybe the Chief and I could go down. But then that's it. You have to let it go." I said: "This is wrong. The way it was handled was wrong. No wonder the victim settled after waiting so long." He said: "I agree and I guess I'm going to have to take some of the blame for this." Our conversation ended when his phone rang. I felt threatened for my job.

There was no doubt in my mind that children would remain at risk. I was very concerned. I also could not believe the accused persons had never even been interviewed by the police.

I felt very intimidated and threatened by Staff Sergeant Brunet as I left his office. That was the very last time, to this present date, that Staff Sergeant Brunet has ever spoken to me, with the exception of a recent grievance issue. Staff Sergeant Brunet was very defensive towards the investigation, and very offensive towards me. I have never in my career been treated in that manner.

22. On September 30, 1993, having concluded that it was my duty under the Child and Family Services Act to report the alleged sexual abuse, I provided Mr. Richard Abell, Director of Children's Aid Society in Cornwall, a copy of the D.S. victim statement. I have been told and do verily believe that the Chief of Police, Claude Shaver, went wild when he found out I had visited the Children's Aid Society. In fact, he went to Children's Aid Society to confront Mr. Abell and was furious that I had gone outside the Police Service. There has been evidence given at my Police Act trial that indicates the former Chief of Police had hands on this investigation from the onset and was not following proper police protocol.

He was bypassing the chain of command and directing this investigation. (**Book One - Tab 7**)

I have learned through statements that I have obtained that Shaver was good friends with the two suspects in the initial case. Chief Claude Shaver should have declared a conflict of interest.

23. On Sunday, October 3, 1993, I noticed Constable Heidi Sebalj had come into work, which was unusual. I verily believe that it was on this date that she entered the sexual assault occurrence into the project file.

The project file was an exclusive file that we maintained in the drug unit. This file was for informant information or current secretive operations that were currently being conducted. Access to the files was limited to authorized personal given an access code. You need specific authorized access to enter project files.

By entering this incident into the project file it would ensure that members, (the patrol officers and members who work the street) would have no clue as to the alleged sexual assault incidents. As well, other police officers, from any other police service, that may investigate in the future similar acts by the same said individuals would not have access or knowledge of this case. I had never seen or heard of a sexual assault being entered in the project file data base. I will give you two examples:

Example one: Suspect A is being investigated for possible sexual assaults on young children. An incident is created but placed under the project file. Suspect A is a well-respected person within the community. A patrol officer observes Suspect A with children in his car, at a remote area. The officer goes to the car to ensure all is in order. He runs the plate of the car as well as the male adult driver. There is no entry on any police computer files. Therefore the officer has no idea of any threat. Potential chances are he won't give it a second thought.

Example two: suspect A moves to a new city, province or country after he has been investigated for suspected child abuse. Suspect A is charged with assaulting a child in his new location. The Police agency that charges him searches all police data banks for similar acts, investigations or convictions in preparation for court. The results are negative. The project file ensures this will not happen. The public are wanting a register for pedophiles. The government is saying they will take steps towards this goal, yet Police are not disclosing amongst themselves or to other agencies where the perpetrators are.

24. On October 12, 1993, Staff Sergeant Derochie, my immediate supervisor in uniform, asked if he could speak with me in private at the Police Club. On this particular night we were having a squad meeting. He said:

"Before you hear it from anyone else, I am investigating you under the *Police Services Act*. I have already taken a couple of statements. It has to do with the Father Charlie case."

Staff Sergeant Derochie then left the room and came back in. Staff Sergeant Derochie asked:

"Did you have no idea this was going to happen?"

I said: "No."

Staff Sergeant Derochie said:

"Luc (Staff Sergeant Brunet) seemed to think you knew that there would probably be charges coming."

Sometime prior to this date Chief Shaver attended Children's Aid Society and spoke to Richard Able. I am informed that Chief Claude Shaver was very agitated on this visit. He banged his fist on Richard Abell's desk and stated that he would get Constable Dunlop for his action in taking this matter out of house. Richard Able attended my house to inform me to keep low, "it appears they are coming for your head". The message I received from Richard Abell was that my job was on the line, if not over. This was around the end of October 1993 prior to Ken Seguin committing suicide.

25. I found it very unusual that my own Staff Sergeant would be assigned, and agreed to investigate me. This was not the usual police service procedure.

These are excerpts from Staff Sergeant Derouchie's notes, Constable Sebalj's, and Staff Sergeant Lucien Brunet's notes.

This evidence disclosed during my Police Act hearings.

This material in it's entirety has been disclosed to the Solicitor General as well as The Attorney General in 1997.

Note: The bold upper case comments/observations are my own.

STAFF SERGEANT DEROUCHIE'S NOTES

SUB SEC 1. page 158 evidence book two - 15 Oct 1993
all agreed that Dunlop's action's were inexcusable.

SUB SEC 2. page 161 evidence book -
Constable Dunlop displayed poor judgement when he turned over a copy of the victim's statement to C.A.S.

**INEXCUSABLE ACTIONS AND POOR JUDGEMENT
WERE STATUTORY LAW**

SUB SEC 3. page 224 evidence book - Oct 12 1993:
"I had by this time received bits and pieces of information which led me to believe that there were far larger issues at stake here than that involving Dunlop".

WHAT WERE THE FAR LARGER ISSUES?

SUB SEC 4. page 230 evidence book - 14 Oct 1993:
"Abell had serious concerns about the way the case had been handled"

WHAT WERE THE SERIOUS CONCERNS?

SUB SEC 5. page 232 evidence book - 14 Oct 1993:
" After speaking with Able I had serious concerns about the whole issue of mis management of the case over shadowing Dunlop's involvement - I met with the Chief and the Deputy they were both aware of all the facts relative to this investigation"

**TOP TWO POLICE OFFICERS, CHIEF AND DEPUTY
AWARE OF ALL THE FACTS.**

SUB SEC 6. page 234 evidence book - 14 Oct 1993:

"the Chief and D/C agreed that the Dunlop issue was secondary and that the real problem (criminal investigation) would be dealt with."

WHEN WOULD THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION: "THE REAL PROBLEM", BE DEALT WITH AND BY WHOM?

SUB SEC 7. page 254 evidence book - 04 Nov 1993:

"On October 1st the Chief came to C.A.S. and met Abell and Townsdale and discussed the case. There was frank discussion about how C.A.S. had become involved...with subsequent discussion on why C.A.S. had not received disclosure. Abell advised that the Chief was upset over Dunlop's involvement in this investigation. On October 8th the Chief and S/Sgt Brunet met with Abell and Townsdale at CAS. They were advised of the results of the meeting the Chief and Brunet had with the church.....

We continued to talk about the particulars of the case and Abell said that the suspects fit a profile which normally indicate a pattern of abuse of multiple victims being abused over many years. As is the case when members of the Church are involved, coverups are sometime involved. He believed that this might be the case in this incident...Silmser had been paid off to keep things quiet. Abell also informed me that they were not involving themselves with looking into Ken Seguin's involvement. Their Ministries were not connected as previously thought and they were not prepared to enter the same type of investigation they were doing on MacDonald. (No further explanation??)

WHY WOULD CHILDREN'S AID SOCIETY NOT BECOME INVOLVED WITH INVESTIGATING KEN SEGUIN ?

THE DIRECTOR OF CHILDREN'S AID SOCIETY IS INDICATING COVER UP.

He told me that he would be pleased if our Service re-opened and re-investigated the criminal end of this matter."

SUB SEC 8. page 269- 270 evidence book - 4th Nov 1993:

"I advised the Chief and D/C that I would still like to talk to Crown on merits of our original investigation just to put the whole idea of continuing the investigation to bed, or perhaps, to be given encouragement to continue.....

Meeting with the L'Original Crown does suggest yet another extension of my mandate.

I have not taken over a criminal investigation! Cst. Sebalj's investigation is completed.....If there is a chance to continue and undue some of the damage which was done by not dealing with the complaint in a more timely manner...then we would all do what we had to in order to fix this investigation.

What did fix the investigation mean?

IT IS NOW THE 4TH OF NOVEMBER 1993, CHIEF SHAVER SAYS THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION IS OVER. ONLY TWO WEEKS PRIOR TO THIS STATEMENT THE CHIEF AND DEPUTY CHIEF INDICATED THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION: "THE REAL PROBLEM WOULD BE DEALT WITH." (SEE SEC 25 SUB 6 ABOVE)

page 234 evidence book - 14 Oct 1993:

"the Chief and D/C agreed that the Dunlop issue was secondary and that the real problem (criminal investigation) would be dealt with."

SUB SEC 9. page 271 evidence book - 5th Nov 1993:

"15:30 received a call from Gregory Bell of CAS. He informed me that he had spoken to Silmsen at about 1:30 P.M. yesterday. He described Silmsen as being quite amicable and willing to co-operate, not at all the same person Sebalj described at 9:05 A.M.

Bell is one of the case workers assigned to the CAS investigation, he asked me the names of the other two people assaulted by Father MacDonald could be provided to them. I told him I didn't know at this time. The Chief is of the opinion that we should not."

WE AS POLICE OFFICERS ARE OBLIGATED UNDER LAW TO PROVIDE CHILDREN'S AID SOCIETY WITH THIS INFORMATION. YET THE CHIEF OF POLICE, CLAUDE SHAVER, SAYS NO.

SUB SEC 10. page 273 evidence book - 9th Nov 1993

Staff Inspector Stuart McDonald stated that a Sergeant should handle this case because of the people involved.

SUB SEC 11. page 274 evidence book - 9th Nov 1993
C.I.B. lost jurisdiction to the Chief.

WHY DID CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS BRANCH LOOSE JURISDICTION TO THE CHIEF?

WAS HE ACTIVELY INVESTIGATING THE CASE?

WHERE ARE HIS NOTES?

HOW MANY CASES DID THE CHIEF INVESTIGATE?

WHY SO MUCH HANDS ON AND VESTED INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS CASE?

SUB SEC 12. page 275-279- evidence book - 10th Nov 1993

Little activity on case Feb., May, Jun, July.
Staff Sergeant Derouchie is speaking to Cst. Sebalj.

Page 280 Derouchie notes: "She (Sebalj) had consulted the Crown on this matter several times on a very informal basis, on the telephone and on chance encounters in person."

**WHERE ARE OFFICER NOTES OF THE SEVERAL
MEETINGS?**

SUB SEC 13. page 284 evidence book - 10th Nov 1993

"The Crown came into my office on his way back to his office from court.

We spoke briefly about the case and I asked if he was still willing to arrange a meeting with the L'Original Crown. He said he would if we had a victim. I got the impression that he would not arrange a meeting unless we had a charge to lay.

The Crown told me that he had spoken to Sebalj on more than one occasion about this matter. He acknowledged that the case was a strange one and that Sebalj appeared to be having trouble forming R & P G.

He told me that he had declared a conflict of interest in this matter because of his involvement with the church. He explained that he is a member of a committee, and that he had been in a debate with the Bishop over the matters of secrecy involving wrong doing by members of the clergy. He identified this case as being another example of the Church covering up sexual misconduct by a priest. I asked him if he was aware that Sebalj had found two other people who had sexual encounters with Fr. MacDonald at about the same time (or a year or two later) He indicated that he was not aware.

**THE SENIOR CROWN ATTORNEY MURRAY
MACDONALD IS INDICATING COVER UP.**

**SO WITH THREE VICTIMS PLUS ALLEGATIONS
AGAINST PROBATION OFFICER KEN SEGUIN OUR
SENIOR CROWN IS STILL RELUCTANT TO ARRANGE A
MEETING WITH AN OUTSIDE CROWN.**

The following information is included for

background purpose.

The outside crown that Murray was eluding to was Robert Pelletier. Pelletier also prosecuted all of the Alfred cases along with Inspector Tim Smith of the Ontario Provincial Police. Many of the boys who served time in Alfred were from Cornwall. If you look ahead in this will state to June of 1997, Inspector Tim Smith indicates that Robert Pelletier would no longer be on the case as there had to be a perception of independence and fairness.

However on the 22 December 1998 Regional Director of Crown Attorneys Eastern Region sends a letter to Detective Sergeant P.R. Hall. The subject matter :

Allegations of Conspiracy to commit Murder and Death Threats Against Cst. Perry Dunlop and Family.
(Book Three - Tab 3)

SUB SEC 14. page 286 evidence book - 10 Nov 1993

Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald states people commit suicide over these things.

THIS STATEMENT IS MADE JUST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO KEN SEGUIN'S SUICIDE.

SUB SEC 15. page 293 evidence book - 6th Dec 1993

"I met with Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald. I briefed him on the investigation conducted by Sebalj, and brought him up to date on what has occurred since my involvement started.

He wanted to go on record as saying that with the information he had on the case, that he had no problem with the way Cst Sebalj and S/Sgt Brunet had handled the investigation. He had been consulted by Sebalj on several occasions, as well as S/Sgt Brunet.

He said that he was aware that Sebalj was having difficulty with the investigation. She was unable to get corroboration.

THREE VICTIMS, KEN SEGUIN ALLEGATIONS NO CORROBORATION ?

SUSPECTS NEVER INTERVIEWED.

BOTH SUSPECTS LEFT IN POSITIONS OF SUPREME TRUST AND AUTHORITY WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY.

CONSTABLE SEBALJ NOTES

SUB SEC 16. page 307 evidence book-13 January 1993:

"13:15 t/c to victim - reluctant to speak with female advised him that Cst Malloy will sit in on interviews"

NOTES FROM THIS DATE INDICATE THAT THE VICTIM D.S. ADVISED CST. SEBALJ HE HAD BEEN SEXUALLY ASSAULTED AS A YOUNG BOY BY FATHER CHARLES MACDONALD

SUB SEC 17. page 310 evidence book - 26 January 1993:

"16:25-t/c to victim's residence....as long as I've got you, may as well tell you. I'm not happy with the way this is going....I asked to talk to

SUB SEC 18. page 311 evidence book - 27 January 1993:

"10:10 meeting with Chief & Sgt. Lefebvre to discuss Silmsen, decision made that investigation would remain mine but interview must accommodate his wishes."

SUB SEC 19. page 312 evidence book - 28 January 1993:

"09:22 in youth office with Cst. Malloy, Sgt. Lefebvre & Silmsen. Silmsen requested that interview be conducted without me in the room, I pleaded my case....I would not

participate in the interview, just listen"

**IT IS OBVIOUS FROM HER OWN NOTES THAT THE
VICTIM WANTED A MALE TO INVESTIGATE HIS
COMPLAINT FROM THE ONSET**

SUB SEC 20. page 315 evidence book - 16 February 1993:

"0935 unscheduled visit from victim. Provided statement.
States Father McDougall called last night - 15 Feb - wanted
to discuss a settlement...."

Father MacDougald calls the victim at home to discuss a
monetary settlement. At this point in time there is an
ongoing criminal investigation under way.

**FACT: ON FEBRUARY 16, 1993 D.S. PROVIDED HIS
EIGHT PAGE STATEMENT TO THE CORNWALL POLICE
SERVICE.**

SUB SEC 21. page 339 evidence book - 02 March 1993:

"Meet Crown MacDonald in CIB asked how investigation
was going...became concerned about my grounds
suggested a meeting with victim"

SUB SEC 22. page 370-387 evidence book two more victims identified
March 1993.

SUB SEC 23. page 415 evidence book - 23 Aug 1993

Lawyer Malcolm MacDonald asks Constable Sebalj no
handcuffs for Father Charlie when he is brought to station.

**THIS WOULD INDICATE TO ME THAT HIS CLIENT
FATHER CHARLES MACDONALD WAS GETTING
NERVOUS. PERHAPS MALCOLM MACDONALD WAS
GETTING NERVOUS.**

IT WOULD CERTAINLY INDICATE TO ME AN ARREST

**WAS COMING AND MALCOLM MACDONALD WAS
ASKING FOR SPECIAL TREATMENT.**

SUB SEC 24. page 416 evidence book 07 September 1993:

"0800 met by S/Sgt Brunet handed me a letter from Malcolm MacDonalds office which enclosed a Direction signed by Silmsers on 03 Sept 1993"

SUB SEC 25. Page 417 evidence book 13 September 1993:

"Meet with Crown MacDonald ...suggested satisfied that Silmsers acted of his own free will"

SUB SEC 26. page 420 evidence book 29 September 1993
Sebalj gets Silmsers to sign her notebook as follows:

"I David Silmsers received a settlement to my satisfaction from the Cornwall Catholic Diocese. I wish that this matter against Charles MacDonald be closed. My lawyer Sean Adams will handle any further questions or inquiries about this matter."

Signed by David Silmsers
Witnessed by Sebalj

STAFF SERGEANT LUC BRUNET'S NOTES

SUB SEC 27. page 436 evidence book - 01 OCT 1993:

"Chief Shaver requested I attend his office for a meeting present was D/C St. Dennis.

Subject was: David Silmsers
Father Charles MacDonald
and Ken Seguin

I was advised that Perry Dunlop had turned over the statement obtained from David Silmsers by Cst Sebalj.....

April 7, 2000

I was advised by Chief Shaver to get a letter from Mr. Adams requesting direction on the Ken Seguin complaint.

I was advised to enter the report on the system under projects."

HERE WE HAVE CHIEF SHAVER DIRECTING THE INCIDENT TO THE PROJECT FILES.

CHIEF SHAVER THEN WANTS A LETTER SENT TO A LAWYER, AS TO HOW TO PROCEED WITH KEN SEGUIN.

SUB SEC 28. page 438 evidence book 07 Oct 1993:

"15:00 HRS 10-7 220 Montreal Road. Bishop Larocque was very receptive. Heard our concerns and stated that he would be speaking to Father Charles MacDonald this evening with Father MacDougald. He will let the Chief know results tomorrow morning.

Fri Oct 8/93 09:20 hrs

Called in to see Chief Shaver. He advised that the Bishop called him and advised that Father Charles MacDonald had a homosexuality problem but only with consenting adults. He agreed to go for treatment and will be leaving immediately.

IN THE BISHOP'S OWN WORDS FATHER CHARLIE IS GOING FOR TREATMENT BECAUSE HE IS A HOMOSEXUAL?

Fri Oct 8/93 14:10 hrs

Meeting with Rick Able and Angelo Townsdale C.A.S.

SENIOR CROWN MURRAY MACDONALD DECLARES HE HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST. YET, ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS HE AVAILS HIMSELF TO THE CORNWALL

POLICE SERVICE TO SPECIFICALLY DEAL WITH THIS FILE.

HE CONTINUES TO GIVE VERBAL ADVICE AS WELL AS WRITTEN ADVICE FROM BEGINNING TO END ON THIS FILE.

NOTE: ANGELO TOWNSDALE CURRENTLY SITS ON THE POLICE SERVICES BOARD AND HAS WORKED WITH THE CHILDREN'S AIDS SOCIETY FOR YEARS IN A SENIOR POSITION.

NOTE: ALL OF THE ABOVE EVIDENCE WAS HAND DELIVERED TO THE OFFICES OF ATTORNEY GENERAL AND SOLICITOR GENERAL IN TORONTO IN APRIL OF 1997

26. I have been advised by Inspector Tim Smith, that Ken Seguin had a number of pornographic video tapes, store bought and home made. These tapes were seized by the Ontario Provincial Police, on February 10, 1993. Some of the tapes showed explicit sexual acts involving minors. These tapes would have implicated not only Ken Seguin but others as well. The Ontario Provincial Police have admitted destroying these tapes. Inspector Tim Smith indicated to me at one of our meetings that he would love to have these tapes in his possession as it would make the investigation much easier.

These tapes were illegally seized during a search for weapons at the home of Ron Leroux.

I have attached a copy of this warrant along with the return.
(Book One - Tab 8)

This was an interesting time in 1993. At this tab I have also inserted a copy of Constable Sebalj's notes which indicate:

10 February 1993

10:39 - t/c (telephone call) from V (victim D.S.) He called (indicating) Seguin is "running scared". Advised him(D.S. advised Seguin) he is laying charges on McDonald - stated he's getting very mad.

I have also included at this tab excerpts from three statements that were included in the package I forwarded to the Attorney General and Solicitor General.

Excerpt from Ron Leroux affidavit of 13 November 1996:

"On or about mid-December, 1992, I attended Ken Seguin House and found him in a state of depression. I observed him at 5:30 a.m. in his pyjamas on the ice behind his home. He was suicidal. I immediately went outside and talked him back into his place. At this time he told me about the allegations against him made by D.S. He told me there was another person involved. I brought him to Florida to help him recuperate.

I returned to Ft. Lauderdale on or about February 3 or 4, 1993 by myself. I returned to Canada on or about early March, 1993 to find my home in a complete mess as if someone had searched my home. I received a telephone call from the O.P.P. Lancaster, Ontario advising me they had done a search on my house and that they found a leather suitcase and weapons. The officer asked me to go to the station and I went the next day. When I arrived, I was greeted by an O.P.P. officer, 6'3 or 6'4 in height, who talked to me about the leather suitcase. He asked me if I owned the suitcase and I replied "no". He seemed not to want to leave me with the suitcase. He asked me if I knew what was in the suitcase and I replied "No". He then said that he knew what was in the suitcase and advised that it contained pornographic tapes. I signed a release form and left the suitcase at the O.P.P. station. This officer advised me that he was going to destroy these tapes. I then returned home.

A few hours after returning from the O.P.P. station, I attended Ken's house to ask him what was going on as he had agreed to take care of my home during my trip. Ken Seguin was also supposed to look after my dog. I was infuriated and asked him about the suitcase. Ken Seguin admitted that it was his suitcase and it contained personal pornographic tapes with his handwriting on them. Ken also stated that it was his personal pornographic tape collection from over the years and he wanted them out of the house. He said he was under investigation for sexual assault and that these tapes would "clinch" a conviction against him. Ken said that it would ruin him. Ken was extremely apologetic. I also observed destroyed tapes and probation documents in a bin at my home, on the upper floor outside the master bedroom bathroom, I was surprised to find the destroyed tapes and documents.

On or about March, 1994, in the presence of my wife Cindy Leroux I advised an

**WILL STATE - Constable Perry Dunlop
Cornwall Police Service**

April 7, 2000

O.P.P. officer and an Ottawa officer about these tapes. Both officers stated that they knew nothing of these tapes but would look into it.

I plead guilty on Malcolm's advice to an "unsafe storage" charge and was fined \$300.00."

Excerpt from Don Labelle's statement of 12 December 1996:

"I remember one time when the Lancaster Ontario Provincial Police came to do a search warrant on Ron Leroux's residence, which is now my residence. I had called and complained about firearms that Ron Leroux had that may not been registered properly. The officers came when Ron was away in Florida and conducted a search. I let them in because I had a key. I recall that they found an old rusty 22 caliber rifle. During the search they also located a large brown briefcase/suitcase full of video tapes. I had never seen these tapes before, and I do not believe that they were Ron's. Whoever placed these tapes there knew it was a special location, sort of a secret hiding spot upstairs. The officers took these tapes with them and I have never seen them again."

Excerpt from Gerry Renshaw's statement of 5 Dec 1996:

"I have observed a beaten up suitcase, brown or beige with a flop over cover with a lock on it. I observed it in Ken's large closet in his bedroom. Ken advised me that he was being harassed by D.S. He said he was terrified by a criminal investigation and of losing his career and his family name. He advised me that he had to get rid of some video tapes that would implicate him. He also advised me that he had my probation records and that he had to destroy these as well. Approximately two days later, Ken advised me that my probation records were missing. Ken should not have had these records in the first place."

If you look at the Sebalj notes in this tab dated 16 February 1993 you also see two interesting facts. The first: that Father MacDougald called D.S. the night of 15 February 1993 to discuss a settlement.

The second point of interest was that on the 16th of February 1993 the victim D.S. provided his original eight page statement to the Cornwall Police Service. The original statement alleged that D.S. was sexually assaulted by Ken Seguin.

So to get things in sequence:

- 1 - D.S. is calling Constable Sebalj on the 10th of February 1993 indicating that Ken Seguin is "running scared"**
- 2 - He is obviously under investigation as he states to Ron Leroux: "I am under investigation for sexual assault and these tapes would clinch a conviction against me"**
- 3 - Other witnesses confirm the existence of the tapes.**
- 4 - The Ontario Provincial Police seize the tapes illegally.**

This all takes place within a very specific time frame.

Yet, Ken Seguin is never interviewed by police.

It is my belief that if the Cornwall Police investigators or the O.P.P. investigators would have sat down with Seguin and questioned him that things would have transpired in a much different fashion.

I believe that Ken Seguin had an attack of conscience and would have been ready to talk to investigators.

I have been told and do verily believe that copies were made of these tapes.
THEY ARE STILL IN EXISTENCE TODAY.

Was there no communication between the Cornwall Police Service and the Ontario Provincial Police?

Were both police services investigating allegations of sexual abuse by Ken Seguin?

Why did the Ontario Provincial Police seize these tapes?

Whose pictures were on these tapes?

How did the officers know where the tapes were?

Where are these tapes now?

MANY UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.

27. Early in November 1993 Chief of Police Claude Shaver announced his early retirement. Press and rumour mill sources state that he is given a "golden handshake" from the city consisting of two hundred thousand dollars, a car plus all premiums on his pension until he reaches sixty years old.
28. On November 25, 1993, Ken Seguin committed suicide. I have been told, and do verily believe that Ken Seguin knew that the potential for him being exposed as a pedophile was increasing and imminent .

I have been told that on November 24, 1993, victim D.S. called Cornwall Police Station to advise the Station Duty Officer Staff Sergeant Dupuis, that he feared for his life, and further, if he was killed in the near future, that the prime suspects would be Ken Seguin and Father Charles MacDonald.

(Book One - Tab 9) PAGE 287, 288, 289

Evidence Book 4, Staff Sergeant Derouchie's notes.

Here is an excerpt from the mentioned notes of S/SGT Derouchie:

November 25/93

I received a telephone call from S/Sgt Dupuis he advised me of the following;

1) D.S. had called the Service on Wednesday night at about 9:00 P.M. He spoke to S/Sgt. Dupuis and advised him that he wanted a report on file that he was involved in a civil action involving several people and sexual abuse. He said he was seeking a large sum of money and that if he did not get it within 48 hours that he was going to the press. He further told Dupuis that if anything happened to him that Ken Seguin and Charlie should be considered suspects. He said that reputations are at stake including that of a Judge.

2) I was informed that Ken Seguin had apparently committed suicide at his home in Summerstown.

WHO WAS THE JUDGE ALLEGEDLY INVOLVED?

29. I have been advised that prior to Ken Seguin committing suicide, that he along with Father Charles MacDonald and Malcolm MacDonald openly discussed plans to kill me, my wife and my three children. I have been told that I was hated by these men for exposing this case.

I have no doubt that if I had not stumbled onto this case, reported findings to the Children's Aid Society as mandated by law, that this case would have remained hidden.

Children in the Cornwall area would still be at risk of being assaulted. I can back this statement up by Affidavit Evidence, that was given in the context of my Police Act trial, by Doctor John Bradford who is a leading expert in the field of sexual abuse predators.

(Book One - Tab 10)

30. On November 25, 1993, I was at a restaurant in Cornwall, eating dinner with a Crown Attorney, an Ontario Provincial Police Officer and a professional Engineer. Two Ontario Provincial Police Officers (Randy Millar & Chris McDonnell) entered the restaurant, came over to me and told me that Ken Seguin had committed suicide. The body had been located at his residence this date. The officers told me that they had visited my residence in order to locate me. The officers asked me if I had a copy of the D.S. victim statement. I told them that I did. The officers wanted to obtain a copy from me as they felt that the Cornwall Police may not be willing to provide the said statement. I agreed to do so, and the following day they came to my residence and I provided them with a copy of the statement.

NOTE: RANDY MILLAR IS AN ONTARIO PROVINCIAL POLICE OFFICER. RANDY MILLER WORKED OUT OF LANCASTER AND LONG SAULT DETACHMENTS. RANDY MILLER AND I WORKED TOGETHER IN THE JOINT FORCES OPERATION ESTABLISHED BETWEEN ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL POLICE AND THE CORNWALL POLICE SERVICE. RANDY MILLER IS THE SON IN LAW OF MILTON MACDONALD AND BROTHER IN LAW OF SENIOR CROWN ATTORNEY MURRAY MACDONALD.

31. I have been informed that, on October 7, 1993, Deputy Chief St. Denis requested that Staff Sergeant Derouchie investigate my involvement in providing Children's Aid Society with a copy of the victim's statement. This information is set out in the statement by Staff Sergeant Derochie given in the context of the

Board of Inquiry under the *Police Services Act*.

32. In reading Staff Sergeant Brunet's statement, I have been informed that on October 7, 1993, Chief Shaver and Staff Sergeant Brunet met with the Archbishop in Ottawa, the representative of the Pope in Canada. Later that same day, Chief Shaver and Staff Sergeant Brunet also had a meeting with Bishop Larocque in Cornwall. I have been informed that the Bishop confirmed that Father Charles MacDonald admitted to the Bishop he was a homosexual. Father Charles MacDonald left St. Andrews Church 20 days after I became involved with the case. He left in the middle of the night without notice.
33. In reading the witness statement by Staff Sergeant Derochie, I have been informed that Staff Sergeant Derochie met with Chief Shaver and Deputy Chief St. Denis on or about October 14, 1993 to discuss my actions in disclosing the victim's statement to the Children's Aid Society. It was determined at this meeting that no charges under the *Police Services Act* would be laid against me.
34. As a result of reviewing Staff Sergeant Derochie's statement, I have been informed that Chief Shaver had decided that, as of October 15, 1993, I would not be disciplined for my actions in releasing the victim's statement to the Children's Aid Society, yet investigation into my action continued extensively and indefinitely.
35. As a result of reviewing Staff Sergeant Derochie's statement, I have been informed that Staff Sergeant Derochie completed his investigation into my conduct on January 4, 1994 after receiving the results of two related Ontario Provincial Police investigations. On January 7, 1994 he submitted his report to acting Chief Carl Johnston on my involvement in providing a copy of the victim's statement to the Children's Aid Society. The conclusion of that report was that he had uncovered no evidence to suggest that my motives in providing the Children's Aid Society with a copy of the victim's statement were other than out of concern for the safety of the community. He concluded that no discipline should be imposed.
36. I have learned that from the time I first gained knowledge of this file, investigations into my actions never ceased. To the best of my knowledge, no other police officer on the Cornwall Police Force was ever investigated in regards to this case. The investigational direction, along with all of the tax payers money

should have been focussed on the allegations of child sex abuse. Time, money and energy spent, should have been invested on the real problem, not investigating me.

37. On December 16, 1993 Constable Levere told me at the end of day shift that during our tour D.S. called headquarters and wanted to know why police were not acting on his case. He said: "There is a dead man, and an accused priest has been moved from the Cornwall area. What does it take to get action?" He stated to Constable Levere that if nothing was done, he was going to the press. He stated that the Ottawa Citizen already knew about the case. Constable Levere asked him to wait, while he got one of the investigating officers. Constable Levere attempted to inform Staff Sergeant Derouchie. The call was transferred to Staff Sergeant Derouchie but D.S. hung up.
38. In January 1994 I booked off work. At this time I felt very ostracized by my force. Tension, and rumours were at an all time high. I was concerned for my safety. I felt that I was the focal point of the entire mess. I knew that I had done the right thing but I felt that my superiors within the Police Service were coming down on me with relentless and malicious force.
- I was not resting on days off. I had lost interest in things that I normally enjoyed. I was feeling very anxious, my mind was racing, wondering why I was being made to feel like the bad guy. I felt guilty for putting my wife and family through this. It was like a roller coaster ride out of control. The case occupied every moment of my day and night. I felt that I had zero support at work and worse yet that I was being made the scapegoat in this entire sorted affair. My life had been invaded.
39. My sick record up to this point in my career (1994) was beyond reproach, to the point that it was mentioned by superior officers that it was an area I should be commended on. My work evaluations speak for themselves and will be made available to the court.

I am a veteran officer with the Cornwall Police Service who has been assigned to uniform, criminal investigations, the drug unit, criminal intelligence and undercover operations. However, in January 1994 I began to feel like nothing mattered to my Service. I was expendable and the pressure brought on by this case was unbearable. I have attached my work records, evaluations and commendations.

(Book One - Tab 11)

40. In January 1994, details of the story emerged in the Ottawa media. The Liberal justice critic, Robert Chiarelli, on two different occasions, asked then Attorney General Marion Boyd to appoint a Special Prosecutor to investigate allegations of a cover-up in Cornwall. For several months, I was investigated by my own police department and many of my colleagues ostracized me. I was under a great deal of pressure from both my employer and the media.

As of January, 1994, I went on disability leave. This leave continued until May of 1997.

41. In February 1994 I received a copy of a citizen's complaint and investigation that was completed by Inspector Wells. The complaint centred around the fact that I had disclosed the original victim's (D.S.) statement to Children's Aid Society. I have been informed that D.S. went to the Cornwall Police to complain about his statement being released to the media.

I have been informed that D.S. had no problem with his statement being given to the Children's Aid Society as D.S. had already been interviewed by the Children's Aid Society.

Inspector Brendon Wells, with the backing of senior management used the citizens complaint as a vehicle and tactic to manufacture unjust Police Services Act charges to shut me down and indicate to me that they were in full control of the outcome of this case.

42. In March 1994 I applied to Sunlife Insurance Company for long term disability, a benefit that was negotiated by our Police Association through our collective agreement with the Cornwall Police Services Board. I was not given this benefit until August 1994. After complying with every aspect, Sunlife requested, I was forced to the brink of financial disaster before the benefit was granted. During my long term disability leave I was cut off from my benefits for a non medical reason. I have had to fight every inch of the way for benefits. At no time did the Cornwall Police Service Board take an active role in making sure that my family and I were being taken care of. I have been forced to exhaust every bit of time that I accumulated during the course of my career. This amounts to hundreds of hours of leave.

(Book One - Tab 12)

43. **Friday, April 24, 1994** - A major story breaks in local and national media that I am being sued for going to CAS and media.

44. **Thursday April 28, 1994** - I received a hand delivered letter from a Cornwall Police Service Constable. The letter was from the Insurance Company, Scottish and York.

This insurance company represents the Police Services Board as well as the City of Cornwall. The letter indicated to me that I should retain my own Counsel as there was an issue that I may have breached Force policy. I was shocked by this letter and felt like a lamb being led to slaughter.

I received a constant barrage of letters and zero support. I was finally granted a lawyer by the city to represent me in the D.S. civil suit. At a pre-discoveries meeting with the lawyer provided by Scottish and York I informed Peter Hagen from the law firm of Solway Wright located in the city of Ottawa, that if I was placed on record, on the stand that several other police officers from the Cornwall Police Service would be needing counsel as they would be facing possible criminal charges, disciplinary action, or civil action.

Not long after that meeting, the civil suit was settled by the Cornwall Police Services Board.

(Book One - Tab 13)

45. **Tuesday, May 3, 1994** - Sergeant Lortie came over to my house and stated that the Police Association would fight insurance coverage issue. He stated he has been assured that no Police Act charges are coming. Deputy Chief St. Denis had told him that time after time with the new Chief Johnston present during these conversations/meetings:
46. **May 9, 1994** - I was served formal papers of civil suit. The first victim D.S. had filed a suit against my Force, Children's Aid Society, as well as named individuals including myself.
47. **May 14, 1994** - 11 days since Sgt Lortie had told me via senior administration no charges were coming, I was served notice of a Public Board of Inquiry by Staff Sergeant Derochie including the *Police Services Act* charges.
1. **Discreditable Conduct:** "did act in a disorderly manner, or in a manner prejudicial to discipline or likely to bring discredit upon the reputation of the Police Force, in that ...you did provide a copy of a statement to...a representative of the Children's Aid Society."

2. **Breach of Confidence:** "you did divulge a matter which it was your duty to keep secret"
3. **Breach of Confidence:** "without proper authority, show to Mr. Richard Abell, a representative of the Children's Aid Society, being a person who is not a member of the Cornwall Police Service, a statement obtained from ("D.S.")"
48. The circumstances surrounding these charges and the alleged cover-up by the Cornwall Police became an issue in both the local and national media. The CBC "5th Estate" broadcasted a 30 minute piece nationally, in December 1995, again in the summer of 1996 and most recently in February of 1997.

Mr. John Cleary Member of Provincial Parliament presented a petition signed by more than 9,400 citizens from Cornwall, to the Ontario Legislature in November of 1995 in support of my actions to protect children from sexual abuse.

49. Media attention has been extensive on this case. I currently have in my possession four volumes of press clippings containing 300 pages. Television, newspapers, magazines and radio nationally as well as locally, have all covered the story during the past seven years. Front and centre is always the fact that I leaked a document to the Children's Aid Society.

FACT I DID NOT LEAK IT TO THE CHILDRENS AID SOCIETY I GAVE IT TO THEM.

MORE SPECIALLY I HAND DELIVERED THE COPY OF THE STATEMENT TO THE DIRECTOR.

I FOLLOWED THE LAW - DUTY TO REPORT FORTHWITH.

Often the headline is "the Dunlop story". The attention, situation and circumstances surrounding this case have caused incredible stress and great strain on my personal life and that of my family.

50. A Board of Inquiry was convened in Ottawa in September of 1994. At this Inquiry, the Cornwall Police Services Board was represented by a lawyer they had retained to act on their behalf and ask for standing in the Inquiry. The lawyer John Callaghan, wanted to assist the Police Complaints Commission lawyer in a multiple prosecution role. Chief of Police Johnston had a great

concern that I had gone to the Children's Aid Society and by-passed the chain of command. The fact is, that I did attempt to seek guidance and assistance from my superiors about my concerns.

It is my understanding (from the Law firm of Nelligan Power) as a police officer that the Chief, if he felt that I violated a law then he should have charged me with separate charges under the *Police Services Act* or the *Criminal Code*.

**TRANSCRIPT FROM THE BOARD OF INQUIRY
SEPTEMBER 23, 1994**

Included here for information and background information.

**Mr. Calaghan, lawyer for the Cornwall Police Services Board at page 9,
paragraph 30 addressing the Board of Inquiry:**

"Clearly, the Police Services Board takes the position that a senior officer advised him not to disclose the information. The following day September 30th, the actual document is handed over to The Children's Aid Society, which raises the general issue---"

Mr. Calaghan at page 14, paragraph 10 addressing the Board of Inquiry:

"Well, there is an additional reason, the reason is basically the issue that ties into the transfer of the information to outside agencies as stated by the Chief's Affidavit. There is a protocol with the Children's Aid Society, and that protocol ought to be followed and the Board ought to be heard on its relationship with outside agencies and how members of the Force ought to be dealing with outside agencies, as opposed to what Constable Dunlop did and how he felt it should be done."

The Chairman of the Board of Inquiry, Mr. Tufman at page 14, paragraph 25:

"This is not the Inquiry that we are conducting though, is it?"

Mr. Calaghan at page 14, paragraph 25 addressing the Board of Inquiry:

"It is, in my respectful submission, an issue that comes up in the Inquiry because Constable Dunlop seeks the protection of a particular Act to release information to an outside agency. He will also, as I understand it, also seek the protection of

the Police Services Act in and of itself for the release of that information, and the Police Services Board who has jurisdiction over that information ought to have some say on how it is released, and they should have some input into this hearing. In so far as what this Board will be is setting a precedent as to how members of a police department may release information to outside agencies."

The Chairman of the Board of Inquiry, Mr. Tufman at page 15, paragraph 10:

"Very well. Thank you, Mr. Callaghan. Mr. O'Brien, I understand that you take no position on that, or you just do not have an objection?"

**Mr. Al O'Brien (Defence Counsel for Constable Dunlop)
Page 15, paragraph 15:**

"That is what I indicated to my friend, but I do not want my silence to be misunderstood on one point. It is our position that there is no issue before this Board that Constable Perry Dunlop disobeyed any order."

The Chairman of the Board of Inquiry, Mr. Tufman at page 15, paragraph 20:

"That is because of the reading of the statement of Alleged Misconduct?"

**Mr. Al O'Brien (defence council for Constable Dunlop)
Page 15, paragraph 25:**

"Absolutely. And you can say the third charge, if you put it that way, deals with releasing it without proper authority. It is a long leap from suggesting without proper authority to now suggest that it was contrary to a specific order. And that matter is not before this Board."

Mr. Calaghan at page 20, paragraph 30 addressing the Board of Inquiry:

"I know the Cornwall Police Services Board takes the release of the information quite seriously and wants to ensure---"

The Chairman of the Board of Inquiry, Mr. Tufman at page 21, paragraph 5:

"I would have thought that they had chosen to institute proceedings against Constable Dunlop specifically because of that."

The Chairman of the Board of Inquiry, Mr. Tufman at page 60, paragraph 20:

"So what you are saying -- just let me stop you right there, because it may be something that just answered my previous concern. So what you are saying is, because of this general obligation to uphold the law if he comes across the part of information during the course of, even his coincidental presence at the Police Station, then he becomes a Peace Officer who performs professional or official duties with respect to a child."

**Mr. Al O'Brien (Defence Counsel for Constable Dunlop)
Page 60, paragraph 30:**

"Absolutely. I would say so, confidentially, I guess. But it is absolutely so. If in the course of one investigation Constable Dunlop learned all of this and then didn't act upon it--just sort of said, "Oh well, I'm just doing this investigation. I have learned all of this and I am not going to report it to the CAS..."

"We would be right back here, and you would be dealing with a complaint against Constable Dunlop for failure to do exactly what he did. And I submit that is transparent of what this case would be."

The Chairman of the Board of Inquiry, Mr. Tufman at page 61, paragraph 30:

"Then your response to whatever Mr. Calaghan is going to say, you will " We don't care if the Chief jumped up 25 times and told him not to report it, he had a statutory duty to do that anyway."

**Mr. Al O'Brien (Defence Counsel for Constable Dunlop)
Page 62, paragraph 1:**

" Absolutely. I don't care what the Cornwall Police Services Board set out as their guidelines and their protocol. It is irrelevant to these proceedings, because the legislature says, " Perry Dunlop, you have this duty". And probably going to sentence, he could say, " Well I was ordered to do this, and therefore my hands were tied". But he still would be in breach of his duty.

Mr. Al O'Brien (Defence Counsel for Constable Dunlop)

Page 65, paragraph 20:

"...I think we all understand, is that a Peace Officer's obligations aren't limited to assigned tasks. When he has information that leads him to believe that, as in this case, there would be an ongoing sexual abuse or exploitation, he has a duty to act, whether he is on duty, off duty, whether he has learned it from an assignment or information acquired."

**THE ONE HUNDRED AND SEVENTY-TWO PAGE TRANSCRIPT DOCUMENT
OF THE BOARD OF INQUIRY CAN BE MADE AVAILABLE.**

Again I watched as the D.S. complaint was being used as an improper way of taking a direct shot at me. The Police Services Board compiled their own motion record indicating that the allegations of misconduct raised issues of general importance to the Cornwall Police Services Board.

51. All Police Act charges against me were dismissed at the Board of Inquiry. The hearing was in Ottawa, in September of 1994. The decision from this Board of Inquiry was handed down in my favour on the 31st January 1995. The case was carried further. The Ontario Court, General Division heard the appeal on the 23 of November 1995. The appeal was dismissed. I was completely exonerated. I have attached the Board of Inquiry, the Ontario Court, General Division decisions as well as the cited case from the Ontario Reports.
(Book One - Tab 14)

52. I have been advised and do verily believe that Inspector Wells was contemplating further charges under the *Police Services Act* in September of 1994. During this time he advised then Chief Johnston that it was his opinion that I released information at my Board of Inquiry hearing that constituted the charges of breach of confidence as well as discreditable conduct. I was quoted by the press as saying "I did it to save the kids". It was only after a strong letter from my Counsel that Inspector Wells and senior management backed off.
(Book One - Tab 15)

53. Not to be deterred from further harassing me, Inspector Wells took a complaint from Douglas Seguin the brother of Ken Seguin. Ken Seguin is the probation officer who committed suicide November 23 1993. In November of 1994 Inspector Wells took the statement complaint of Douglas Seguin. Inspector Wells had already taken statements from Doug and Nancy Seguin during the

course of the D.S. investigation. The complaint and investigation was a duplicate of the D.S. complaint.

The complaint had absolutely no credence whatsoever. It did however provide Inspector Wells with yet another vehicle/weapon to take a shot at me. Inspector Wells completed the final report in March 1995. It was not until February 1996 that the Police Complaints Commissioner Gerald S. Lapkin decided no further action was warranted. Again more stress piled up from 1994-1996, as two years pass, over an issue that had absolutely no substance.

(Book Two - Tab 1)

- 54.** Christmas Eve 1994, after a year long investigation, the Ontario Provincial Police made a press release that there were no grounds to charge the priest, Father Charles MacDonald.

(Book Two - Tab 2)

- 55.** In February of 1995 lawyer Malcolm MacDonald who represented the priest, Father Charles Macdonald, was charged with Obstruct Justice by the Ontario Provincial Police as a result of his participation in the \$32,000 settlement received by the victim "D.S." Malcolm Macdonald, Queens Counsel and former Federal Crown Attorney plead guilty in an Ottawa court and received an absolute discharge. The sentence was not appealed.

I HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS COURT HEARING.

- 56.** In August of 1995, a Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services probation officer, Nelson Barque, was sentenced to four months in jail after being found guilty of sexual assault charge of a minor. At Barque's sentencing, the victim in the case made reference to Ken Seguin. He said when the incident happened he didn't know what to do, and went to Barque's supervisor, who was Ken Seguin. Subsequently he was assaulted by Ken Seguin. Nelson Barque and Ken Seguin both committed suicide.
- 57.** In March of 1996, the priest Charles MacDonald was finally charged by the Ontario Provincial Police with seven counts of sexual assault on three altar boys including the first victim "D.S." Father Charles MacDonald is currently scheduled for court starting in May 2000.
- 58.** In June of 1996, I commenced a civil action against the Cornwall Police Service and the Roman Catholic Church as well as other named individuals. This suit

alleges malicious prosecution, negligence, abuse of process and defamation and is an attempt on my part to regain the quality of life my family and I enjoyed prior to September 1993.

59. On July 3rd 1996, Miss Laurie Rupert plead guilty to uttering death threats against my seven year old daughter Marlee, Section 264.1 (1) (a) of the Criminal Code, as well as failing to comply with condition by coming within 500 metres of my residence. I do verily believe that what caused her to become fixated with myself as well as my family was the media coverage. Rupert had threatened me in December of 1995 at which time a police report was completed. Had I not been charged and prosecuted, my name as well as my family's name would not have been published. We were not advised of her court appearance and subsequent release. We had no input.

60. *Extensive media coverage, community support locally and nationally, as well as a good reputation as a police officer and concerned citizen, has given sexual assault victims reason to trust me personally.*

Beginning in June, 1996 and continuing to the present, I have been contacted by dozens of victims. These victims name numerous suspects, all current or prior residents of the Cornwall area.

Some victims call and tell me horrific stories of sexual abuse and do not leave a name.

Some victims call and indicate they were sexually assaulted at the probation office. Some victims were sexually assaulted at 340 Pitt street, the justice building.

Some victims call and just cry.

Some victims call intoxicated and they are at the end of their rope.

Some victims mail or leave anonymous letters in my mail box.

Some victims told me of their abuse and begged me not to tell anyone.

Some victims have not even told their closest friend or family member about the abuse.

Some parents call, mothers and fathers and just want advice.

Many people in the community call and offer words of encouragement.

Most people call me because I am an ear that will listen.

I have received letters from across Canada and the United States.

I have not and do not keep track of every call or contact, it would be an impossibility.

HERE ARE MY FINDINGS IN THE CASE

In December 1995 - I was at the Blue Anchor bar in Glen Walter just east of Cornwall. It was at this bar that Tim Samson, the brother of a police officer that works for our service indicated there is a guy who would like to speak with you sometime. Samson wrote down the name and phone number of the person and left it at that.

SIX MONTHS PASSED PRIOR TO:

On Sunday June 9th 1996 - I met with Don Labelle. I had made contact by phone. This was the first time that I had ever met Don. The meeting took place at 9:30 a.m. at his residence in Summerstown. The civic number is 18980. His house is on Highway #2 south side facing the river with an unobstructed view. His phone number is 931-2623.

Don Labelle was on the phone as I walked towards the house. On the garage was a sign "Labelle Renovations". There was a large Rottweiler dog in a pen on the west side of the house. I told him that he had a nice place. We went to the kitchen and he offered me a coffee. We spoke about the problems of theft of boats in the area. He showed me that his boathouse was alarmed and that he had taken precautions to ensure nothing could be stolen.

Don Labelle is 31, greying hair, 185-200 lbs. His girlfriend Louise had just moved out the previous weekend after two years. Prior to that he dated Lisa Kaneb.

Don took me out on the deck at the house. He showed me the boat in the boathouse and how he had made up bars so no one could swim up under the

boathouse and get the boat. From there we went onto the top of the boathouse. There were several white chairs and a picnic table.

As we sat there I again told him how nice his house was. We then began to speak about the case. I told him that Tim Samson had approached me in December of 1995 at the Blue Anchor and indicated that you would like to speak with me. I told him that I had thought about stopping in on occasion when I was in the area, but I was just not sure where he was located.

He then said, "Tell me what you know and I will fill you in." I went through my involvement with the case and how it had transpired.

He then began to speak. He indicated to me that he was an alter boy at St. Columban's Church when he was 13-15 years old. He indicated that Father Charles MacDonald had assaulted him, but he did not give any details at this time. It was around this time in his life that his dad died, it was the last time that he served as an alter boy.

He indicated that the house which he is living in now was originally his father's house and he moved here about 15 years ago.

He advised me that Ken Seguin was a homosexual and that Malcolm MacDonald and Father Charles MacDonald were at Ken's cottage (house) all the time.

I asked Don Labelle where Seguin lived. He indicated a residence two houses east of him, same side of the road. Civic number 18984. The houses in this area are very close together. He indicated that from where we were standing you could also see Malcolm Macdonald's cottage on Stanley Island in the St. Lawrence River.

I asked him if he had ever seen Chief of Police Claude Shaver at Ken Seguin's house. He indicated yes, that Claude Shaver and Ken Seguin were good friends.

He indicated that Ron Leroux had found Ken Seguin's body after the suicide. Indications were that Ron had spent 2-3 hours at Seguin's house on the night prior to the suicide. He indicated that Ron Leroux knew the whole circle of friends. It was revealed that Don Labelle, Ron Leroux and Malcolm MacDonald had all gone to Florida together. I then learned that Ron Wilson, the Chair of the Cornwall Police Service Board, was also travelling with this group of males. It was indicated at this time that these men would meet at Harv's Diner on Pitt

Street in Cornwall for breakfast and lunch. The former owner of the diner, Harvey Latour, has been charged by Project Truth for sexual assault of a young person.

Don Labelle indicated that he had watched "The 5th Estate." I asked Don again if he was sure that Chief Claude Shaver of the Cornwall Police Service was seen at Ken Seguin's. Don Labelle described Claude Shaver to me. Don Labelle recalls Ron Leroux telling him Claude Shaver was the Chief of Police in Cornwall.

Don spoke to me about his life, relationships and growing up.

Don's indications over and over were that a lot of people knew what was going on at Ken Seguin's house.

I was able to get a few more names from Don Labelle at this meeting. We went for breakfast at Bob Auline's, a restaurant in Cornwall. He indicated to me that he was willing to go to court and testify even if it ruined his business. He indicated that Malcolm MacDonald used to show him pictures of naked men and boys. Indications were that often when Don Labelle would go over to Ken Seguin's house that there would be several boys there with men.

Don Labelle indicated that the reason that Malcolm MacDonald got out of his law practice was that he knew what was coming. Investigations and possibly charges.

Don Labelle indicated that Ron Leroux now lives in Maine. I recall Don Labelle said the name of the city. He indicated that Ron Leroux was full of knowledge on the situation but would not speak unless subpoenaed to court. Don was also full of knowledge. He lived in close proximity to the players for fifteen years. I found Don Labelle to be creditable, sharp, polite and sincere. He appeared to be a hard working young man.

It became clear to me that Ron Leroux was the inside man. He was the operator who ran with these players. He was the U/C operator of the pedophile world. Although I had never met him as of this time I believed that he was also a pedophile.

I now had established some obvious friendship connections between;

- **Claude Shaver - Chief Constable of the Cornwall Police Service for ten years.**
- **Malcolm MacDonald - former Federal Crown Attorney and Lawyer.**
- **Ken Seguin - Probation Officer Cornwall and area for twenty-five years.**
- **Father Charles MacDonald - Roman Catholic Priest.**

I also had two other names passed on that day although I never spoke to either one. The name Doug Seguin, Ken's brother and Joss VanDeepan, a Probation Officer in the City of Cornwall.

10th June 1996 - Spoke to Don Labelle about his personal life. He had called my residence to leave a message.

20th June 1996 - Spoke to Carol Deschamps
708 12th Street East # 52
930-9047

Carol is the sister of the Renshaw boys, Gerry, Robert and Fred. I had never met her prior to this date. She indicated that she knew Ken Seguin very well and had been to his house on several occasions. Carol recalls observing Chief Claude Shaver and Malcolm MacDonald at Ken Seguin's house. Carol recalls the year as being 1988 when she made this observation. It was again learned at this time that these men would vacation together in Florida.

20th June 1996 - Spoke to lawyer Wendy Rogers from Ottawa re: Albert Roy. I wanted to view his civil suit with permission from all parties-no problem

11th September 1996 - Met with Don Labelle. I wanted to know where Ron Leroux lived. I learned that he lived in Norway, Maine with his wife Cindy and two children. I was able to get a phone number 1-207-743-9563. I learned again that Don Labelle was an alter boy from the age of twelve years. I learned that Don Labelle went to Florida with Malcolm and Ken. Malcolm had pictures of naked men. Some were middle age, teens and some were younger. It was indicated at this time that Don recognized some of the males as probationers. I reconfirmed that the civic number on Seguin's house was 18982. I also learned that Jack Martel from a tractor sales place on Boundary Road was at Ken

Seguin's a lot. I noted again the fact that Ron Leroux was the last person to see Ken alive and the first one to find him dead.

I was able to get an address for Doug MacDonald, 414 Pitt Street.

Noted at this time-Ann Bellefeuille, lawyer, 340 Second Street East Cornwall. She had indicated that in September of 1993 she had observed Ken Seguin, Malcolm MacDonald and Father Charles MacDonald out on the St. Lawrence River near Summerstown. They were in a boat with three young males. Information was that the older men were wearing speedo bathing suits, and the sight alone was rather sickening.

This would indicate to me that these men were carrying on business as usual.

I did at this time make a contact with Rachel Leduc, Chief Shaver's former wife. She was willing to talk to me on the phone and agreed to a meeting the following day. The following morning she called. Her demeanour had changed drastically. She indicated that she had been up all night thinking about the meeting. She was genuinely concerned about my predicament and the safety of my family. The message I got was that she feared for her safety if she spoke to me. She was scared of Claude Shaver, that was made clear.

30th September 1996 - D.S. and J.M attend my house. At 2:15 we meet in the King George Restaurant with Doug MacDonald. Also present was Steve Ingram. Doug indicated that he was a victim of abuse at Alfred Training School. As well, Ken Seguin had abused him while he was a young person on probation. We set up a meeting for October 1st 1996 at Lamoureux Park

1st October 1996 - Met Doug MacDonad in Lamoureux Park obtained a statement from him. Statement has been disclosed.

3rd October 1996 - Had a conversation with Cindy Leroux. Spoke to Ron Leroux.

3rd October 1996 - Spoke to Travis Varley. Indications were that Ken Seguin openly stated he was a homosexual. He stated this in front of Bob Varley, Brian MacDonald and Andrew MacDonald.

Indications were that Ken Seguin would have the boy's over for beer/drinks. In fact, on the day Travis Varley accidentally shot his cousin Andrew MacDonald in

Summerstown, he had been drinking at Seguin's with Mark Woods, Bob Varley and Andrew MacDonald. At the time Woods was on probation. One of the conditions was no alcohol. It was common knowledge that you could go over to Ken Seguin's anytime for a beer.

I was given the name of Brian MacDonald who may be able to help out with further names and details.

I HAVE BEEN TOLD AND DO VERILY BELIEVE THAT AFTER THE VARLEY SHOOTING INCIDENT, PROBATION CONDUCTED AN INVESTIGATION. THE INVESTIGATION CENTRED AROUND THE FACT THAT CLIENTS OF PROBATION SERVICES WERE DRINKING WITH A PROBATION OFFICER AT HIS RESIDENCE. NO ACTION WAS TAKEN.

It should be noted that I helped pull Varley's boat to safety from the St. Lawrence river this summer 1999. I can't remember the date but I spoke to him briefly a few days later and he indicated that he too had been a victim of Ken Seguin.

4th October 1996 - Spoke to Karl Stone, 717 Adolphus Street Cornwall. Indications were that he used to go to Ken Seguin's to drink with Dave Merpaw and Ken Seguin. Both males were on probation at that time. There was always a wonderment by Karl and Dave, as to why the police never said anything to Seguin about all the probationers that frequented Ken's residence. From this encounter, I got the name of Albert Ferguson, a possible victim. I never spoke to Albert.

My notes indicate I wrote down a list of people to speak to. The list included; Mark Menard, Harvey Berry Jr. Gerry Renshaw, David Merpaw, Perry St. Louis, Debbie Ouderkirk and Don Labelle.

7th October 1996 - I travelled to Norway, Maine in the United States of America. I went to Ron Leroux's house. He was there with his wife Cindy and her sister Debbie. We all watched the CBC Fifth Estate show that was aired about the case. Ron and I then spoke in an upstairs games room. Indications were made at this time that there were several people that visited at Ken Seguin's place. Names included Mark Menard, Charles MacDonald, Father David Ostler, Gino?, David ? (I believe now that he was speaking about David Latrielle a serviceman in the Canadian armed forces) Father Kevin Maloney, Ron Wilson, Brian MacDonald and Gerry Renshaw.

Maine October 10 - 11

Met with Ron Leroux. He tells me that he remembers Claude Shaver being at Ken Seguin's house. He said that he specifically remembered Claude Shaver, Ron Wilson, Ken Seguin, Malcolm MacDonald and Father Charles MacDonald. Bishop Larocque was also at the meeting. Ron indicates that Joss VanDeepan (probation officer) knew what was going on. Ron indicated that at Ken Seguin's funeral Malcolm approached him and told him to keep his mouth shut. Ron Leroux also met with Ron Wilson (who was then the Chair of the Cornwall Police Service Board) at "Wilson's Funeral Home" after Ken Seguin's funeral service. Wilson indicated that they were all in it up to their necks and they will get to the bottom of this.

Ron Leroux indicated that Ken Seguin had watched a movie called "Jack the Ripper Returns", about three days before he killed himself. I was given the impression by Ron Leroux that the movie contained a suicide that was in similar fashion to Ken Seguin's own suicide.

The names Dan and Sylvan Flipson also came up in our conversations as possible victims of Charles MacDonald. The two names mentioned above were from the Williamstown area and somehow connected to the Alfred Vogel farm in that area.

ON THIS VISIT RON LEROUX COMPLETED A HAND WRITTEN AFFIDAVIT WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A LAWYER. I WAS NOT IN THE ROOM DURING THIS PROCESS. THE DOCUMENT WAS SWORN TO. I HAVE THE ORIGINAL.

15th October 1996 - I spoke to a lawyer from Camden, New Jersey, Steven Rubino. He currently has a class action law suit going against the Catholic Church and indicated that secret archived files can be retrieved from the church by presenting a motion. I inquired about sexual assaults of this nature as he seemed to be well versed on the subject. He indicated that it was a way of life, either the priests participated or turned a blind eye.

17th October 1996 - met with Carole Deschamps

31st October 1996 - I took a statement from Ron Leroux

28th October 1996 - 7:30 P.M. - Spoke to Ron and Cindy Leroux by phone. All O.K. He was coming back to Canada next month for an affidavit.

7th November 1996- Spoke to Rene Brazeau. He indicated to me that he was assaulted as a young male while he was in Laurencrest Boys Home in Cornwall. He indicated that the man who assaulted him was Brian Dufour. Brian Dufour was employed at Laurencrest as some sort of councilor. He indicated that Carl Latham was the Director of Laurencrest at the relevant times. He indicated that Brian Dufour was in the Toronto area still involved in a child care situation. I informed him to go to PROJECT TRUTH and advise them. I believe he did.

11th November 1996 - Met Ron Leroux in Aurora. Indicates that Marcel Lalonde is a molester of boys, teaches at Bishop McDonnell. Marcel's wife is Kathy.

[REDACTED]

Ron Leroux advised me that Ken Seguin was going to give D.S \$15,000 and Malcolm MacDonald was going to put the deal together. D.S. was calling Ken Seguin and Ken was getting nervous prior to his suicide.

Ron Leroux indicates that Ken Seguin would meet Canadian probationary boys in Florida.

Ron Leroux indicates that Fern Touchette saw a lot of boys come and go from Ken Seguin's dock on the St. Lawrence River to Malcolm MacDonald's cottage on Stanley Island. Fern Touchette was Ken Seguin's neighbour to the east on Highway # 2.

On this date I went over the plans that had been made to have my family and I killed. I wanted to make sure I had the sequence right and test Ron Leroux's memory.

The incident took place sometime in November prior to Ken Seguin killing himself.

The incident took place in Ken Seguin's house. Present were Malcolm MacDonald, Ken Seguin, Father Charles MacDonald and Ron Leroux. The conversation went as follows:

Malcolm -"That son of a bitch should be shot."

Father Charles MacDonald-"Should be shot, I want him shot."

Malcolm -"The whole family should be shot."

Ken Seguin was shaken and nervous.

Malcolm -"Kill the son of a bitch"

The next day at Harv's Diner on Pitt Street in Cornwall

Malcolm -"I'm the guy who can handle it"

Ken Seguin - Mentions something about Dunlop

Malcolm - "No more Ken, not here"

Ken's House next day;

Ken Seguin - Nervous. Only slept for half an hour, blue as tea pot.

The above statement is an observation made by Ron Leroux.

Ken - " I don't deserve to live I don't understand these guys, they want to kill a cop."

Ron Leroux - "I said, "Dunlop"

Ken Seguin - " Malcolm's going to straighten him up, going to kill him, wipe out him and his entire family. Charlie's pissed off, Malcolm's angry."

Ron Leroux speaking indicates Ken Seguin believed 100 percent that Perry Dunlop and his family were going to be killed.

Ken Seguin - "Dunlop and his family are going to be killed. Shaver is so close, who am I going to talk to?"

Ron Leroux - "Get help"

Ken Seguin - "Who do I talk to"

Ron Leroux - "File a report."

Ken Seguin - "If this guy does not let it go he will be wiped out."

Ron Leroux had first relayed this incident to me when we met in Maine. Initially he was scared to mention it because he thought that he could be charged for knowing this information and not relaying it to police. Ron Leroux was feeling guilty.

I recall that I broke down after he left that night. I called home and had a conversation with my wife and my mother who was visiting from out west.

I was beginning to know that I had stumbled into an evil, evil place where nothing is sacred and no one is safe.

I felt vulnerable, and I still do today. I was realizing just how connected these people were and how much power, influence and money they have. They are educated, sophisticated and manipulative. Children would not stand a chance against these perpetrators.

It was my duty as a police officer and human being to find out the truth. I could not be deterred by facts that I was uncovering.

There is no doubt in my mind that these men were desperate enough to kill me and my family. Since the beginning of my involvement in the case, there have been investigations undertaken, civil suits launched, charges, convictions, suicide and death.

All of the afore-mentioned bring enormous amounts of stress. I firmly believe that there were certain identifiable parties that wanted me dead. It is not a stretch to believe that my life and that of my family's was/is still in jeopardy.

12th November 1996 - Ron Leroux has some other names he runs by me. See notes Ask Ron Re Bishop-He indicates that he was molested at St Columban's Boy's School by four priests.

18th November 1996 -Attend Stuart MacDonald's house with Helen, Carson Chisholm and my lawyer. Stuart denies knowing Ron Leroux. He denies ever going to Ken Seguin's house. He denies any knowledge of the case other than being informed by Sgt. Nakic. Indicates he knows Ron Leroux was in town.

Tells everyone to fuck off and get out of his house. With the exception of me I left earlier because I no longer wanted to be in his presence. I had heard enough. I noticed he was visibly shaken and upset.

19th November 1996 - meet with Don Labelle-20:15 at his house in Summerstown. Indications are that he was first assaulted as an alter boy 12-13 years old at the time-suspect Father Charles MacDonald. Don's father dies and he moves to the waterfront with Ron Leroux.

Prior to that he indicates that he was assaulted by **Marcel Lalonde** while he was a student a Bishop Macdonald School during grade seven and eight. He indicated that he knew what Charlie Macdonald was all about prior to seeing him the first time at Ken Seguin's on the waterfront. He indicated that there were parties at Ken's and Malcolm's. He mentions people who were seen at mentioned places. Don Labelle mentions Malcolm MacDonald, Doug Seguin, Bishop Eugene Larocque, Ron Wilson, Father Charlie MacDonald, Father Kevin Maloney, Stuart MacDonald, Claude Shaver, Mark Menard, David Latreille, Gino, Harvey Berry Jr., Robert Renshaw, Fred Renshaw, Dale Crowder, Al Laplante, Fern Touchette, Cara Berry, Yos Van Deepen.

He disclosed more about **Marcel Lalonde** at this meeting. He spoke more about the atmosphere at Ken Seguin's and Malcolm MacDonald's cottage.

20th November 1996 - Statement taken.

Gerry Renshaw indicates that Stuart MacDonald, Claude Shaver, Malcolm MacDonald were all seen at Ken Seguin's house.

Indicates that Ken Seguin and Chief Claude Shaver were good friends.

30th November 1996 - Statement from D.S.

2nd December 1996 - Spoke to Gerry Renshaw- He indicated that the reason he left Ken Seguin's was that he was trying to molest him. He also indicated that Ken Seguin had spoken to Chief Shaver about the case, prior to his suicide in November of 1993, but remained very nervous.

3rd December 1996 - Statement from Dale Crowder.

4th December 1996 - Statement from Ron Leroux.

5th December 1996 - took a statement from Gerry Renshaw-tab #9 evidence book one. Gerry Renshaw remembers several high profile people being at Ken Seguin's house. Indications were made again about a brown suitcase containing pornographic material.

Phoned Flipson residence on this date and spoke to a female that answered the phone. Daniel Flipson came on the phone. He was very nervous. I never did make any further contact with Daniel or Sylvain.

6th December 1996 - Spoke to Albert Roy -He indicated that he had been a victim of Ken Seguin and Nelson Barque. Said he was very scared of the system. Albert is currently waiting for a hospital bed. Vicky his wife looks tired. Indications are that he was savagely molested by Ken Seguin as well as Nelson Barque. He filed a lawsuit against probation a few years ago. I understand it has been settled in his favour. My understanding is that he received in the area of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars.

8th December 1996 - Spoke to Don Labelle. He remembers the suitcase of pornographic tapes that were floating around at Ken's and then eventually seized at Ron Leroux's house.

I show him the pictures and he recalls certain people as being at Ken Seguin's. He indicates Jacques Leduc, Kevin Maloney, Stuart MacDonald, Andre Pommier, Bishop Larocque, David Ostler, Ron Wilson, Murray MacDonald, Malcolm MacDonald and Father Charles MacDonald.

11th December 1996 - Statement from Cara Lee Berry.

13th December 1996 - Statement from Carol Deschamps.

12th December 1996 - Statement from Don Labelle.

15th December 1996 - Statement from Fred Renshaw.

16th December 1996 - Charles Bourgeois(my lawyer) contacts Chief of Police Fantino. At that time, Fantino was in London Ontario. His service had completed a massive investigation centred around child abuse, child

pornography and pedophiles called "**Project Guardian.**" He was advised of the situation.

18 December 1996 - The entire package of evidence and affidavits that had been gathered so far is sent to Chief Fantino. It is accompanied by a cover letter.

(Book Two - Tab 3)

1997

23rd January 1997 - Richard Able, the Director of the Children's Aid Society, was beaten and robbed while walking home from work. He was approximately one block away from his residence. The crime remains unsolved.

2nd February 1997 - Spoke to D.S. he gave his written permission to show his statement and the \$32,000 deal.

(Book Two - Tab 4 THE DEAL)

7th February 1997 - Ron Leroux attends Ontario Provincial Police Headquarters with lawyer Charles Bourgeois for the purpose of giving a video taped statement pertaining to all his knowledge of the case.

8th February 1997 - I interview Bobby Renshaw at the law office in Newmarket see notes attached.

10th February 1997 - Statement from Robert Renshaw.

14th February 1997 - Spoke to John MacDonald's mother. See notes attached.

16th February 1997 - I was informed on this date that a Don Renshaw wanted to speak to me.

17th February 1997 - Spoke to Stuart MacPherson who indicated that he would like to meet with me.

18th February 1997 - Called and left a message with Chief Fantino's secretary Laurie to get in touch with me.

Called Cindy Leroux - She indicates Ron is getting nervous.

20th February 1997 - Spoke to Stuart MacPherson. No statement given.

21st February 1997 - Spoke to Stuart MacPherson. No statement given.

MACPHERSON DID INDICATE THAT HE WAS A VICTIM OF KEN SEGUIN'S
AS WELL AS MARCEL LALONDE'S.

22nd February 1997 - Served to testify Father Charlie MacDonald's trial.
(Book Two - Tab 5)

24th February 1997 - Court in Ottawa re: Father Charles MacDonald.

25th February 1997 - I called Chief Fantino and spoke to him from the
Courthouse in Ottawa. He advised me at this time that no police agency had
taken the case yet. He was very courteous and informative about the subject of
high profile pedophiles. He told me to keep to the high road in this case.

25th February 1997 - Donny Renshaw drops off a statement.

26th February 1997 - Court in Ottawa. I observed that Albert Vogel threatened
Carson Chisholm.

27th February 1997 - Court in Ottawa-

28th February in 1997 - Court in Ottawa - Had a conversation with Mike Fagen a
detective with the Ontario Provincial Police.

6th March 1997 - Get a call from Clair Renshaw re: Bobby Renshaw.

14th March 1997 - Called Detective Mike Fagen and told him that I have another
victim that alleges he was assaulted by Father Charles MacDonald. Also on this
day I spoke to Dan Anthony of the Ontario Provincial Police Orillia. I told him
that I wanted to make a request for a formal investigation into the conspiracy to
commit murder and the death threats that were made against my family and
myself. I inquired as to where the investigation was going in relation to Ron
Leroux. Information was that Major C.I.B. Ontario Provincial Police was looking
after the case.

I faxed a copy of the victim's statement to Constable Don Genier on his request.

15th March 1997 - Cindy Leroux called. Indication was that Doug Seguin brother of probation officer Ken Seguin had called. Ron did not speak with Doug. I told them to get in touch with Ontario Provincial Police and let them know what had transpired.

17th March 1997 - I called Dan Anthony O.P.P.- nothing back from superiors about threats complaint. Inform him of the Ron Leroux call.

18th March 1997 - I am in Toronto - Helen attends Ontario Provincial Police Upper Canada Detachment and lodges death threats complaint with Detective Inspector Pat Hall and Constable Steve Seguin. I was informed that Inspector Tim Smith would now be in charge of the major portion of the sexual assault allegations.

25th March 1997 - Father Charlie appears in court in Ottawa. D.S. is arrested outside the courtroom for cause a disturbance.

27th March 1997 - Sgt. Snyder wants a statement as to how I met Don Labelle. Indications are there are five more victims that have come forward about Marcel Lalonde. No names are discussed.

Constable Don Genier Ontario Provincial Police calls. The request is made for a statement as to how I met Robert Renshaw. Statement was given on this date.
(Book Two - Tab 6)

29th March 1997 - Observed Joss VanDeepan and Malcolm MacDonald at a coffee shop together.

8th April 1997 - THE PACKAGE IS HAND DELIVERED TO VARIOUS GOVERNMENT OFFICES IN TORONTO BY ME PERSONALLY.

Prior to lunch on this date I attended the Office of the Solicitor General and Corrections Services 25 Grosvenor Street Toronto. I was directed to the 11th floor of the building. I advise the secretary that I have a package for the Solicitor General. A female comes out of the office and is prepared to receive the package. I informed her that there are some important documents in the package. She left and returned with John Periversoff. He came out and introduced himself.

I was directed to his office and he inquired as to what this was all about. I told him it was self explanatory in the cover letter, the video tape and the four volumes of documents. I gave him a snapshot. I indicated who I was and that the evidence in this box involves allegations of pedophiles, child molestation and obstruct justice. I advise about the players involved; Probation, Crown Attorney's office, Police, Catholic Church. He tried to direct me towards the Police Complaints Commission or the Cornwall Police Service. I told him that I did not know who to trust.

John stated, "I have to protect the minister, he could loose his seat if he views the evidence. I can accept the letter but not the package. We have no authority to investigate."

I told that I would feel better knowing that the "Top Cop" in the province has the file. He indicated that it is a misunderstanding he is the "Top Cop".

I then asked to speak with **Fred Peters**. I was denied this request. John indicates that I should go to the first floor and see The Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing, some Chitra person. I again ask him to just keep it on file and he indicates that they have no filing system. John signed and gave me his card. John Periversoff-Special Assistant, Policing Office of Deputy Solicitor General Department.

I then went to Murray Chitra's office first floor. John had already been there ahead of me. I delivered the full package to The Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing. C. Labielski, clerk assistant took documents. It was indicated that Chitra was in a meeting. A receipt was signed. I never received a response from this department.

I then proceeded to 720 Bay Street, home to Attorney General Charles Harnick. The full package was received by Michael Austin-Title Appeal records and Support Assistant. He indicated we will take a look at it. He signed a receipt for the material.

I called home on this date. John MacDonald had called along with three other victims who would not leave names. I had been in Toronto since March 31st, returning to Cornwall on the 10th of April.

Receipts for material at above mentioned office.
(Book Two - Tab 7)

14th April 1997 - Sgt Snyder wants a statement as to how I met Don Labelle.

15th April 1997 - Went to the station for uniform, as I was nearing my return to work date. Gave statement to Sgt Snyder.

17th April 1997 - Detective Sergeant Pat Hall calls to inform that reviewing tape and statements taken. Big meeting with Senior Crown Peter Griffiths, Robert Pelletier and Police on the 24 April 1997 to decide on where case is going.

19th April 1997 - Fred Renshaw calls. Information is that Doug Seguin is attempting to get in touch with his brother Gerry. John MacDonald indicates the names of two other males that are possibly victims. I never made contact with these males.

21st April 1997 - Called Ron Leroux. Indications are that no police agency has contacted him.

30th April 1997 - Detective Sergeant Pat Hall called. Looking at all allegations.

6th May 1997 - D.S. stopped in. Stated that he told Cornwall Police Service about Marcel Lalonde in 1992-1993 when he first contacted our Service.

Also, on this date I receive a correspondence from The Solicitor General of Ontario Robert Runciman.

(Book Two - Tab 8)

19th May 1997 - First shift back to active duty.

30th May 1997 - I attended a restaurant in town and observed Senior Crown Murray MacDonald having lunch with **Jacques Leduc(currently charged by Project Truth)**, Guy Simard and Andre White.

31st May 1997 - M.P.P. Bob Kilger returns my call. His campaign office had called. He indicates that I morally did the right thing, his concern was police procedure.

1st June 1997 - Called Ron Leroux and told him to hang in there.

11th June 1997 - Working day shift relief at the front desk. Noted that Inspector Tim Smith, of the Ontario Provincial Police, had come in to see Inspector Trew.

Inspector Trew came to see me and indicated that Inspector Tim Smith would like to speak with me. I recognized Smith when he first came in from seeing him on the television as well as in the book "**Boys Don't Cry**".

Inspector Trew took me into his office and introduced me to Inspector Tim Smith. Inspector Tim Smith informed me that he was the lead investigator in the pedophile incidents and he was in possession of the material that was sent to Chief Fantino in December of 1996. I was told that the investigation may take up to six months to complete.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that an outside Crown Attorney from Bay Street in Toronto was going to be in charge of looking at the file. He indicated that Robert Pelletier was no longer going to be the Crown on the case as there had to be a perception of independence and fairness. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that he investigated the incident in Summerstown where Travis Varley shot his cousin accidentally a couple of years earlier. Inspector Tim Smith learned that at the time there were several young persons on probation that were drinking at Ken's house prior to the shooting. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that he made probation aware of this fact, but that nothing was ever done.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated he knew Malcolm MacDonald was a pedophile and was aware that Malcolm MacDonald molested boys/males in Florida and Pennsylvania. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that he reported to Children's Aid Society two years ago the fact that Marcel Lalonde was a pedophile. D.S. had made Inspector Tim Smith aware of this fact. Inspector Tim Smith indicated knowledge of a group of pedophiles in the Cornwall area. The problem is how to get them flushed out and how to get victims to come forward.

Inspector Tim Smith wanted me to forward all further victims to him. He said he had some coppers who are working on the file who were experts in the field of sexual assault investigation. The names were Genier, Hall, Lefebvre and Seguin all members of The Ontario Provincial Police, and now members of a special project team. Inspector Tim Smith then made reference to the pornographic tapes that were taken from Ron Leroux's residence on the search warrant. He said that they were destroyed by O.P.P. Lancaster. Inspector Tim Smith indicated he would like to have them as it would make his investigation a lot easier.

Inspector Tim Smith said he took exception in the Dunlop/Bourgeois brief where it was mentioned that he kissed the Archbishop's ring. He indicated it was a

joke. I indicated that it was in black and white print in the book about the Alfred case "**BOY'S DON'T CRY**". Inspector Smith indicated that although he was Catholic he no longer attends Church and in fact is currently being sued by the Roman Catholic Church. Inspector Tim Smith indicated to me that he wanted to keep the criminal investigation separate from the civil suit. Smith said it was hard to convict/prove assault with only one victim. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that was the reason why Father Charles MacDonald was not proceeded with the first time.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that he still stood behind his original investigation where no charges were laid. Inspector Tim Smith said he could not understand why people had come to me, because most of the people I took statements off were previously interviewed by the Ontario Provincial Police, as well as the Ottawa Police investigators. I recall we talked about dress code. I asked the investigators if they always wore suits. The reply was yes, we want the victims to take us seriously.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated to me - keep professional in your involvement in the case and indicated that he did not want to cause me any added stress. I told him that I just wanted the truth out in this case and that I will stick to my same course that I started on **The Safety of Children**. I told him that I was very unfamiliar with the press and the politics of this type of situation. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that I would learn. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that he does not go to the press in these cases. Inspector Tim Smith indicated the name of the project would be "**PROJECT TRUTH**".

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that the Catholic Church would rather admit to murder than child abuse. Inspector Tim Smith indicated a lot of what was provided in my material is true and we know it. Court is the problem. The Catholic Church will spare no expense defending priests. Inspector Tim Smith indicated he had 30 years experience with this type of case.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that I should advise my brother in law Carson Chisholm not to take anymore statements. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that the more people that become involved, the more difficult the prosecution would be.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated he has spent as much as two days interviewing victims. I ask him about a public inquiry, (as in Royal Commission or Judicial Inquiry) and he indicated that it was more or less just a venting forum. Inspector

Tim Smith indicated about Malcolm MacDonald pleading to Obstruct Justice.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that lawyer Jacques Leduc had no involvement in the deal. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that there were precedents with regards to the sentencing of Malcolm MacDonald.

I spoke about Dr. John Bradford in the context of the affidavit that he gave in relation to my Police Act trial. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that Bradford will say whatever you want depending on who is paying him.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that this is a complex case. I wished him luck.

I distinctly remember at this meeting I inquired as to victims. More specifically sexual assault victims who come forward to me. What if they wish my presence at a statement they may give to police. Inspector Tim Smith indicated there was no problem with that.

The message was clear. Get the statement. That was the priority.

I also recall at this meeting the issue of help for survivors had come up. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that I had mentioned in my cover letter to the Solicitor General that a mechanism be set up in Cornwall to deal with the needs of sexual assault victims. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that this would not take place, as they had no mandate. It should be noted that a men's group did get off the ground, two years later, in 1999 to deal with the victims.

(Book Two - Tab 9)

Inspector Trew said little or nothing during this meeting and did not actively participate.

17th June 1997 - I am advised by a reliable source that the Cornwall case will be bigger than Prescott. (NOTES)

27th June 1997 - John MacDonald came over. Indications were that more victims were coming forward to C.A.S. and Rick Able wanted to know what victims wanted.

30th June 1997 - Letter from Ministry of Attorney General's office in response to the package I delivered to same. The letter indicates that everything that I forwarded has been passed on to the Ontario Provincial Police. The letter is

signed by Director of Crown Operations Peter D. Griffiths. The letter is cc: to Detective Inspector Tim Smith.

(Book Two - Tab 10)

28th July 1997 - I was on duty when an unidentified male called the station. He indicated he was calling from North Bay, Ontario. He indicated that he attended the Classical College in Cornwall for a period of four years and was viciously, repeatedly sexually assaulted by priests and brothers from the school.

He said he used to have to stay there on the weekends in the dorms, as his home was in Northern Ontario. He indicated there were three dorms on site. Each dorm held approximately one hundred students. He indicated that there was a mascot dog they used to keep on the school property. He related how one day one of the instructors came into class (a brother/priest) and asked the boys if they liked the dog. The class was situated on the top floor of the college. The brother/priest promptly went to the window, opened it up, and threw the dog to its death.

He indicated on the phone that he was so happy that it was me who answered. He said he has lived in fear all of his life. I tried to point him towards Project Truth and gave him the number, I do not know if he made this contact.

The man sounded very broken hearted.

I recall another person telling me about this dog story.

29th July 1997 - Two more males indicate to me that they were sexually assaulted. I inform them of the project. No statement taken. (NOTES page 85)

1st August 1997 - J.L. Marleau calls, states he is a Lawyer from Quebec who is representing some victims from the Classical College in Cornwall. He then mentions the names of abusers. I had not heard these names prior to this.

I have since learned that Mr. J.L. Marleau attended Classical College of Cornwall. I have also learned that his brother Robert Marleau attended the Classical College in Cornwall.

Robert Marleau was the Student President of the Classical College. Robert Marleau is the Clerk of the House of Commons in Ottawa.

April 7, 2000

J.L. Marleau has gone to preliminary trial with allegations that four or five men sexually abused him.

At the end of a preliminary the judge stated in summary that Mr. J.L. Marleau was: "used like a sexual puppet between the accused men."

7th August 1997 - Meeting at H.Q. with Inspector Tim Smith, Detective Pat Hall and Inspector Trew. The meeting was about unauthorized release to the media.
(Book Two - Tab 11)

8th September 1997 - Court in Ottawa John MacDonald on the stand.

9th September 1997 - Court in Ottawa D.S. cross / chief 45 min.

10th September 1997 - Court in Ottawa D.S. cross

11th September 1997 - Court in Ottawa

23rd September 1997 - Detective Sergeant Pat Hall calls re: notes made in contemplation of civil litigation. Told him to contact my lawyer John Morris from the law firm Borden & Elliot in Toronto. He indicated that was fine, he indicated he would get the number and place the call. I was unsure as to what I was required to hand over to Police. I knew there was a lawyer client privilege, and felt that my counsel should be contacted.

26th September 1997 - Called into Inspector Trew's office with Acting Sergeant Aikman. Given a letter and told to read it.

Dated 25 September 1997.

To Constable Perry Dunlop # 76
Cornwall Police Service,
340 Pitt
Cornwall, Ontario
K6H 5T7

I have recently been informed by Tim Smith of the Ontario Provincial Police that you have given his investigating officer a list of names that are possible victims of sexual assault. When the investigating officer requested further disclosure such as notes, tapes, statements etc. pertaining to these alleged sexual assaults

12th November 1997 - Keith Ouelette calls leaves a voice mail. States he was sexually assaulted by **Richard Hickerson** and **Ken Seguin** when he was a young boy. I directed him to get in touch with Project Truth.

24th November 1997 - Court in Newmarket. My initial lawyer had failed to show up and remove himself from the record. I was abandoned at the final hour prior to court commencing. I asked for an adjournment to seek new legal counsel. I was ordered by Senior Justice Logan to re-draft the statement of claim, and return by 2 February 1998. Lawyer for the Police Services Board John Callaghan wants case thrown out.

Senior Justice Logan indicates that he has concern when a First Class Constable with an impeccable record makes a complaint in the context of a law suit about his superiors covering up. It also involves Crown Attorney's Office. He will not throw the case out. He indicates this is not tolerable to the people of Ontario.

27th November 1997 - Called into the Officer in Charge office to speak with Staff Sergeant Gary Derouchie. "An allegation has been made of improper use of the in-house public address system.

Perry do you understand what I am here for. Let me explain briefly so there is no misunderstanding. Allegations are that you made improper or inappropriate use of the public address system. The subject matter was Burke and Kirkey. The choice is yours. Draw up a conduct sheet or do a complete investigation."

I ask the date and time this was alleged to have happened, and who generated the complaint. He did not answer my questions or concerns. He was not prepared to go beyond this date with the offer of a conduct sheet. I told him: "go ahead do a full investigation."

I was later told 24 February 1997 while standing outside the Police Station, waiting for a ride home, by Staff Sergeant Derouchie that there would be no further action taken with regard to this incident. I have never seen any paperwork on this alleged incident.

I HAVE LEARNED THAT THE AUTHOR OF THIS COMPLAINT WAS MR. RON WILSON THE CHAIRMAN OF THE CORNWALL POLICE SERVICES BOARD.

The interesting fact is that on the 27th of November 1997, it was well known, that

I was going to, stand up in front of my Police Association body and ask for their support in my lawsuit.

I feel this act by Staff Sergeant Derouchie was intended to throw me off balance. Indicating that there was going to be a full investigation done. Staff Sergeant Derouchie calls me in a formal setting makes a serious allegation. The end result is that in an informal setting, three months later, I'm told it's over. Attached address to Cornwall Police Association.

(Book Two - Tab 13)

On or about the 12th of December 1997- While at roll call prior to shift received an internal message by way of letter from Deputy Chief J. St. Denis. Had to do with alleged rumours or gossip about a priest. The deputy had been contacted by lawyer Pat Rudden.

(Book Two - Tab 14)

1998

Early January 1999 - I was called by Ted Carney who indicated that he wanted to meet with me.

13th January 1998 - Letter as given by Inspector Trew as follows:

Internal Correspondence

TO : O.I.C. -Field Operations
FROM : Inspector R.W. Trew
SUBJECT : CST. P. DUNLOP REQUESTING ASSISTANCE AND
CONSULTATION WITH CROWN'S OFFICE
DATE : JANUARY 13,1998

All arrangements for contact with the Crown's office will be through Staff Sergeant C. Lortie or Acting Sergeant D. Aikman. Supervisors will further confer with case Manager Cst. K. Malloy.

Consultation arrangements will be made with Senior Assistant Crown Guy Simard. Constable Dunlop's supervisors or Cst Malloy, will be present at all such

April 7, 2000

meetings.

Inspector R. W. Trew

copy to: Chief A. Repa
Deputy Chief J. St Denis
Staff Sergeant C. Lortie
Acting Sergeant D. Aikman
Constable K. Malloy
Constable P. Dunlop
Crown Attorney Office

There was no call to prompt this letter. As a Constable on General Uniform Patrol I would have little or no direct dealing with the Crown's Office. I had been back on duty since the spring of 1997. Suddenly I receive this pointed letter. It was obvious my simple presence as a police officer was bothering the Crown, more specifically the Senior Crown Murray MacDonald.

(Book Two - Tab 15)

(Book Two - Tab 15) I have also included a letter of commendation from Assistant Crown Attorney Guy Simard. The letter was in relation to the last Judge and Jury trial I was involved in. The letter was directed to S/Sgt Derochie:

Dear Sir:

As you may be aware the above accused was convicted on October 21st last after a lengthy jury trial.

The case itself was complex and necessitated various strategy meetings and much more instruction from the investigating officer, Cst Perry Dunlop. As well, some of the witnesses had special needs which impacted on their ability to testify in court.

I was very impressed with the ability of Cst Dunlop to manage these difficult witnesses, to keep me thoroughly and accurately apprised of all new developments and to prepare such a thorough and comprehensive brief.

I would like to formally commend Cst. Dunlop for his excellent management of the case.

Guy Simard

As I view the two correspondence it is hard to believe that a seventeen year veteran police officer, having had tenures in Criminal Investigations, Joint Forces Drugs and Intelligence Bureaus, an undercover operative for the Province of Ontario under the guidance of the Solicitor General's office, suddenly requires three other people in the room when he talks to the Crown Attorney.

This was totally unwarranted and intimidating.

To my knowledge this had never been done before.

15th January 1998 - I testify at Marcel Lalonde's preliminary hearing.

19th January 1998 - I met Ted Carney in Ottawa. He indicated to me that he was sexually abused by four different men when he was a young boy. I told him about **Project Truth**.

24th January 1998 - Ted Carney attends Cornwall and contacts **Project Truth**.

14th February 1998 - Message to call a Stephen Messman.

16th February 1998 - Meet with Messman. He indicates that he was sexually abused by Father Charles MacDonald and another Priest in Apple Hill 13 - 14 years old. I advise him to go to the Ontario Provincial Police **Project Truth**.

24th February 1998 - Staff Sergeant Derouchie states no more investigation re: public address system.

8th May 1998 - Barbara McCrimmon calls my home, to state that her son Cory was sexually assaulted by Jacques Leduc when he was 12-17. I told her to go to O.P.P.- **Project Truth** states that has already been done.

13th July 1998 - On this date I received a letter in my mailbox from a victim. The letter indicates he was sexually assaulted by Ken Seguin while he was on probation.

(Book Three - Tab 1)

23rd July 1998 - 15:10 Hrs. 10-19. (Attend station) Cst. Sauve advises me at the station to see Inspector Wells. Attend Wells office, he advises to go see Inspector Trew. I attend Inspector Trew's office. Present are Inspector Trew,

Inspector Tim Smith and Det Sergeant Pat Hall. Inspector Tim Smith inquires about my wife's comment to the press: "Every parent should be knocking down the O.P.P.'s door demanding to know who these pedophiles are." Wants to know about certain issues my wife has brought up. I indicated that maybe he should ask her.

Inspector Tim Smith tells me he won't be intimidated by the media, lawyers or public pressure. I told him that I won't be intimidated either. He stated that it makes it hard for victims to come forward if they had no confidence. Indicates that victims will not come forward if this continues.

Inspector Tim Smith wants to know about Barbara McCrimmon. I stated that I spoke to her and directed her to **Project Truth**. Inspector Tim Smith indicated that I called her back. Three weeks later I called Barbara McCrimmon back out of concern she was very upset the first time she called me. Out of concern that a normal caring person has I called her back to make sure she was O.K.

Detective Sergeant Pat Hall indicates that there may be a problem with where statements were taken. Indicates that Neville and Edilson, lawyers for accused Charles MacDonald and Jacques Leduc are screaming for disclosure.

Inspector Tim Smith wanted to know where my notes prior to 1996 were. Policemen are expected to take notes. I reminded him that I was off on stress for three years and there were days that I didn't even wash my face or get off the couch.

Detective Sergeant Pat Hall wants to know about the bankers box. He indicates, that it has been said I handed over a bankers box to Attorney General and Ontario Civilian Commission on Police Services. I stated that I had given Four volumes of evidence material in four separate binders, plus a video and a cover letter.

I could not believe it when Inspector Tim Smith indicated that the Ontario Provincial Police did not receive anything from the Solicitor General's office or the Attorney General's office.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that the only book he had was the original single volume that I had forwarded to Chief Fantino.

I then realized why on September 23rd 1997 Detective Sergeant Pat Hall was

upset at me for not handing materials over before my counsel was contacted. Detective Sergeant Pat Hall had not been provided with all the material.

It was now clear at this meeting. The Attorney General's Office as well as the Solicitor General's Office, did not forward the material to the assigned investigators the OPP. I had hand delivered the material in April 1997. It was now July 1998.

I remember saying in the office, "This is unbelievable." Here they were nagging me about lawyer Jacques Leduc, if I had any notes on his victims and they had not even received the package from The Attorney General or the Solicitor General. It had been over a year since I had forwarded the package received receipts and written confirmation from two out of the three offices that were made aware of these allegations.

When I replicated the package I asked Detective Sergeant Pat Hall to sign a receipt stating the Ontario Provincial Police did not get the full package of material I had supplied to the Attorney General's office/Solicitor General's office.
(Book Three - Tab 2)

It was indicated that the original book, that I had sent to Chief Fantino, had been broken down into 168 assignments by O.P.P. investigators. The information that I had sent Chief Fantino was forwarded to the Ontario Provincial Police.

Indications were that the investigation has taken the O.P.P. investigators across Canada and into the United States of America. Inspector Tim Smith indicated his background as the lead investigator at Alfred and Prescott.

The two mentioned towns are located in close proximity to Cornwall. Both of these towns were focal points of large police and C.A.S. investigations involving child sexual abuse with multiple victims and multiple perpetrator.

It should be noted that a lot of boys that were incarcerated at Alfred came from the Cornwall area.

I recall one of the victims indicating to me that when the Classical College closed around 1970, some of the brothers and priests went to Alfred and some went to Montreal.

Inspector Tim Smith indicates he has three suits against him, launched by the Catholic Church, in relation to investigating sexual assault crimes.

Inspector Tim Smith indicates that D.S. is uncontrollable. Inspector Tim Smith indicates that the press call every day to inquire about charges.

I ask what about the death threats made against my family. He indicates that we need corroboration. There was only one witness in the room, need a third party witness with credibility. I said Malcolm MacDonald has a Criminal Record.(during this investigation Malcolm went on to be charged and convicted of obstruct justice in the original \$32,000 dollar deal and charged with indecent assault on a young person.) The other person in the room alleged to have been talking about killing myself, my wife and children was Father Charles MacDonald. The final person in the room was Ken Seguin who committed suicide not long after the three were said to have had this meeting.

Inspector Tim Smith indicated that it has been sent to an outside Crown Attorney. This outside Crown Attorney will take a look at the case and advise. Smith indicates that some of the witnesses are suspects. I have since learned that the outside crown was **Robert Pelletier**.
(Book Three - Tab 3)

Inspector Tim Smith indicates that some good creditable witnesses have come forward with regard to allegations of sexual assault. We now have lawyers and professionals not just ordinary victims or the usual victims.

I told Inspector Tim Smith that I have been treated like the bad guy for five years.

Inspector Tim Smith indicates that he is the investigator that will be looking into the allegations of cover-up and obstruct justice on the part of The Cornwall Police Service and the Catholic Church.

THE DATE HERE WAS 23 JULY 1998

Inspector Tim Smith wants to know if I can replicate the material that I sent to the Solicitor General and Attorney General within two weeks. Inspector Tim Smith wants to know if I can speak to my wife about the press issue.

Arraignments are made that I will have copies made and forwarded to the Ontario Provincial Police by the 7th of August 1998.

27th July 1998 - Working to prepare brief for **Project Truth**. Nothing has been forwarded by the Solicitor General's office or the Attorney General's office.

28th July 1998 - Copied volumes for the O.P.P.

11th August 1998 - Lawyer forwards material to M.P.P. Garry Guzzo.
(Book Three - Tab 4)

17th September 1998 - 11:50 met Detective Sergeant Pat Hall give him material, get him to sign a waiver. Told him about the Ron Leroux video. He requests a copy.

25th September 1998 - Called Detective Sergeant Pat Hall. I indicate that I will have the video by Monday. He wants a paper signed.

1st October 1998 - Turn over copy of Ron Leroux tape to O.P.P. I did not sign their release on advice.

3rd December 1998 - Receive an unsolicited phone call from David Price of Nanaimo British Columbia. Indications are that his wife was a victim of child sexual assault, when she was a young child in Cornwall.

Gaining police trust is a major issue for the victim. See attached notes as well as correspondence from David Price. Details are sketchy but he indicates that his wife remembers men in blue uniforms mentions names of a couple of suspects.

Indicates ritual abuse. I do not speak to victim at this time. Indications are that the family moved out of Cornwall to get away from the haunting memories and death threats. I told him whatever help that I can be I will be.

18th December 1998 - David Price indicates that he has concern about our Service investigating the allegations. In no uncertain terms he and his wife do not trust the Cornwall Police.

1999

6th Jan 1999 - Meet with Detective Inspector Pat Hall. Helen, my wife was present at this meeting. Detective Inspector Pat Hall advised at this time that the Ontario Provincial Police will not be laying any charges in relation to the death threats made against our family. Detective Inspector Pat Hall indicates that the

matter is further complicated by Ron Leroux claiming upon being asked if he felt the suspects were serious. His view were that these were the ranting and ravings of desperate men indicates he did not feel they were capable of conducting or ordering an execution.

Detective Inspector Pat Hall: "It would be contrary to public interest to pursue this matter."

I inquire as to getting the file. May get it through freedom of information. I ask for the name of the Crown who reviewed the file. Detective Inspector Pat Hall will not provide name. Detective Inspector Hall informs at this time that he is not investigating the obstruct justice by certain members of the Cornwall Police Service.

**I HAVE SINCE LEARNED THE CROWN DEALING WITH THE DEATH
THREATS ISSUE WAS ROBERT PELLETIER.**

I inquire as to the pedophiles who have been identified through the investigation and who have not been charged. Detective Inspector Pat Hall indicates the briefs are complete and currently before the Crown Attorney in Toronto. I tell him that I have concerns for my wife and children's safety. He indicated that Ron Leroux has a problem with some dates and times.

Detective Inspector Pat Hall indicates the Crown makes the decisions. He only gathers the information. Detective Inspector Pat Hall: "Some people say I should not even meet with you."

11th February 1999 - David Price calls and indicates that his wife is working on her statement. Indications are of ritual abuse involving high profile people within the Cornwall community.

14th February 1999 - Bob Nazar phoned from Peace River, Alberta. Phone number 1-403-523-4326. Information was that he originally resided in Cornwall Ontario. Indications from him was that there were wide spread allegations of sexual abuse in some local Cornwall hockey league in the 1950's 1960's. I did not take verbatim notes but he did mention the name "**Killer Gagnon**" to me throughout our conversation. He indicated that although he was not a victim several boys were. He sounded sincere and full of information. I mentioned **Project Truth** to him, I do not know if he got in touch. I believe he is a wealth of information that may be useful in this investigation. Bob Nazar said he had three

brothers; John, Terry and Peter.

8th March 1999 - Ron Lemelin phoned my residence. He indicated to me that he had been sexually assaulted at the Classical College in Cornwall during the years of 1954-1957. He indicated that he would like to talk to me because he felt he could trust me.

10th March 1999 - Attended Sears One Water Street Cornwall. I had taken my girls for an ice-cream as they had just received their report cards.

By chance I met Jacques Verville, a young man that I had met years ago when I taught V.I.P. at Eastfront Public School. He indicated out of the blue that he was following in my footsteps with regards to the pedophile issue. He said he was a victim of **Jean Luc Leblanc** a bus driver and former teacher at NAV Canada (Transport Training Institute). He indicated that a friend of his, Adrian Tidbury was also a victim of sexual assault. He indicated that Tidbury had committed suicide in Edmonton Alberta as a direct result of being assaulted. He indicated that he had already been to project truth. He indicated that **Malcolm MacDonald** had also sexually assaulted him.

He seemed to be very happy to see me and very genuine. He is currently working at Sears as store security. He is in the process of becoming a Registered Nurse. He seems very focussed on his future as well as being aware of his past.

13th March 1999 - Press release that Ontario Provincial Police, Project Truth, will be investigating: "the possibility that Cornwall Police and the Catholic Church conspired to abort a probe into sexual abuse allegations after the complainant received hush money,..."
(Book Three - Tab 5)

16th March 1999 - Spoke to Jacques Verville indicated that the exact date that Adrian Tidbury hung himself was 17 Dec 1997. He indicated that at the time he hung himself they were both going to tell the police about the abuse they had suffered while in Cornwall. He indicated that Adrian was very scared about coming forward, and the entire incident had driven him to take his life. Jacques Verville indicated that Adrian had a wife and a small child at the time of his death. Jacques mentioned there were other pedophiles at St. Lawrence High School, but did not provide names or details. I did not push him on this issue as I knew he had already gone to **Project Truth** and spoken to them.

17th Mar 1999 - Spoke to Jacques Verville. He indicated that he told Cornwall Police almost eight years ago about the sexual assault perpetrated on him. Indications were that the Cornwall Police Service were very ignorant towards him. Dropped off a letter at my residence.

The letter was from Jean Luc Leblanc.
(Book Three - Tab 6)

5th April 1999 - Served a subpoena, Roch Landry trial, by Detective Joe Dupuis. Attend Court in Cornwall on the 6th of April 1999.
(Book Three - Tab 7)

6th April 1999 - Day shift front desk. Subpoena to Alexandria court. Attend Alexandria and meet with Crown Attorney Shelley Hallett and Alain Godin. I am advised that there is a motion before the court that Defence Counsels, Don Johnston and Tilton Donihee are making arguments on behalf of their respective clients with regards to disclosure of the package that I sent to the Attorney General as well as the Ontario Civilian Commission on Police Services. They are indicating that they want the entire package.

Crown Attorney's argue there is no evidence that concerns their clients.

Judge Renaud indicates he will read entire package and render a decision as to disclosure.

Judgement the following morning is "**No**", the defence will not get disclosure of the package.

7th April 1999 - 0:905 hrs I was in the report room at Police Headquarters and Inspector R. Trew attended. He hand delivered me an internal correspondence. He indicated I was to meet with him tomorrow, and that "It was no big deal."

Here are the contents of the internal correspondence that will be attached to this will state.

Internal correspondence

To: Constable Perry Dunlop, "D" Team
From: Inspector R. W. Trew, Administration

April 7, 2000

Subject: Media Statements Attributed To You . January 17, 1999-CBC
Radio Broadcast; and February 9 , 1999 - CBC Television
Broadcast.

Date: April 7, 1999

I would ask that you attend my office on Thursday , April 8th next at 11:00 A.M.

I am aware of recent media interviews in which you have participated with your wife and solicitor regarding matters connected to the Cornwall Police Service.

Specifically, during your interviews with both CBC Radio and Television, you made comments to the effect that you are not being provided with proper back-up by your fellow officers while on duty. You have suggested to the media that you are concerned for your personal security while on patrol as a result of this lack of support. This organization is concerned with the health and safety of its officers and it is in this regard that I wish to review this issue with you.

The issue of officer safety and the lack thereof is a serious allegation which must be assessed at the organizational level. I would ask that you come to this meeting prepared to discuss this issue in detail and to provide me with particulars which illustrate your concern. I am open to any suggestions that you may have by which our Service may assist you in performing your duties more confidently. This issue affects not only yourself but all members of the Service and the public as well.

I would also like to bring to your attention your mandatory obligations as a Cornwall police Officer under Media Directive No. 59. You and all members of the Cornwall Police Service are required to adhere to this directive. If you have any questions regarding this policy, I would be pleased to discuss them with you.

R.W. Trew
Inspector

I receive a second Internal correspondence the following day.

Internal correspondence

To: Constable Perry Dunlop, "D" Team

April 7, 2000

From: Inspector R. W. Trew, Administration

Subject: Media Statements Attributed To You. January 17, 1999-CBC Radio Broadcast; and February 9 , 1999 - CBC Television Broadcast.

Date: April 8, 1999

I am aware of recent media interviews in which you have participated with your wife and solicitor regarding matters connected to the Cornwall Police Service. We would like to bring to your attention your mandatory obligations as a Cornwall Police Officer under Media Directive No. 59, a copy of which is attached for your information and assistance. We require you and all members of the Cornwall Police Service to adhere to this Directive.

R.W. Trew
Inspector

I receive a third Internal correspondence the same day.

Internal correspondence

To: Constable Perry Dunlop, "D" Team

From: Inspector R. W. Trew, Administration

Subject: Media Statements Attributed To You. January 17, 1999-CBC Radio Broadcast; and February 9 , 1999 - CBC Television Broadcast.

Date: April 7, 1999

I am aware of recent media interviews in which you have participated with your wife and solicitor regarding matters connected to the Cornwall Police Service.

Specifically, during your interviews with both CBC Radio and Television, you made comments to the effect that you are not being provided with proper back-up by your fellow officers while on duty. You have suggested to the media that you are concerned for your personal security while on patrol as a result of this lack of support. This organization is concerned with the health and safety of its officers and it is in this regard that I wish to review this issue with you.

April 7, 2000

The issue of officer safety and the lack thereof is a serious allegation which must be assessed at the organizational level. I would ask that you come to this meeting prepared to discuss this issue in detail and to provide me with particulars which illustrate your concern. I am open to any suggestions that you may have by which our Service may assist you in performing your duties more confidently. This issue affects not only yourself but all members of the Service and the public as well.

I ask that you provide me with a response by Wednesday, April 14, 1999.

R.W. Trew
Inspector

I corresponded with the Inspector regarding the above mentioned internals. I also sought direction from my Police Association as well as Legal Counsel.

I was given a transcript of the CBC Radio Interview as well as a VHS copy of the Pamela Wallin Show.

On April 19, 1999 I sent a letter to Inspector Trew stating the following:

In response to the highlighted portion of the transcript from an interview with CBC Radio this past January, I was explaining to the interviewer about how I felt shortly before I took sick leave for job related stress.

Further, upon reviewing the tape of the Pamela Wallin Show, I said nothing improper.

Inspector Trew, I need to know what position the organizational level is taking regarding this specific correspondence as I will be seeking the guidance and assistance from my Association.

I ask that you provide me with a response by Monday, April 26, 1999.

I never received any further correspondence.

All of the correspondence relating to the above internals are attached to this document.

(Book Three - Tab 8)

April 7, 2000

7th April 1999 - Spoke to Ron Lemelin at the Ramada Inn. Indications were that he attended Classical College in Cornwall from September 1954 until February 1958. He was sexually assaulted by a priest, **Father Jean Primeau** over three hundred times. He would have been between the ages of 12-16 years old. Indications were that he stayed on site at the Classical College. There were two or three dorms with one hundred boys per dorm. He indicated that the College was still under construction when he first attended. I taped the interview. The tape has been provided to the Ontario Provincial Police, **Project Truth**. He indicated that he had been wanting to speak with me for some time now and it felt good to get it out in the open.

I did not take a formal statement, but he did provide me with something that he had written down. He indicated that others spoke of the abuse and the priests that were abusing. Indicates there are many victims from the Classical College. I have included all of my material from Ron Lemelin at **tab 45**, with the exception of my notes which will be included in this package.

Also during the time period of April 7th 1999, I was advised that I would be receiving a Human Rights Award from the Vancouver Island Human Rights Coalition. I sent a letter to the office of the Chief of Police of Cornwall asking for two days leave. I indicated the reason for the leave, as well as including the media release on the subject.

13th April 1999 - I received a letter from Inspector Trew advising that I should have made my request to my immediate supervisor. Also he advised that as I was receiving this award in my personal capacity, that I attend in civilian attire.

16th April 1999 - I received a letter from Kurt Fraser, the President of the Cornwall Police Association addressed to the Chief of Police. The letter was cc to my attention as well as the Cornwall Police Services Board.

22nd April 1999 - the Chief responded to Kurt Fraser's letter.

23rd April 1999 - I again sent a letter to the Chief. I received no further response from his office.

(Book Three - Tab 9)

May 1999 - I received an award from the Vancouver Island Human Rights coalition. I attended Victoria, British Columbia. During my visit I got the chance to speak with Dave and Shelley Price. At the time Shelley was working on a

hand written statement detailing alleged sexual assaults committed against her as a child in Cornwall. Both David and Shelly seemed very sincere and genuine. It is my understanding that David Price worked for the City of Cornwall in some capacity. It is my understanding that they moved from Cornwall to get away from the haunting memories and death threats.

I have since received correspondence from the Price residence. These letters are self explanatory.

(Book Three - Tab 10)

During the summer of 1999 I received a transcript of an interview conducted by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. The interview will be tabbed as an exhibit. Here are some excerpts

Ted Carney is being interviewed.

Ted Carney: " I remember the first time I was on probation... was for break and enter ... we were 12 or 14 ...that's when I first met Ken Seguin he was my probation officer. And he started molesting me about two weeks after my second appearance in probation.

....I knew a lot of guys on probation and a lot of them are fucked up now - like they're doing life in and out of the pen... shoot' dope or drinking heavily or gambling or other escapes.... and I even got molested in the police station when the fuckin probation office was in the police station - by Ken Seguin....I met Malcolm MacDonald, I think Kenny Seguin gave me his name....I remember seeing those guys around together - I remember seeing Shaver. There was another guy too - the guy who owns the funeral homeWilson he owns a funeral home...and I always thought he had something to do with those guys.

I remember going to a fucking cottage somewhere up on the St. Lawrence River there somewhere near Hamilton's Island - and he was there. There was a bunch of young guys - probation guys - Kenny was there. I can't remember how I got there was on probation at the time."

Question: "didn't you wonder about being there with a lawyer and your probation officer?"

Ted Carney: " yes I did but then I thought holy fuck, man, this is alright - y'know and then another time I was there - or was it another spot...and Shaver was there and I remember seeing him and wondering what the fuck's he doing here -

isn't he the Chief of Police?"

Question: "What went through your mind when you saw the Chief of Police sitting there?"

Ted Carney: " y'know what went through my mind is holy fuck, if I get busted again maybe I can use this against him, y'know what I mean? Hey man, drop the charges or I'll rat you off - y'know I mean I guess I was kinda obtaining my own power out of it.

...I remember going to Tim Horton's Donuts on Brookdale... **Wilson** was sitting there with **Shaver, Malcolm, and Kenny** - all sitting around the table and they used to always sit at that fuckin table and that's when I said Jesus fuck man these guys really hang thick y'know. I believe there was a ring, I believe they were, they were always together - they were so together they knew each other what each other was doing..."

(Book Three - Tab 1)

2000

10th January 2000 - 19:00 - I was paraded for duty, night shift. While in the locker room, A/Sgt P. Pilon stated that Inspector Rick Carter would like to see me in his office. I attended the second floor and went in to Inspector Carter's office. Staff Sergeant Derouchie was also present. I was handed an internal correspondence and advised to read it.

This Order is located at the front of this Will state

Derouchie: " We want to talk to you tonight about disclosure, about Project Truth, Marcel Lalonde. We want to put this to rest for once and for all. We have proposed numerous orders."

Dunlop: "I have held nothing back. I think they are using me as a scapegoat, as the horse they can pound in this whole issue. I have given them everything I have except for personal/civil matter material that my lawyer has advised me what to give and what not to give. I have held nothing back that has anything to do with criminal prosecution, and I would not get in the way of that. Should that be deemed by a court then I guess I will face charges. This is pretty tiring for me too."

Derouchie: "Having said that let's read this over."

Dunlop: "I would like someone else to read this over because I have a couple of problems, with a couple of things here. There are people who come to me because they do not trust Project Truth/ or police. They will only speak to me. I can't stop people from coming to my door."

Derouchie: "That's the problem Perry you are placing yourself in a bad position. Speaking to victims. Witnesses can't talk to other witnesses. The crown is concerned."

Dunlop: "This is not a court of law - but you know when people come to see me, they don't want to talk about the case, they just want someone they trust to talk about life."

Derouchie: "It is possible you may be dispatched to a call and this issue comes up. You may have to take the initial complaint."

Dunlop: "I have a problem in that the reason that I did not get the Sexual Assault/ Child abuse position was Murray MacDonald does not trust me and thinks I'm a liar. I would like to get this issue resolved."

Carter: "We are not dealing with that issue at this time."

Dunlop: "Someone is going to have to deal with it."

Carter: " You filed a grievance to deal with this issue."

Dunlop: "So you want me to turn over everything to the Cornwall Police. I would like my lawyer to read this. What is our Police Service position on this?"

Derouchie: "As Inspector Trew said you have been a police officer all along."

Dunlop: "Do they believe that I am holding some sort of trump card."

Derouchie: "Yes they believe you are withholding evidence and

meddling in the investigation. The prosecution fears they may lose the case."

Dunlop: "It's a way out for them."

Derouchie: Speaks about some evidence from 19 Nov. ..

Dunlop: I inquire about replication of material already provided.

"So full disclosure and that should be it. I will tell you both gentlemen that I do not fear taking the stand. I went against Lalonde's lawyer at pre-trial. He had nothing. The issue was incremental disclosure. I had very little to do with Marcel Lalonde. We will cross that bridge when we get to it. I do not feel that I am holding anything back. I would like nothing more than to hand the ball off. I have been trying for years."

17th January 2000

08:00- Report for duty

10:00-sign order, I ask to see the correspondence from the Crowns pertaining to their position as it concerns me. I want to see what is being stated by the Crowns and or Defence Counsel. I am told that I will be shown that information.

Check OMMFAC re Marcel Lalonde. Six victims are registered. I have had contact with **one**. The exception of D.S.

11:20-Inspector Pat Hall calls wants to interview me at the Best Western 18 Jan 2000 at 08:30 re investigation into Cornwall Police and Catholic Church allegations of conspiracy and cover-up. I check with Inspector Carter and he indicates O.K.

Hall indicates that Project Truth is in its final phase of investigation and they have some questions for me.

11:35-I phone Hall back to advise him about a victim that I directed towards them. I give his name and advise I did not take statement.

18th January 2000

-08:00 - Report for duty

-08:30 - Best Western Parkway Inn meet Detective Inspector Pat Hall and Detective Constable Joe Dupuis. Informed that they are conducting an investigation into allegations of cover-up and conspiracy between the Cornwall Police Service and the Catholic Diocese of Cornwall in relation to the allegations of child sexual assault.

I am given a list of 44 questions. I state that I am currently preparing a 'Will State' that will answer most of their questions. I indicated I have been ordered to prepare a detailed will state. I request that during future interviews that I can have a witness present. Indications are: "No problem, anyone you want." Told them my family is in fear for my safety, and I want to make sure our town is safe. I read the questions over and retained a copy.

(Book Three - Tab 12)

19th January 2000

Report for duty - meet Inspector Carter - request to attend Staples for supplies - he indicates to ask one of the secretaries for supply order. Carter indicates do not use the phones in CIB. If I have to use the phone use the one downstairs. CIB desks are for CIB members only. I ask him about the construction noise. He indicates: "too bad."

20th January 2000

Report for duty -

In order that I comply with the order I ask Constable Desrosiers from the Sexual assault unit for a copy of the will state that I provided him in relation to the Marcel Lalonde case, along with a copy of the notes that I provided him with. A few minutes later I see Desrosiers with Inspector Carter. I then meet Constable Desrosiers in the photocopy room and he provides me with a copy of my statement. On his way past the room I ask him about my notes that I provided him. He said: someone higher than him told me not to give you the notes.

I asked Rene not to talk in riddles, who told you not to give me the notes.

He states: " I'm not telling you, I have been told by my supervisor what to give you."

I ask him: " Who is your supervisor?"

He says: " I don't have to disclose that information to you."

I ask him : "Is Inspector Richard Carter your supervisor?" He indicates, yes.

15:00 - Meet Inspector Carter in the photocopy room he wanted me to sign a sick slip. I asked him who gave Constable Desrosiers the order not to see my notes. He said that he did. He closed the door to the photocopy room and said: "Let's discuss this. Why do you want to see your notes?"

I said: "So I can refresh my memory."

He said: "I don't understand if you have the originals why do you have to see them?"

I said: "I want to make sure I have dotted my "i" and crossed my "t". The file is huge and I have provided material to several police agencies. The OPP will let me see my notes. I cannot understand why my own Department will not."

He said: Just like the orders say, we want a copy of everything you have. There are Crown Attorneys and Defence Counsel that believe you are withholding evidence."

I ask him: " What Crown - Wilhelm?"

He said: "A couple of Crowns."

I said: "There are only a couple of Crowns on the case. Shelly Hallett and Claudette Wilhelm."

His position is no, he will not let me see my notes, and further he gave the order to Constable Desrosiers.

15:05 Hrs - I went to see Sgt Danny Aikman who is the President of the Cornwall Police Association. I advise him of the above meeting with Inspector Carter. I indicated that I had spoken to Legal Counsel. I stated that their position was that

the police service should help me with carrying out these orders. Legal Counsel said it appears that they are frustrating the order that has been given to you. Legal Counsel indicated to get the Police Association to speak with Inspector Carter and that cooler heads may prevail.

Sergeant Aikman goes to speak with Inspector Carter. Prior to him going to meet with him, I ask Sergeant Aikman to inquire from Inspector Carter if I am under criminal investigation. If this is the case, I will be requesting Legal Counsel immediately.

At this time, I inform Sergeant Danny Aikman that the Cornwall Police Service is currently under investigation by the Ontario Provincial Police.

Sergeant/President of the Cornwall Police Association Danny Aikman returns to speak with me, he is accompanied by Constable Jeff Carroll who is a Director on the Police Association.

Sergeant Danny Aikman indicates that Inspector Carter is requesting a meeting for Monday morning at 10 a.m. to discuss the matter.

I ask Sergeant Aikman if I am under investigation. He indicates that I am just complying with an order. I indicate that I want to view my submission so that I may comply with that order. I told Sergeant Aikman and Constable Carroll that this is a huge file. I indicate that I am trying the best that I can, but that I feel like a criminal. I am stuck in a little room. I have no lock on my door, there is no security. I have to carry around confidential documents. I have no phone and no help.

The construction noise is unbearable at times and it is very hard to concentrate.

I indicate that Legal Counsel seems to be saying: "Why are they frustrating their own order."

Sergeant Danny Aikman states: "I don't think that lawyers can tell senior officers what to do."

Constable Jeff Carroll seems to recognize the enormity of the file and indicates that I need a full time secretary as well as a case manager.

I ask Sergeant Aikman to get a legal opinion from Steve Welshner, a lawyer from Nelligan Power. Sergeant Aikman asks me if there is anyone currently involved

in the case that is directing you and may have a conflict. I indicate there are some overlaps. He indicates it's a small town, there are going to be some overlaps.

24th January 2000

08:00 HRS - Work on file

09:30 HRS - Spoke to President Danny Aikman stated Steve Welshner stated turn everything over. So there is no reason to see the notes you have already given.

To properly adhere to orders I am requesting to see the notes that I provided. In order that I provide a detailed will state it is imperative that I view all notes.

They indicate disclose it all.

I said in order that I comply I want to see what I turned over.

"NO" is the answer from Inspector Carter and Staff Sergeant Derouchie.

I state I feel I am being entrapped.

Staff Sergeant Gary Derouchie says if that's the case, we could not use this against you.

He indicates that Pat Hall (Detective Inspector with Ontario Provincial Police Project Truth) is here as a result of the first request from the Chief of Police along with the disclosure from your civil suit.

Staff Sergeant Derouchie states that Detective Inspector Pat Hall had a long list of people to interview.

I ask for a lock on my door, and perhaps a phone. Yes, possible lock, no, to phone.

I ask to view the correspondence from the Crown Attorneys as well as correspond with them, possibly meet with them.

Staff Sergeant Derouchie does not seem to have a problem with that.

I never did get to view the Crown's correspondence.

25th January 2000

Work on will state. Complete Training on Radar.

26th January 2000

Work on will state.

27th January 2000

Work on will state. Detective Inspector Pat Hall calls re meeting and will state. Indicates he has interviewed a lot of people. Will be out of town and see me upon his return.

28th January 2000

Work on file. Inspector Carter indicates meet on Monday re progress.

29th January 2000

Don Labelle calls my residence. Indicates he is receiving hang up calls/harassing calls. I inform him to call Ontario Provincial Police. He indicates he has already left a voice mail with Detective Joe Dupuis from Project Truth.

31st January 2000

Work on file.

Inspector Carter checks in and I indicate that it's coming along slowly as I'm doing all my own typing.

1st February 2000

Work on file.

2nd February 2000

Work on file.

3rd February 2000

Work on file. Had a conversation with Constable Fraser. (See notes)

4th February 2000 Work on file.

7th 08th Feb 2000 Training days.

9th February 2000

Work on file. Turn over documents to Staff Sergeant Derouchie/Inspector Carter.

Evidence Books 1,2,3,4, plus some notes relating to Marcel Lalonde. Time line unknown.

10th February 2000 Work on file.

11th February 2000 Work on file.

14th February 2000 Day off

15th February 2000 Sick Day

16th February 2000 Sick Day

17th February 2000 Toronto/Mediation

18th February 2000 Toronto/Mediation

21st February 2000 Work on will state

22nd February 2000 Work on will state
Detective Inspector Pat Hall phones re meeting
At Parkway in the a.m. re statement.
Turn over Ron Leroux VHS tape to Inspector Carter.

23rd February 2000

Read over questions from Ontario Provincial Police.
Attend the meeting with Detective Inspector Pat Hall, Detective Constable Joe Dupuis.

Answer a series of questions re: allegations that the Cornwall Police Service and the Catholic Church conspired to cover up the original allegations of sexual assault.

This interview was taped.

Attempted to reach Inspector Carter re turning over tapes. Negative results.

24th February 2000 Work on will state.

25th February 2000 Work on will state.

28th February 2000
Work on will state.

Four tapes ready.

Inspector Carter states he will be right in on way to photocopy machine. Never returns.

29 February 2000
Work on file.

01 March 2000
Work on file. Turn over tapes to Staff Sergeant Derouchie as well as Inspector Carter. Staff Sergeant Derouchie indicates that the Crown Attorney needs my notes A.S.A.P. I indicate I am working on my will state from notes. Request is made all else be put on hold until I have completed notes. I indicate it will take leg work out of the office. The indication is no problem.

02 March 2000
Reviewing notes and grouping same.

03 March 2000
Working on notes preparation. Sgt Moquin calls asking if I am sick. Call Inspector Carter. Inspector Carter states that he understood I would check in on him.

04 March 2000
Work on notes.

**WILL STATE - Constable Perry Dunlop
Cornwall Police Service**

April 7, 2000

06 March 2000

Notes completed.

07 March 2000

Work on will state.

While gathering information for my civil litigation I began to realize the enormity of this case. I realized that it was well beyond the scope of a civil litigation and that criminal acts had been committed.

When I gained knowledge of these facts I searched out a law enforcement agency that I could trust.

In December of 1996, I forwarded information to Chief Fantino of the London Police Service. He is now the Chief of Metro Toronto Police Service.

I have identified several victims and several suspects. The stories that they relate to me are horrific and the numbers are mind boggling.

I hand delivered a package to Attorney General of Ontario, the Solicitor General of Ontario and the Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing. The majority of the suspects that victims identify are high profile individuals in the community.

There is no doubt in my mind that pedophiles prey on the weakest members of our society, children. Further, they groom their victims and are selective when they attack. They have all the time in the world to perfect their skills and wait for the right moment to carry out their evil hideous acts on our children.

The bothersome part in this case is that the suspects that we are dealing with are educated, sophisticated, pervasive, connected, trusted, respected and in most cases well thought of in our community.

I drafted a letter and forwarded an extensive brief on this case, including all victims statements as well as suspects names that I had gathered at that relevant time, to the Attorney General of Ontario, the Solicitor General and Minister of Correctional Services as well as the Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing.

This took place in April of 1997.

The only party that did not take the brief portion was the Solicitor General's office. The Solicitor General's office directed me to the Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing Services. I have received correspondence from all of the above offices with the exception of the Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing Services. I have included a copy of this letter.

(Book Three - Tab 13)

61. Through the disclosure process at my hearings; as well as investigative disclosure; as well as media information; I received documents, and information that clearly indicate :

(a) prior to the agreed upon \$32,000 out-of-court settlement given to the complainant "D.S.", the Cornwall Police Service had identified and interviewed two additional victims of sexual assault wherein Father Charles MacDonald was named as the suspect. Certainly reasonable and probable grounds had been established at this point to pursue an investigation yet Father Charles Macdonald was not even interviewed by the Cornwall Police Service;

(b) in an internal memo dated the 8th of January 1993, the Deputy Chief of the Cornwall Police Service identified the investigation as "this could possibly turn into an Alfred type situation," thereby clearly indicating that he knew of the gravity of the case.

(Book One - Tab 3)

(c) A letter from lawyer Malcolm MacDonald to lawyer Sean Adams dated September 2, 1993 indicates:

Please find enclosed my trust account cheque payable to David Silmser in the amount of \$32,000.00, being the settlement that he signed today in connection with Father Charles MacDonald and the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall.

This cheque is being given to you and to be held in escrow until we are advised by the City Police that David Silmser has attended at the Police Station and he advised them that he does not want to proceed with any of these charges.

(Book Three - Tab 14)

THE ABOVE LETTER IS CLEARLY OBSTRUCT JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY ON THE PART OF ANYONE WHO WAS INVOLVED IN MAKING THIS DEAL MATERIALIZE. FUNDS BEING HELD IN ESCROW UNTIL VICTIM ATTENDS POLICE HEADQUARTERS TO SIGN OFF.

- (d) On September 9th, the Cornwall Police Service more specifically S/Sgt Lucien Brunet wrote to Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald advising and describing the out-of-court settlement received by the complainant "D.S.". Here is a portion of that letter:

Please be advised that on September 3rd, 1993, I received a letter from Mr. Angus Malcolm MacDonald (Barrister & Solicitor). Attached to his letter was a statement from Mr. David Silmser stating that he received a civil settlement to his satisfaction and received independent legal advice before accepting it. He advised he no longer wished to proceed further with criminal charges. He requested we close our file and stop further proceedings as far as he is concerned. This statement was signed by himself and witnessed by Mr. Sean Adams.

It is my understanding after our conversation that your office does not prosecute without full cooperation of the victim. I am anxiously awaiting your direction. Please find attached, a copy of the letter and statement.

Lucien Brunet, Staff Sergeant
Criminal Investigations

(Book Three - Tab 15)

- (e) On the 14th of September 1993 Senior Crown Attorney Murray MacDonald sends a letter to S/Sgt Lucien Brunet.

The letter reads as follows:

This is in response to your correspondence of September 9th, 1993.

It is our policy not to compel victims of sexual crimes to proceed against their wishes. Also the officer was tentative on the issue of R. and P.G. before this so-called "settlement". Grounds are now even further obfuscated by the fact that he has evidently used this threat of criminal

prosecution as a means of furthering his efforts to gain monetary settlement.

It is evident that Mr. Silmser's allegations suggest a very serious breach of trust by the alleged perpetrator. These concerns can, of course, be put to the suspect's principals if you deem it appropriate. However, this case is fraught with (due to his own conduct) a very non-credible complainant, saddled with an evident ulterior motive for making these allegations.

It is, as you are aware, exceptionally difficult to put supportive victims through the sexual offence trial process. It is for policy reasons, not in the public interest to put a reluctant witness through the same process. This is especially so when that reluctant witness will be "crucified" in cross-examination.

Signed by Murray MacDonald

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST-SENIOR CROWN MURRAY MACDONALD
DECLARES A CONFLICT OF INTEREST EARLY ON IN THE CASE.
YET, FROM HIS OFFICE COMES THE FINAL LETTER.(ABOVE
MENTIONED.) FROM THE BEGINNING TO END HE IS INVOLVED IN
THIS FILE.**

Forwarded to Chief Shaver and S/Sgt Brunet
(Book Four - Tab 1)

**HOW CAN YOU BE CRUCIFIED IN CROSS EXAMINATION WHEN YOU
HAVE A THIRTY-TWO THOUSAND DOLLAR CHEQUE. PLUS THE
FACT OF OTHER VICTIMS. WHAT ABOUT THE VICTIMS BEING
"CRUCIFIED" BY PROBATION OFFICERS? THERE MUST HAVE
BEEN SUBSTANCE TO THE COMPLAINANT OR THE CHURCH
WOULD NOT HAVE PAID.**

- (f) Page 436 evidence book - 01 OCT 1993:
from S/Sgt Derouchie's notes

"Chief Shaver requested I attend his office for a meeting. Present was D/C St. Denis.

Subject was: David Silmser

Father Charles MacDonald
and Ken Seguin

I was advised that Perry Dunlop had turned over the statement obtained from David Silmsen by Cst Sebalj.....

I was advised by Chief Shaver to get a letter from Mr. Adams requesting direction on the Ken Seguin complaint.

I was advised to enter the report on the system under projects."

OUR SERVICE, MORE SPECIFICALLY THE CHIEF, CLAUDE SHAVER IS ASKING FOR DIRECTION FROM LAWYERS ABOUT HOW CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS SHOULD TAKE PLACE. WAS MR. SEAN ADAMS REPRESENTING KEN SEGUIN?

- (g) that in spite of an explicit requests by the first victim "D.S." that a male police officer investigate his complaint, the Cornwall Police Service assigned a young, inexperienced, and female officer which is contrary to all known professional procedures involving complaints of this nature
NOTE: The officer has been off on sick leave for more than 3 years now.
- (h) that the officer assigned to investigate the "D.S." complaint indicates in her notes that long periods of time went by, some as long as four months, when nothing was recorded on the "D.S." file.

A MAJOR ACTIVE INVESTIGATION WHERE FOUR MONTHS LAPSE WITH NOTHING DONE ON THE FILE.

- (i) the records indicate that the Cornwall Police never interviewed the suspects Father Charles Macdonald and Probation Officer Ken Seguin. Why?
- (j) that nothing much was moving on this file until I became involved in September of 1993. Twenty days after going to Children's Aid, Father Charles MacDonald was removed from the Parish at St. Andrews and sent to Southtown Treatment Centre. In the month of November 1993, the Chief of Police of Cornwall, Claude Shaver, announces his early retirement. He receives a package in the amount of \$250,000, plus a car. Probation officer Ken Seguin commits suicide about the same time.
- (k) that despite the majority of offences occurring within the Cornwall Police

Service jurisdiction, to the best of my knowledge, all related sexual offense charges, with the exception of the Barque, Landry and Lalonde case, have been laid by the ONTARIO PROVINCIAL POLICE.

- (l) that most recently Earl Landry, son of a former Chief of Police of Cornwall, was charged with several counts of sexual assault against minors. He has since plead guilty and was sentenced to five years. I have been advised and believe that the Cornwall Police Service as well as the Crown Attorney's office knew of this behaviour/offence some ten years ago.

One of the victims good friends, stood up at the sentencing of Earl Landry Jr., in open court, and indicated to the defence, Don Johnston (the former Senior Crown Attorney in Cornwall)that Johnston was aware of these facts/allegations of sexual assault allegations a decade ago.

- (m) that the Cornwall Police Service did not lay charges in the initial investigation. The Ottawa Police Service was called in and found nothing wrong. The Ontario Provincial Police reported after their initial investigation that no charges would be laid against the priest. This announcement was made on December 24th 1994. Yet now there are several people charged and or convicted for offences that took place prior to 1994.
- (n) that on January 24 1994 the Children's Aid sent a letter to Father Charles MacDonald who is in Southdown at that time. The letter indicates that the Children's Aid Society is entering into an investigation that Father Charles MacDonald allegedly abused children.

Further that on March 1st 1994 the Children's Aid sends a letter to Father Charles MacDonald who is in Southdown at that time. The letter indicates that there is sufficient information to support a finding that you sexually molested Mr. Silmsier when he was a child....If we have not heard from you within two weeks we will assume that you have no questions regarding this matter and we will terminate our file on it.

(Book Four - Tab 2)

- (o) that the Cornwall Police Service never notified the Children's Aid Society from the onset of the investigation. Both suspects were left at large in the community. There was a definite risk that these individuals poised, yet

nothing was done and no protocol followed. The safety of children was compromised by people not doing their duties as required by law. Why?

- (p) that the intake of this complaint was in complete contravention of policy and procedure. Initial reports are almost always taken by uniform patrol units. Subsequently, if warranted, they are sent to Criminal Investigations Branch. In any event, the complaints are registered on OMMFAC computer. A sequenced investigational protocol is followed.
- (q) that during relevant times, Mr. Ron Wilson was appointed to and became Chairman of the Police Services Board. He should have declared a conflict of interest in dealing with this issue. His name has been mentioned in many will states and affidavits. It is well known by several residents of Cornwall that Ron Wilson socialized with some of the accused persons involved in this case.
- (r) that Malcolm Macdonald, former Federal Crown Attorney, Queens Counsel, practising lawyer in the Cornwall area for years.

Charged and convicted of Obstruct Justice.

Charged for sexual assault of minors.

Died in Florida recently.

This officer of the court deliberately orchestrated this matter with full knowledge of what he was doing. He was in a conflict of interest for several reasons not to mention that the accused persons in this deal were his friends, that was a known fact.

- (s) other than myself, no other police officer, or officer of the court has ever been investigated or charged for any wrong doing criminally or civilly. With the exception of Malcolm MacDonald.
- (t) on June 17, 1998 Mr. Emile Robert, Area Manager for Cornwall, Ministry of Solicitor General and Correctional Services, was examined for discovery. The Discovery was a result of the suit against Probation and Parole.

The entire thirteen page discovery is located at: **(Book Four - Tab 3)**

April 7, 2000

At page six of this document at the bottom of the page Mr. Robert is relating to some meeting he had with police after Ken Seguin's death.

"As more information arose regarding Barque and Seguin, Mr. Robert went to see the investigating officer in the Barque investigation, Constable Heidi Sebalj of the Cornwall Police. Sergeant Brunet was also present during this conversation. Mr. Roberts was bothered that he was not told directly about the investigation. He was told that the police went directly to the main office and saw no reason to speak with him.

Also divulged in this discovery was the fact that Nelson Barque was under suspicion for sexual assault when he resigned from probation July 27, 1985.

Mr. Robert also spoke with the two O.P.P. officers who were investigating Seguin's death. At that time, they had no information about the sexual assault allegations."

**THE FACT IS THAT IN SEPTEMBER OF 1993 I WAS TOLD IN NO
UNCERTAIN TERMS THE INVESTIGATION WAS OVER.**

**IF OUR DEPARTMENT DID NOT GO TO THE SUPERVISOR MR.
ROBERTS, WHO DID THEY GO TO?**

WHAT WAS SAID?

WHERE ARE THEIR NOTES?

**ALSO I HAVE BEEN TOLD AND DO VERILY BELIEVE THAT
ALTHOUGH NELSON BARQUE LEFT CORNWALL FOR A PERIOD OF
TIME, HE DID COME BACK. UPON RETURNING IT IS MY
UNDERSTANDING THAT HE TOOK UP A POSITION AT ECOLE
POLYTECHNIQUE.**

WERE CHILDREN'S AID EVER NOTIFIED OF ANY SUSPICION.

- (u) that material has been provided that indicates the Attorney General's office did not turn over material I provided them with in 1997. There is a blockage in the system. Why?

- (v) that material evidence has been provided indicating there have been recent victims who may have been preyed upon since I have turned over materials to the Attorney General's Office, as well as the Solicitor General's office.
- (w) an alleged victim of Father Charles MacDonald was directed to him by probation, and his lawyer. There is a letter that directs a victim to live with Father Charles MacDonald in the rectory at Apple Hill.
(Book Four - Tab 4)
- (x) as early as 21st December 1992, lawyer Malcolm MacDonald is sending correspondence to Msgr. Donald McDougald indicating that he is acting as Father Charles MacDonald's lawyer. At the end of this letter he writes a P.S. to the Bishop.
(Book Four - Tab 5)
- (y) there is a paper trail of notes, letters and correspondence that can be followed/traced in this case that indicate wrong doing.
- (z) there are simply too many people who have been charged, investigated, convicted, deceased, are currently in positions of power or have held positions of power not to have had some knowledge of these crimes.

**MY FAMILY AND I ARE PHYSICALLY, FINANCIALLY AND
EMOTIONALLY BANKRUPT. I FEAR FOR MY FAMILY'S SAFETY.
THERE HAVE BEEN DEATH THREATS MADE.**
(Book Four - Tab 6)

62. My concern, as it has been all along, is for the safety of children.

I fear for the safety of children in the Cornwall area because of my experiences over the past several years.

I was not on duty as a police officer and I did not have the resources available to me that a working police officer can call upon at any time.

Yet I have stumbled into a horrifying case of multiple victims of sexual assaults. All the victims were young boys at the time of the sexual assaults.

63. I now realize and do verily believe that there are a number of charged,

convicted, investigated and dead pedophiles in the Cornwall area.

When it seemed that they would be exposed, they took steps to prevent this from happening.

I have not used the words clan or ring. These words have been used by the press, lawyers, judges or victims themselves.

I can say with certainty there is a large number of pedophile allegations for our population base.

It is certain that many of these alleged perpetrators knew each other.

64. The Ontario Provincial Police have an ongoing investigation on the sexual abuse of children in the Cornwall area by prominent individuals. They have dubbed the project "**PROJECT TRUTH**".

In a press conference in September 1997 they have indicated that they were currently investigating 18 prominent people in the Cornwall area. Further, the Ontario Provincial Police have stated that they have 350 people to speak to with regards to this investigation. A hotline as well as an office has been set up in the Cornwall area. The phone number for Project Truth is: 933-8050.

65. As of February 1999 the Ontario Provincial Police, "Project Truth" have charged twelve individuals with 79 counts of various sexual assault on 14 different victims.

66. I have compiled a list of people that I am aware of who have been charged, convicted or have committed suicide since my direct involvement in this case.

1. **Ken Seguin**- probation officer -committed suicide 25 November 1994.
2. **Nelson Barque** - former probation officer for the City of Cornwall. Charged convicted jailed for sexual assault of a minor. Under investigation for further allegations committed suicide 28 July 1998.
3. **Milton MacDonald**- charged convicted and jailed for multiple counts of sexual assault of children former reeve of Lancaster Township, father of the current Senior Crown Attorney Of Stormont, Dundas and Glengary.

4. **Father Charles MacDonald**- Catholic Priest currently charged with several sex related offences trial scheduled May 2000.
5. **Marcel Lalonde** - school teacher for the Catholic School Board currently under charge multiple counts and victims sexual assault of children
6. **Father Kenneth Martin**- Catholic Priest charged sexual assault on a minor(s)
7. **Earl Landry Jr.** - charged with multiple counts of sexual assault on children. Worked as a park leader for the City of Cornwall. His father was the former Chief of Police of Cornwall Earl Landry.
8. **Doctor Arthur Peachey** - charged with sexual assault on a minor. Peachey was the Coroner for the City of Cornwall for twenty years. Team Doctor for the Cornwall Royals Hockey Team. Deceased Dec, 1999.
9. **Jacques Leduc** - Lawyer in the City of Cornwall, former head of the Catholic School Board, former Diocesan Lawyer. Charged with several counts of Child Sexual Assault - Court January 2001.
10. **Father Leonel Carriere** - Catholic Priest charged.
11. **Roch Landry** - charged with sexual assault of minor- owner of a business in Cornwall.
12. **Father Paul Lapierre** - Catholic Priest charged
13. **Harvey Latour** - charged with sexual assault former owner of a business.
14. **George Lawrence** - former owner of a business in Cornwall charged with sexual assault.
15. **Jean Luc Leblanc** - bus driver for the Catholic school Board. Charged with multiple counts of child sexual assault.
16. **Thomas Cronin** - Speech Therapist- charged with sexual assault of children.

17. **Richard Hickerson** - committed suicide 19 June 1998. He was retired from a senior position at Canada Manpower under investigation by **Project Truth**.
18. **Malcolm MacDonald** - former Federal Crown Attorney, retired lawyer, charged with sexual assault of young boys. Charged and convicted of Obstruct Justice in the initial brokering of the now famous \$32,000 pay off to silence the victim D.S. Also given the highest order in the Catholic Church that can be given to a layman.
19. **Bernard Sauve** - businessman in town, charged sexual assault of minors.
20. **Brother George Edmond** - charged by Project Truth
21. **Father Rene Dube** - priest that has been charged by Montreal Police.

The above list includes a former Crown Attorney, Lawyers, Doctors, Priests and Catholic Clergy/Brother's, Teachers, Probation officer's, the Coroner's office, Businessmen, Federal employee's, Provincial employee's, Municipal employees, Bus Driver's....

It is my belief that massive lawsuits will engage various public institutions in our community.

It is my belief that the truth will be unveiled.

It is my belief that there will someday be a judicial inquiry or a Royal Commission.

67. I have been told and do verily believe there are more charges coming in relation to this case.
68. **14 February 2000** - Received a fax from Dick Nadeau, Casselman Ontario. I have attached this fax to my will state. **(Book Four - Tab 7)**

In this seven page detailed typed statement, allegations are made with respect to a possible six more alledged pedophiles. Mr. Nadeau was born and raised in Cornwall and attended the Classical College. His vernacular, writing skills and memory led me to believe that he is well educated and credible.

It was well known that Classical College recruited young male Catholic candidates that excelled in grade school. The intention of the school was to educate these boys so that they would be the future priests, lawyers, doctors, politicians and businessmen. Create a network within the community.

Mr. Nadeau has gone to **Project Truth** with his allegations. It is my understanding that he is in the company of other victims from the Cornwall area.

69. If you were to take a look at statistics alone let me refer to Doctor John Bradford's Affidavit that he gave in the context of my Police Act hearing. Bradford is the Head of the Royal Ottawa Hospital and is considered an expert in the field of study and treatment of pedophiles. Here is an excerpt:

"Various Studies that have surveyed paedophiles has shown that the average paedophile has 50-75 victims in a lifetime."

(Book One - Tab 10)

Looking at the above list I am saying these men were not average.

Most of these men were in positions of trust and power. These positions afforded them unlimited access to potential victims.

GOING ON STATISTICS ALONE THE NUMBER OF VICTIMS MUST BE STAGGERING.

70. It is my firm belief that as the truth surfaces in this case that residents in Cornwall will see first hand the heinous crimes that have been committed against our children.
71. Throughout this ordeal, that has lasted seven years, I have acted in a proper, legal, moral and ethical manner.

This case has invaded and breached every aspect of my life as well as my family's life.

My life as a Police Officer and a human being will never be the same.

Very few people from the Service have reached out to my family in a time of unbelievable and unwarranted stress. I have been seeing a psychiatrist since

June 20th 1994. His letter is attached to this document.
(Book Four - Tab 8)

- 72.** Most recent in the case is that of an Ottawa M.P.P. He has publicly announced that he has made the Premier of Ontario Mike Harris aware of the situation in Cornwall on two separate occasions since September of 1998.
(Book Four - Tab 9)

As I note in this correspondence, Mr. Gary Guzzo quotes from the Attorney General, The Honourable Jim Flaherty's press release of January 11, 2000.

1. "The Attorney General has a special responsibility to be vigilant in protecting our children."
2. "Our Government and people of Ontario have no higher priority than protecting children from harm."
3. "It is crucial that we continue to take steps to help ensure that children are not victimized."
4. "As a Government we have responsibility to do everything we can to protect societies most vulnerable members from the harm of sexual exploitation."

As per ordered, I will submit all of the information that I have received. I realize that I am an Officer of the Court and I know my obligations. For seven years I have been living and breathing this case.

I have no secretary, case manager, partner, supervisor or crown attorney to rely on.

With the exception of occasional legal help and advice, I have been on my own.

If there is any documentation that I have failed to provide, it is not due to negligence.

This criminal file is huge. I have lost myself in it many times.

My prime concern in this entire matter is the safety of children and justice for the victims.

73. On the 24th of March I received a report from the Law Commission of Canada. It was entitled: Restoring Dignity Responding to Child Abuse in Canadian Institutions. I had been given a copy of the discussion paper, 22 December 1998. I had received a call from Susan Alter representing the Federal Minister of Justice. I was contacted and asked if I had anything I could add to the topic of child abuse.

In reading the Executive Summary there are key points discussed. I have attached a copy of this report to this will state. It is my understanding that the full report is five hundred pages.

Here are a few statements made in this report.

"It is often said that children are our future. How we treat our children and how we allow them to be treated reveals much about ourselves and about our values as a society.

Over the past ten to fifteen years, child abuse has surfaced as a painful issue for Canadians. With greater public discussion, has come greater awareness that children have been abused not only in their homes and by strangers, but also in institutions where they have been placed for their education, welfare, rehabilitation or even protection. Many of these institutions were run by or on behalf of federal, provincial and territorial governments.

From the outset, the Commission was confronted with three key facts. First, the majority of children placed in institutions came from the most underprivileged or marginalised groups in society. These included children with disabilities, children from racial and ethnic minorities, Aboriginal children, and children living in poverty, among others.

Secondly, a significant power imbalance existed between the children and those in charge of these institutions, one that went beyond the obvious power imbalance between the child and an adult in a position of authority. Many teachers, counsellors, supervisors and guards for example, had the added weight of institutional authority behind them, the moral weight of a respected religious order or the official power of a government.

Thirdly, there was little independent monitoring of what went on inside these institutions. This lack of effective protection of children cannot be attributed to a single simple cause. In some cases however, the desire to preserve the good

name of an institution took precedence over a concern for the welfare of children.

Central to the Commission's approach to assessing responses is the perspective of survivors. They have by far the weakest voice of all parties involved in allegations of institutional child abuse. Too often, their needs have been considered incidental to other priorities such as the punishment of perpetrators. It is the Commission's hope that, by focussing on survivors, it will help make all processes of redress more responsive to their needs.

Responses

Ideally, a process for providing redress should take into account the needs of survivors, their families and their communities in a manner that is fair, fiscally responsible and acceptable to the public. The Commission has framed this idea through eight criteria by which various redress options may be assessed.

- . Respect, engagement and choice - does the process respect and engage survivors as well as offer them comprehensive information about the process itself?
- . Fact - finding - can the process uncover the facts necessary in order to validate whether abuse took place and what circumstances allowed it to occur?
- . Accountability - do those administering the process have the authority to hold people and organizations accountable for their actions?
- . Fairness - is the process fair to survivors as well as other parties affected by it?
- . Acknowledgement, apology and reconciliation - does the process provide for acknowledgement, apology and reconciliation where abuse has occurred?
- . Compensation, counselling and education - can the process address the needs of survivors for compensation, counselling and education?
- . Needs of families communities and peoples - can the process meet the needs of the families of survivors as well as their communities and peoples?

Prevention and public education - does the process contribute to public awareness and prevention?

The criminal justice system is well-suited to identifying individual perpetrators of abuse and holding them liable. It is however, less effective in shedding light on the systematic problems that may have allowed the abuse to occur in the first place.

Both criminal and civil actions are characterized by a formal structure and adversarial in nature that does nothing to encourage acknowledgement, apology or reconciliation. These factors also make it difficult to engage the participants fully.

In fact, both criminal and civil trials can take a personal toll on survivors of institutional child abuse who must recall highly sensitive and painful memories in a confrontational setting.

There are other drawbacks as well. For example, both options place certain limits on the examination of abuse alleged to have occurred many years ago. Furthermore, even when the outcome vindicates the survivor's claim, criminal prosecutions and civil actions cannot address the broader range of survivors' needs such as therapy, counselling or education.

However, because trials are public, they can help to raise public awareness of this issue.

Canadians tend to be aware only of the most notorious examples of institutional child abuse, and they do not understand the long-term effects of child abuse. To achieve effective prevention, public knowledge must be increased. There is a misconception that, because many institutions have been closed, the problem has been taken care of and is now behind us.

This is clearly not the case. We have only begun to confront the past and there is a real danger that we have not learned enough from it.

The Law Commission's review of processes for responding to past institutional child abuse points to concerns beyond prevention and public education. Understanding the circumstances of institutional child abuse highlights the need to investigate the causes of abuse and exploitation in all relationships of unequal power. This review also reveals how children from socially and economically marginalised groups have been disproportionately, the victims of institutional

abuse, and how devastating this has been on families and communities. Finally, it invites us to examine the assumptions the law makes concerning the balance to be struck between compensation and therapy, between addressing the individual wrongdoing and correcting systematic failures, and between remedying harm to individuals and building or rebuilding families and communities. The Law Commission sees these concerns as central to addressing the question asked in this Reference and to its own work in the future.

Institutional child abuse has been a tragedy of enormous proportion in this country. All Canadians must recognise the legacy of institutional child abuse not only to redress the terrible harms done in the past but also to ensure that they do not recur. It is our responsibility as a society to respond to the needs of those who survived and to do our utmost to honour the memory of those who did not.

Police, social service agencies, hospitals and the offices of health care professionals should have access to literature or help-line numbers which they may refer those who may disclose experiences of child abuse.

Those involved in investigating, prosecuting, defending and judging allegations of institutional child abuse should have special training, expertise or experience and should have access to survivor-sensitive protocols that have been developed for this purpose.

As a rule, the first substantive interview in an investigation should be conducted by a person with whom a survivor feels comfortable, and this option should be presented to survivors.

All major decisions about how the police intend to proceed should be explained fully to the complainant(s), especially any decision not to lay charges or to terminate an investigation."

(Book Four - Tab 10)

In briefly reading the Law Commission reports they hit home on several key issues. The Ontario Attorney General seems to be echoing the Law Commission's report. It is up to us as a community to put these recommendations and ideas into action for the greater benefit of our society.

74. Survivors are heroes who have endured the worst violations imaginable and some violations that we could never imagine.

Survivors are most often reluctant to speak about abuse because they are ashamed of it, and often they can not believe this event happened to them.

Survivors need to know that we, as a community, will listen to them. They need to trust before they will come forward.

Survivors want to reclaim their past, we owe them this debt.

Survivors have much to teach us if we will listen.

I have learned that survivors want very little in return.

Survivors want to hear: I'm sorry, I believe you and from the perpetrators they want to hear: what I did to you was wrong.

For the survivors, the reporting of child sexual abuse is not about monetary gain it is about truth justice and healing.

75. If we are so blind that we cannot see that pedophiles are powerful, sophisticated, connected, educated, often holding positions of extreme trust and power within our communities;

If we stand by while the perpetrators groom and stalk our children while lulling everyone around them, as they build their own self serving credibility within our communities;

If we cannot protect our children, if we stand complacent in silence with full knowledge or one shred of evidence that a child may be in harms way, we have lost our courage;

If we do not recognize the enormous damages caused by child sexual assault and cut it out at its roots swiftly and effectively with all of our resources;

**IF WE DO NOT EDUCATE OUR CHILDREN AND THE COMMUNITY, THEN
WE HAVE FAILED.**

April 7, 2000

I want no part of the explanation our generation gives in the future for our current or past failings, in this simple black and white issue, THE SAFETY OF OUR CHILDREN.

76. I have not and will not paint everyone involved with the police service, judicial system, Catholic Church and our community with a broad brush.

There are many good people who genuinely care about the outcome of this case and want to see justice done.

77. Above all else we must ensure that our children are safe.

TO DENY, IS TO ENABLE.

Protecting our own children comes first, of course. To do more, to help children not our own, is a luxury many feel they can't afford. In fact, however, since some of the children now being mistreated will grow up angry and violent, and our kids will live in the same society with them, we cannot afford to do less.

In the most literal sense, anyone abusing any child might as well be abusing yours.

These words are taken from a book by Gavin De Becker called protecting the gift.(1999 Random House)

DATED at Cornwall, Ontario, this 7th day of April, 2000.

Constable Perry Dunlop
Cornwall Police Service